

**MINUTE ENTRY
FALLON, J.
OCTOBER 7, 2015**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL NO. 2047

SECTION: L

JUDGE FALLON
MAG. WILKINSON

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

The monthly status conference was held on this date in the Courtroom of District Judge Eldon E. Fallon. Prior to the conference, the Court met with liaison counsel and the chairs of the steering committees. Liaison Counsel reported to the Court on the topics set out in Joint Report No. 72. (Rec. Doc. 19537). The conference was transcribed by Ms. Jodi Simcox, Official Court Reporter. Counsel may contact Ms. Simcox at (504) 589-7780 to request a copy of the transcript.

I. PRE-TRIAL ORDERS

The Court has issued the following Pre-Trial Orders:

Pre-Trial Order No. 1 entered June 15, 2009 – Initial Case Management

Pre-Trial Order No. 1A entered August 28, 2009 – Counsel must Enter Appearances for Served Parties or risk Default Judgment

Pre-Trial Order No. 1B entered October 9, 2009 – Amending Pre-Trial Order No. 1 to clarify the preservation of physical evidence during home remediation.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1C entered November 24, 2009 – Lifting the stay on motion practice, but continuing all motions filed in the MDL without date. Pursuant to a

November 25, 2009 Order, all motion practice in the *Gross* matter (09-6690) is stayed.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1D entered January 8, 2010 – Clarifies Pre-Trial Order 1C and lifts the stay with regard to responsive pleadings.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1E entered February 12, 2010 – Regarding stay of responsive pleadings in *Gross*.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1F entered March 9, 2010-Clarifying the deadline dates for responsive pleadings, notices of appearance, profile forms, and alleviating the need to file motions for extensions in all cases.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1G entered May 27, 2010-Further clarifying deadlines for notices of appearances, profile forms, and responsive pleadings in all cases.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1H entered October 22, 2010-Regarding the PSC's Notice of Completion to the Omni Complaints

Pre-Trial Order No. 1I entered January 24, 2012 – Preservation of Physical Evidence

Pre-Trial Order No. 2 entered June 16, 2009 – Notice to Transferor Court

Pre-Trial Order No. 2A entered September 18, 2009 – Means of Tracking Remands in MDL 2047

Pre-Trial Order No. 3 entered July 6, 2009 – Designation of Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel

Pre-Trial Order No. 4 entered July 6, 2009 – Designation of Defendants' Liaison Counsel

Pre-Trial Order No. 5 entered July 6, 2009 – Contact Information

Pre-Trial Order No. 5A entered July 9, 2009 – Counsel Contact Information Form

Pre-Trial Order No. 6 entered July 21, 2009 – Electronic Service (LexisNexis)

Pre-Trial Order No. 7 entered July 27, 2009 – Appointment Defendants' Steering Committee

Pre-Trial Order No. 7A entered August 4, 2009 – Amending PTO 7 re: Defendants' Steering Committee

Pre-Trial Order No. 7B entered August 27, 2009 – Amending PTO 7 re: list containing Defendants’ Steering Committee and lists responsibilities for same

Pre-Trial Order No. 8 entered July 28, 2009 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee

Pre-Trial Order No. 8A entered January 11, 2011 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning January 11, 2011.

Pre-Trial Order No. 8B entered March 19, 2012 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning March 19, 2012.

Pre-Trial Order No. 8C entered March 12, 2013 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning March 12, 2013.

Pre-Trial Order No. 8D entered April 7, 2014 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning April 7, 2014.

Pre-Trial Order No. 9 entered July 28, 2009 – Time and Billing Guidelines/Submissions

Pre-Trial Order No. 9A entered March 16, 2012 – Reporting of Common Benefit Time by State Court Counsel.

Pre-Trial Order No. 10 entered August 21, 2009 – All parties to provide PLC or DLC with photographic catalog of markings, brands, endtapes and other identifying markers found in affected homes by August 26, 2009. PSC and DSC to collect and submit data to the Court and inspection company for TIP a joint catalog of data to assist in training of inspections no later than August 28, 2009.

Pre-Trial Order No. 11 entered August 17, 2009 - Profile forms to be distributed to appropriate parties and filed and returned on or before September 2, 2009

Pre-Trial Order No. 12 entered August 25, 2009 – Court will prepare final version of Distributor Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 12A entered August 25, 2009 – Court adopted Distributor Profile Form be distributed to appropriate parties and returned to DLC Kerry Miller on or before 9/8/09, either electronically or by hard copy

Pre-Trial Order No. 13 entered August 27, 2009 – Court institutes and will supervise Threshold Inspection Program (TIP). Court appoints Crawford & Company to carry out the inspections.

Pre-Trial Order No. 13(A) entered November 24, 2009 – Amending the Threshold Inspection Program (TIP).

Pre-Trial Order No. 14 entered September 24, 2009 - Court approves Exporter, Importer or Broker Profile Form, and provides requirements for issuance and return of the form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 14(A) entered October 13, 2009 – Court approves a revised Exporter, Importer or Broker Defendant Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 15 entered September 25, 2009 – Counsel must provide privilege log for documents withheld in response to discovery requests. Also, the accidental production of privileged information does not constitute a waiver of the privilege.

Pre-Trial Order No. 16 entered September 25, 2009 – Pertains to the disclosure, use and protection of confidential information produced during the course of this MDL.

Pre-Trial Order No. 17 entered November 2, 2009 – Recognizing and Confirming KPT's Agreement to Accept Service of PSC's Omnibus Class Action Complaint.

Pre-Trial Order No. 18 entered November 5, 2009 – Appointing Phillip A. Wittmann to be the Homebuilders and Installers Liaison Counsel.

Pre-Trial Order No. 19 entered March 18, 2010—Appointing a State and Federal Coordination Committee.

Pre-Trial Order No. 20 entered April 6, 2010 – Appointment of Insurer Steering Committee.

Pre-Trial Order No. 21 entered April 6, 2010 – Retailer Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 22 entered April 27, 2010 – Privileged communications relating to PTO 20.

Pre-Trial Order No. 23 entered April 27, 2010 – Insurer Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 24 entered April 27, 2010 – Subpoenas/30(b)(6) depositions issued re insurance.

Pre-Trial Order No. 25 entered November 3, 2011 – Setting November 15, 2011 deadline for submission of profile forms.

Pre-Trial Order No. 26 entered March 29, 2012 – Appointing a self-remediated homes committee, creating a self-remediated homes pilot program, and setting April 28, 2012 deadline for submission of documentation for self-remediated homes.

Pre-Trial Order No. 27 entered September 10, 2013 – Establishment of System for Creating and Tracking Chinese Drywall Settlement Program Claims Administration Procedures.

Pre-Trial Order No. 28 entered January 10, 2014 – Attorney Fee and Cost Reimbursement Guidelines (with Exhibits A, B and C)

Pre-Trial Order No. 28(A) entered January 27, 2014 – Clarification of Pre-Trial Order No. 28 (Attorney Fee and Cost Reimbursement Guidelines)

Pre-Trial Order No. 28(B) entered March 25, 2014 – Extending some deadlines outlined in Pre-Trial Order No. 28.

Pre-Trial Order No. 28(C) entered April 28, 2014 – Extending some deadlines outlined in Pre-Trial Order No. 28 and extended in Pre-Trial No. 28(B).

Pre-Trial Order No. 28(D) entered July 9, 2014 – Appointing Leonard A. Davis of Herman Herman & Katz, LLC as the Assistant Secretary of the Fee Committee.

II. STATE COURT TRIAL SETTINGS

None.

III. STATE/FEDERAL COORDINATION

On March 18, 2010, the Court entered Pre-Trial Order No. 19 appointing State and Federal Coordination Committees. The parties will be prepared to discuss State/Federal coordination at the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

IV. OMNIBUS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINTS

The following is a list of filed Omni Complaints and Complaints in intervention:

Omni I: *Sean and Beth Payton, et al v. Knauf Gips KG, et al*, Case No. 2:09-cv-07628 (E.D.La.). Omni IA, IB and IC have been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.

Omni II: *Kenneth and Barbara Wiltz, et al. v. Beijing New Building Materials Public Limited Co., et al.*, Civil Action No.10-361(E.D.La.). Omni IIA, IIB and IIC have been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.;

- Omni III: *Gross, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, Case No. 09-6690 (E.D.La.), the PSC filed a Motion in Intervention (attaching a proposed Complaint in Intervention, *Mary Anne Benes, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, (E.D.La.) (Omni III). Omni IIIA has been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni IV: *Joyce W. Rogers, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, Case No. 10-362 (E.D.La.) (Omni IV). Omni IVA, IVB and IVC have been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni V: *Amato v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., et al.*, Case No. 10-932. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni VI: *Charlene and Tatum Hernandez v. AAA Insurance*, Case No. 10-3070. This Omni VI Complaint has been dismissed;
- Omni VII: *Kenneth Abel v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-080. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni VIII: *Daniel Abreu v. Gerbrueder Knauf, et al*, No. 11-252. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni IX: *Laura Haya, et al, v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1077. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni X: *Block v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft KG, et al*, No. 11-1363. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XI: *Benoit, et al v. Lafarge, S.A., et al*, No. 11-1893. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XII: *Arndt, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al*, No. 11-2349. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XIII: *Richard and Constance Almeroth, et al, v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 12-0498. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XIV: *Jessica Cassidy, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al*, No. 11-3023. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XV: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1672.

Omni XVI: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1395.

Omni XVII: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1673.

Omni XVIII: *Paul Beane, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al*, No. 13-609. On June 6, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' First Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16892], which was granted on June 10, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16896]. On June 10, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16895], which was granted on June 11, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16906]. On July 8, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16933], which was granted on July 9, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16935]. On July 30, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Fourth Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16965], which was granted on July 31, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16970]. On July 31, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16967], which was granted on August 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17004].

Omni XIX: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. The State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 14-1727.

On April 5, 2013, the PSC filed a Rule 6(b) Motion for Extension of Time for Service of Process Under Rule 4(m) [Rec. Doc. 13567].

On July 23, 2014, an Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion for Class Certification Pursuant to Rules 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(3) was filed [Rec. Doc. 17883] and the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17882] setting the matter for hearing, with oral argument, following the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17888]. On September 9, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [Rec. Doc. 17998] and a Proposed

Trial Plan [Rec. Doc. 17999]. This matter is set for hearing following the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

V. PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO ESTABLISH A PLAINTIFFS' LITIGATION FEE AND EXPENSE FUND

On March 22, 2011, the PSC filed a Motion to Establish a Court Supervised Account for Voluntary Deposit of Funds to Compensate and Reimburse Common Benefit Counsel [Rec. Doc. 8308]. The PSC filed the motion because many of the settling parties have approached the PSC about the prospect of setting aside a portion of the settlement proceeds to preserve a *res* to compensate and reimburse common benefit counsel. On April 13, 2011, the Court entered an Order directing that any party may voluntarily deposit seventeen percent (17%) of settlement proceeds for common benefit fees (12%) and costs (5%) into the registry of the Court [Rec. Doc. 8545.] A number of voluntary deposits have been made to the Clerk of Court pursuant to the motion.

VI. REMEDIATION PROGRAM

The Lead Contractor in the Knauf settlements, Moss & Associates, continues to estimate the cost of remediation for homes. Remediation is complete on 2,355 homes and condominiums, work has begun on 163 homes and condominiums, and 41 more remediations are set to begin soon. Homeowners and/or counsel with questions about remediations are encouraged to call the helpline created by Moss & Associates at 1-888-91 MOSSUSA (1-888-916-6778).

To date, Moss has mailed out 3,309 Work Authorization packets to homeowners in the various states. Moss has received 2,621 executed Work Authorization packets, and 54 Work Authorization packets are outstanding.

The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

VII. INEX, BANNER, KNAUF, L&W and GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS

On February 7, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment: (1) Certifying the INEX, Banner, Knauf, L&W, and Global Settlement Classes, and (2) Granting Final Approval to the INEX, Banner, Knauf, L&W, and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16570]. On February 19, 2013, the Court issued an Order Correcting Clerical Error [Rec. Doc. 16580] in the February 7, 2013 Order [16570].

On March 13, 2013, the PSC and Settlement Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order: (1) Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16609]. On March 15, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment: (1) Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16616]. On April 24, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment (1) Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16782].

The registration period for the Knauf, Banner, INEX, Global, and/or L&W Class Settlements expired on July 8, 2013. On May 23, 2013, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 16877] outlining the process for submission of claims, which process began on May 27, 2013. On September 27, 2013, the Court issued an Order extending the Claims Submission period up until and through October 25, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17157].

BrownGreer, the court appointed Settlement Administrator, has had several telephone and in-person meetings with various counsel to address issues regarding the claims process. A representative from BrownGreer will be present at the status conference to address settlement claims issues. BrownGreer filed Notices of Approved Claims Administrator Procedures [Rec. Doc. 17090 and 17160], which included:

1. CAP 2013-1(September 12, 2013) – Establishes a CAP process and sets out the procedures for issuing CAPs.
2. CAP 2013-2 (September 12, 2013) - Sets out a detailed explanation of how the Settlement Administrator will review claims for indicia of Chinese Drywall and other facts related to builder, supplier and installer information for an Affected Property and adopts an Affected Property Information Affidavit for use by Claimants who do not have documentary evidence of their builder, supplier or installer.
3. CAP 2013-3 (September 12, 2013) - Sets out a detailed explanation of the procedure that the Settlement Administrator will follow in processing incomplete claims, including establishment of time frames to cure deficiencies.
4. CAP 2013-4 (October 1, 2013) – Sets forth the timing by which claimants, the Knauf Defendants or the Lead Contractor may request mediation with the Special Master pursuant to Knauf Settlement Agreement.
5. CAP 2013-5 (October 1, 2013) – Sets out a detailed explanation of the appellate procedure available to claimants after the Settlement Administrator issues an Eligibility, Denial, or Incompleteness Denial for a claim.
6. CAP 2013-6 (January 2, 2014) – Sets forth the timing by which claimants may supplement claims made after the October 25, 2013 deadline.
7. CAP 2014-7 (April 21, 2014) – Deadline for return of Work Authorization Packets for Option 1 remediation and all documentation for Option 2 or 3 pursuant to the Knauf Class Settlement.
8. CAP 2014-8 (July 15, 2014) – Sets forth the proof requirements for the claims submitted by the Knauf Defendants for payment out of the Global, Banner, and INEX settlements.
9. CAP 2014-9 (September 11, 2014) – Distribution of funds from the Global, Banner, and Inex Repair and Relocation Qualified Settlement Funds.

On August 12, 2013, a Joint Notice of Filing of Settlement Documents was filed by PLC and DLC [Rec. Doc. 16978] attaching the Settlement Agreement Regarding Post-December 9, 2011 Claims Against the Knauf Defendants in MDL No. 2047, with attached exhibits. On October 3, 2013, a Joint Motion and Incorporated Memorandum to Substitute Fourth Amendment to Settlement Agreement Regarding Claims Against the Knauf Defendants in MDL No. 2047 was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17165]. On November 1, 2013, the Court issued an Order approving the Motion to Substitute the Fourth Amendment [Rec. Doc. 17220].

On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Establish Various Qualified Settlement Funds (QSFs) and to Appoint Fund Administrator and Depository Bank relating to the Global, Knauf, Banner, InEx and L&W Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17009]. On September 9, 2013, the Court issued various Orders approving the QSFs [Rec. Docs. 17064 thru 17076].

On October 31, 2013, the Court issued an Order in connection with the Knauf Settlement substituting the Whitney National Bank as Escrow Agent in place of U.S. Bank in connection with the depository bank for the Remediation Fund [Rec. Doc. 17219].

On September 25, 2013, Class Counsel and Insurance Liaison Counsel filed a Joint Motion to Disburse Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 17152] in connection with the Global Settlement, and on October 21, 2013, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17178].

On October 23, 2013, Class Counsel and counsel for InEx filed a Joint Motion to Disburse Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 17185] in connection with the InEx settlement. On November 5, 2013, the Court issued an Order granting the Motion [Rec. Doc. 17228].

On November 7, 2013, the Court issued an Order requiring all settling defendants in the various settlements to deposit their settlement proceeds into the Court registry [Rec. Doc. 17236]. Philip Garrett has performed an analysis of the various settlements and the funding, and

Class Counsel filed a Motion to Authorize Deposit of Settlement Funds on December 12, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17321]. On January 17, 2014, the Court issued an Order authorizing the deposit of the settlement funds into the various QSFs [Rec. Doc. 17398] and on February 11, 2014 the Court issued an Amended Order [Rec. Doc. 17426].

On April 3, 2014, an Order was issued [Rec. Doc. 17590] directing all Class Members who are participating in the Various Settlements to administratively dismiss all state court cases.

On May 30, 2014, various claimants in the *Payton* case filed a Motion to Appoint Guardians for Their Knauf Settlement Claim and Motion for Extension of Time for Election of Remedy Under Knauf Settlement [Rec. Doc. 17713]. On June 10, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17736] granting a 90-day extension to pending deadlines regarding election of remedies under the Knauf Settlement and gave claimants until August 30, 2014 to elect their remedy and advise the Knauf Defendants.

The parties will be prepared to discuss these matters further at the status conference on September 18, 2014.

VIII. SHARED COSTS FUND

On December 28, 2011, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion to Establish a Shared Costs Fund [Rec. Doc. 12086] in compliance with the Knauf Settlement. On December 29, 2011, the Court entered an Order [Rec. Doc. 12102] authorizing Russ M. Herman, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, and Arnold Levin, Plaintiff's Lead Counsel, to establish a Shared Costs Fund with Esquire Bank. The Shared Costs Fund has been established. On July 3, 2012, the PSC filed a Second Motion for Disbursement of Funds From the MDL 2047 PSC Shared Costs Fund (For Expenses) [Rec. Doc. 15209]. On July 9, 2012, the Court entered an Order

granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 15231]. On November 9, 2012, the PSC filed a Third Motion for Disbursement of Funds From the MDL 2047 PSC Shared Costs Fund [Rec. Doc. 16145]. On November 29, 2012, the Court entered an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 16329]. On January 16, 2013, the PSC filed a Fourth Motion for Disbursement of Funds From the MDL 2047 Costs Fund [Rec. Doc. 16506]. On February 4, 2013, the Court entered an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 16555].

The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

IX. TAISHAN DEFENDANTS

On September 4, 2012, the Court issued an Order & Reasons [Rec. Doc. 15755] denying Defendant Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd.'s Renewed Motion to Vacate the Default Judgment and Dismiss the Complaint [Rec. Doc. 13490] relating to *Germano, et al vs. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al* (EDLA No. 09-6687), Renewed Motion Pursuant to Rules 55(C) and 12(B)(2) to Vacate Entry of Default and Dismiss This Action [Rec. Doc. 13566] relating to *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-4115), and Motion Pursuant to Rule 12(B)(2) to Dismiss the Complaint relating to *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-6690) [Rec. Doc. 13590] and *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* (EDLA No. 10-361) [Rec. Doc. 13591]. In addition, Judge Farina issued an Order on August 31, 2012 denying Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd.'s Motion to Vacate the Entry of Default and to Dismiss the Complaint, in the matter of *Lennar Homes, LLC, et al vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al*, In the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida, Chinese Drywall Division, Case No.: 09-07901 CA 42. On October 24, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter. On October 25, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* matter. On October 26, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter.

On September 14, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. filed a Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) to Certify the Court's Order & Reasons for Interlocutory Appeal and Stay Further Proceedings Pending the Appeal in the *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-4115) matter [Rec. Doc. 15812]. On September 14, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) to Certify the Court's Order & Reasons for Interlocutory Appeal and Stay Further Proceedings Pending the Appeal in the *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-6690) and *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* (EDLA No. 10-361) matters [Rec. Doc. 15813]. On October 16, 2012, the Court issued an Order & Reasons granting these Motions [Rec. Doc. 15952].

On October 24, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter. On October 25, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court

of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* matter. On October 26, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter. On December 4, 2012, the Fifth Circuit granted Taishan's Motion for Leave to Appeal. The case number for the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals regarding the *Gross, Mitchell* and *Wiltz* matters is No. 12-31213. These three (3) consolidated appeals were argued in Houston, Texas on February 5, 2014 and on May 20, 2014, the Fifth Circuit issued an opinion affirming the District Court [Document: 00512636188]. On June 11, 2014, a mandate was issued affirming the Judgment of the District Court and filed with the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana [Rec. Doc. 17756].

On October 2, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. filed a Notice of Appeal in the *Germano, et al vs. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al* (EDLA No. 09-6687) [Rec. Doc. 15871]. On October 16, 2012, this appeal (5th Cir. Case No. 12-31017) was consolidated with the previous appeal in *Germano* (5th Cir. Case No. 10-30568). This appeal was heard, with oral argument, before the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals on Wednesday, October 9, 2013. On January 28, 2014, the Fifth Circuit issued an opinion affirming the District Court [Document: 00512513792]. On February 19, 2014, a mandate was issued affirming the Judgment of the District Court and filed with the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana [Rec. Doc. 17458]. On July 2, 2014, a Bill of Costs was filed with the Court by Plaintiffs [Rec. Doc. 17825] and on September 3, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17992] setting a conference on this matter after the status conference and motion hearings on September 18, 2014. On June 16, 2014, the PSC filed a Motion to Examine Judgment Debtor of Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. [Rec.

Doc. 17760]. On June 2014, the Court ordered Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. to appear in open court on July 17, 2014 to be examined as a judgment debtor [Rec. Doc. 17774]. Taishan failed to appear for the July 17, 2014 Judgment Debtor Examination and the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17869] ordering that Taishan pay \$15,000.00 in attorney's fees to Plaintiffs' counsel; that Taishan pay \$40,000.00 as a penalty for contempt; that Taishan, and any of its affiliates or subsidiaries be enjoined from conducting any business in the United States until or unless it participates in this judicial process, and if Taishan violates the injunction, it must pay a further penalty of 25% of the profits earned by the Company or its affiliate who violate the Order for the year of the violation; and that the Clerk of Court forward the Contempt Order to the U.S. Secretary of Commerce, the Chair of the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation and the U.S. Attorney General so that these officials are aware of the seriousness of the situation, and for any appropriate action they may see fit. On July 28, 2014, Senator Nelson appeared on the floor of the United States Senate and issued a statement regarding Chinese drywall and the Chinese Government and its companies' moral and legal obligations. (*See* Congressional Record Vol. 160, No. 119, July 28, 2014, at page S4977. Additionally, *see* Senator Vitter's comments of July 29, 2014, at page S5044.)

On September 4, 2014, the Plaintiffs-Intervenors/Judgment Creditors and Putative Plaintiff Class Members filed a Notice of Filing (Admission of Fact by Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. to Request for Admissions dated July 21, 2014) [Rec. Doc. 17993].

On September 2, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee propounded Request for Production of Documents on Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. and Taihe Tai'an Plasterboard Co., Ltd. and filed a Motion for Expedited Return on

Discovery and to File Discovery Under Seal [Rec. Doc. 17983]. On September 3, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17988] granting the motion and ordering the Taishan Defendants to respond to the Request for Production of Documents issued to it by the PSC no later than 15 days from the issuance and ordering the discovery filed under seal.

On July 14 and 15, 2014, counsel for Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. filed two Motions to Withdraw as Counsel of Record, one in *Germano* and another in eleven other listed cases [Rec. Doc. 17846 and Rec. Doc. 17858]. On July 16, 2014, the PSC filed a Consolidated Opposition to Motions to Withdraw as Counsel of Record [Rec. Doc. 17863] and on July 23, 2014, a Supplemental Memorandum in Support of PSC's Consolidated Opposition to Motions to Withdraw as Counsel of Record was filed [Rec. Doc. 17881]. On August 6, 2014 a Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record was filed by Hogan Lovells LLP and Stanley, Reuter, Ross, Thornton & Alford LLC [Rec. Doc. 17938]. On September 2, 2014, the PSC filed a Motion for Extension to File Sur-Reply [Rec. Doc. 17984] and on September 3, 2014, the Court issued an Order [17989] granting the motion giving the PSC up until and through thirty (30) days after the Taishan Defendants provide responses to the PSC of discovery propounded to the Taishan Defendants by the PSC. The Motions to Withdraw [Rec. Docs. 17846 and 17858] are to be scheduled for hearing at a later date.

In connection with enforcement and collection of the Court's Judgment in the *Germano* matter, the PSC issued Notices of Deposition to T. Rowe Price Group, Inc., JP Morgan Chase & Co., Morgan Stanley and Alibaba.com, Inc., scheduling corporate representative depositions on August 11, 2014. The PSC was contacted by counsel for each of the four (4) entities and the dates of the depositions are to be rescheduled after further discussions take place. On August 11, 2014, T. Rowe Price Group, Inc. filed a Motion to Quash Subpoena [17948]. On

September 2, 2014, T. Rowe Price Group, Inc. filed a Motion to Continue/Reschedule September 3, 2014 hearing date on its Motion to Quash [Rec. Doc. 17985] and the court issued an Order on September 3, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17987] granting the motion and setting T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.'s Motion to Quash for hearing, with oral argument, on October 21, 2014, following the monthly status conference. On September 12, 2014, Morgan Stanley filed a Motion to Quash Subpoena [Rec. Doc. 18003] and on September 12, 2014 the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 18005] setting the Motion to Quash for hearing, with oral argument, October 21, 2014, following the monthly status conference. Responses to both motions to quash are ordered to be filed by October 10, 2014.

On July 31, 2014, the PSC filed a Motion for Contempt against corporate officers of Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd., Chairmen Jia Tonchun and Peng Shiliang [Rec. Doc. 17920]. On September 18, 2014, the Court Motion for Contempt and took the matter under advisement. The parties await a ruling.

On July 29, 2014, the *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. The State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 14-1727, Omni XIX Complaint was filed which names as Defendants the State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd., Beijing New Building Materials Public Limited Co., China National Building Material Co., Ltd., Beijing New Building Materials (Group) Co., Ltd., China National Building Materials Group Corporation. These Defendants are the upstream subsidiaries and affiliates of the Judgment Debtor, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd.

The PSC has filed three complaints, (1) *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 2:11-cv-377, United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia; (2) *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 1:11-cv-22408-MGC, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida; and (3) *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 2:11-cv-1395, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, against Taishan and allegedly related companies that the PSC claims reinforces the purposeful availment of these companies to federal jurisdiction by marketing their products to the United States. These cases will be served pursuant to the Hague Convention in due course. The *Amorin* complaints filed in Florida and Virginia were transferred to the MDL on July 19, 2011 and all three have been filed into the record as Omnibus Class Action Complaints in Intervention (see Section VI above).

On September 27, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment against CNBM USA Corp. and BNBM of America, Inc. in the matter of *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 2:11-cv-1395[Rec. Doc. 15847]. The matter is not yet set for hearing.

On September 11, 2013, the appeal filed with the Third District Court of Appeal, State of Florida, in the *Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. v. Lennar Homes, LLC*, No. 3D12-2591, Lower Tribunal No. 09-7901, matter was AFFIRMED.

On June 13, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion to Lift Stay to Permit Further Proceedings Against Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. and Begin Proceedings Against Remaining Defendants [Rec. Doc. 17754]. On June 23, 2014, the Court issued an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 17777].

On June 24, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion to Approve Alternative Service of Process Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(f)(3) [Rec. Doc. 17782] with respect to the Taishan Defendants. On June 25, 2014, the Court issued an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 17790].

X. VENTURE SUPPLY & PORTER BLAINE DEFENDANTS

On January 15, 2013, the Court entered a Minute Entry [Rec. Doc. 16505] granting (1) Preliminarily Approving Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remain Claims; Conditionally Certifying the Settlement Classes and Approving the Form Notice to Class Members.

On May 8, 2013, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion for an Order (1) Certifying Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Settlement Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remaining Claims; (2) Granting Final Approval to the Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements; and (3) Approving an Allocation Plan for the Four Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16806]. On July 9, 2013, the Court entered an Order (1) Certifying Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Settlement Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remaining Claims; (2) Granting Final Approval to the Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements; and (3) Approving an Allocation Plan for the Four Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16934]. On July 12,

2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Approve Funding, Administration and Special Master Services [Rec. Doc. 16939] and the Court entered an Order granting the motion on July 19, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16956].

The Claims process for the Four Virginia-based Settlements is scheduled to take place in two phases: Real Property Claims and Other Loss Claims. On October 14, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order (1) Approving the Real Property Claim Form and (2) Setting a Deadline of December 16, 2013 for Filing Real Property Claims in each of the Four Virginia-Based Chinese Drywall Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17170]. On October 24, 2013, the Court entered an Order approving the Real Property Claim Form and setting a claim filing deadline of December 16, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17208]. The Real Property Claims phase is already underway -- as of August 11, 2014, the Garretson Resolution Group (the Claims Administrator for the Four Virginia-based Settlements) reported that there were 262 active Real Property claims, each of which was sent a Real Property Determination Notice on July 21, 2014. As part of the Determination Notice process, each class member had until August 20, 2014 to appeal the Determinations. The Special Master received a total of thirteen appeals, eight of which were challenges to the application of Section 10 of the Allocation Plan to their claims and five of which were challenges to their square footage determinations. On August 27, 2014, after conducting a full review of each appeal, the Special Master issued responses to the Class Members who had appealed, granting nine of the thirteen appeals and denying the remaining four. The four whose appeals were denied were given until September 12, 2014 to further appeal to the Court. Upon completion of the appeals process, Garretson Resolution Group will allocate the Real Property funds and begin issuing Real Property payments.

On December 6, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order (1) Approving the Other Loss Claim Form and (2) Setting a Deadline of March 17, 2014 for Filing Other Loss Claims in Each of the Four Virginia-Based Chinese Drywall Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17308]. On December 9, 2013, the Court issued an Order (1) Approving the Other Loss Claim Form and (2) Setting a Deadline of March 17, 2014 for Filing Other Loss Claims in Each of the Four Virginia-Based Chinese Drywall Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17319]. Garretson Resolution Group continues to review such claims and is working with Class Counsel to resolve questions arising from such reviews.

On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Establish Various Qualified Settlement Funds (QSFs) and to Appoint Fund Administrator and Depository Bank (Virginia Settlements) [Rec. Doc. 17010]. On September 9, 2013, the Court issued various Orders approving the QSFs [Rec. Docs. 17077 thru 17084].

On February 25, 2014, Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order Granting Incentive Awards [Rec. Doc. 17460] seeking to award sixteen households for their extraordinary support to the Court during the *Germano* trial and various Virginia state court trial settings. On May 5, 2014, the Court issued an Order granting the Motion for Order Granting Incentive Awards [Rec. Doc. 17663].

On May 21, 2014, Matthew Garretson, Claims Administrator for the Four Virginia-based Settlements, wrote the Court regarding the Statue of Limitations criteria under Section 10 of the Four Virginia-based Settlements Allocation Plan, document [16800 -7], and the Court issued a Show Cause Order [Rec. Doc. 17703]. On June 23, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17778].

On May 22, 2014, Venture Supply, Inc. and the Porter-Blaine Corporation filed a Notice of Disposal of Physical Evidence [Rec. Doc. 17706]. On June 6, 2014, the PSC filed a Motion to Preclude Disposal of Physical Evidence [Rec. Doc. 17723]. On June 10, 2014, Venture Supply, Inc. and the Porter-Blaine Corporation filed a Memorandum in Opposition to the motion [Rec. Doc. 17733]. On June 18, 2014, the Court entered an Order which states that if the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee finds it necessary to preserve the 20 sheet of Taishan drywall in questions, then they must take over all responsibility for the preservation [Rec. Doc. 17769]. On June 24, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion Regarding Disposal of Physical Evidence (Venture Supply, Inc. and Porter-Blaine Corporation) and for Expedited Consideration [Rec. Doc. 17779]. On July 1, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17813] granting the motion and approving the protocol outlined by the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee. The Plaintiffs' Steering Committee has preserved the drywall in accordance with the protocol.

XI. PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT PROFILE FORMS

On November 3, 2011, the Court entered Pre-Trial Order No. 25 [Rec. Doc. 11151] setting a deadline of November 15, 2011 for the completion and submission of profile forms. On February 6, 2012, the PSC filed a Motion to Compel or Alternatively For Sanctions in Accordance With Pre Trial Order No. 25 for Certain Defendants to Produce Completed Profile Forms [Rec. Doc. 12435]. On February 22, 2012, the PSC filed a First Notice of Errata to the Motion to Compel or Alternatively For Sanctions in Accordance with Pre Trial Order No. 25 for Certain Defendants to Produce Completed Profile Forms [Rec. Doc. 12548].

XII. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

The "MDL FAQs" may be found at www.laed.uscourts.gov/Drywall/FAQ.htm. Liaison counsel reminds the parties to review the FAQs before contacting Liaison Counsel. The

parties will be prepared to discuss this issue at the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

XIII. MATTERS SET FOR HEARING FOLLOWING THE CURRENT STATUS CONFERENCE

1. Omnibus Motion for Class Certification Pursuant to Rules 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(3) [Rec. Doc. 17883]: **TAKEN UNDER AVISEMENT.**

XIV. PRO SE CLAIMANTS

On November 8, 2011, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 11327] appointing Robert M. Johnston of Johnston, Hoefer, Holwadel & Eldridge, 400 Poydras Street, Suite 2450, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130, as a *pro se* curator to assist the growing number of *pro se* claimants in the MDL litigation who are seeking information and/or representation.

XV. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE PRESERVATION ORDER

On October 9, 2009, the Court issued Pre-Trial Order 1(B) relating to the preservation of physical evidence from properties that may be repaired during the course of these MDL proceedings. The PSC, HSC and Knauf have agreed on a revised evidence preservation order, proposed Pre-Trial Order No. 1(I), that reduces the amount of physical evidence required to be preserved from a repaired home. The proposed order was submitted to the Court on January 24, 2012. Pre-Trial Order 1I was entered by the Court on January 24, 2012 [Rec. Doc. 12257]. The parties will be prepared to address this matter at the status conference on September 18, 2014.

XVI. ENTRY OF PRELIMINARY DEFAULT

Pursuant to Minute Entry dated February 23, 2012 [Rec. Doc. 12687], the Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment [Rec. Doc. 11234] and errata thereto [Rec. Doc. 11773, 12265, 12551] was granted. On February 24, 2012, the Court signed

an Entry of Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 12599] of defendants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Entry of Preliminary Default.

On July 18, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Second Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment [Rec. Doc. 15412]. An Errata to Plaintiffs' Second Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default was filed on October 8, 2012 [Rec. Doc. 15898]. On October 10, 2012, the Court granted the motion, but excluded Cornerstone Construction and Distinctive Drywall. On October 19, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Errata to Plaintiffs' Second Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment as to the Defaulting Defendants [Rec. 15972]. On October 26, 2012, the Court granted an Order clarifying which entities were in default [Rec. Doc. 16030]. Homebuilders' Liaison Counsel has received requests from several builders, asking that the Court address personal jurisdiction over the entities identified in the motion for entry of preliminary default prior to the entry of any final default judgment. On September 12, 2013, Plaintiffs' third Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17089]. On October 15, 2013, Errata to Plaintiffs' Third Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17172]. On June 5, 2014, an Amended Errata to Plaintiffs' Third Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17722]. On January 10, 2014, Plaintiffs' Fourth Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17378]. On June 24, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed the Fifth Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17781]. On June 25, 2014, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Third Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17792], Fourth Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17793], and Plaintiffs' Fifth Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17791]. On June 30, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion to Amend/Correct Order Granting Plaintiffs' Third

Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17800], which was granted by the Court on July 1, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17814]. On July 1, 2014, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion to Amend/Correct Order Granting Plaintiffs' Fourth Omnibus Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17802], which was granted by the Court on July 1, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17815], and Motion to Amend/Correct Order Granting Plaintiffs Fifth Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 17803], which was granted on July 1, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17816]. The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

XVII. ALREADY REMEDIATED HOMES

The Already Remediated Homes Committee has met on several occasions to discuss properties that have not yet been resolved. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and Knauf scheduled meetings in April and May 2014 with various counsel to address deficiencies and resolution of claims for Already Remediated Properties. The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

XVIII. LOUISIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

On April 7, 2014, a joint letter submission concerning the Louisiana Attorney General was submitted to the Court. On April 29, 2014, the parties filed a Joint Scheduling Order concerning amendments to the pleadings and removal from Louisiana state court [Rec. Doc. 17641]. On May 20, 2014, the Court issued a Joint Scheduling Order outlining specific deadline dates [Rec. Doc. 17697]. On June 27, 2014, the State of Louisiana filed a Notice of Compliance with Court Orders [Rec. Doc. 17796]. The parties will be prepared to discuss this matter at the monthly status conference on September 18, 2014.

On June 9, 2014, the State of Louisiana filed a Motion to Prohibit Disposal of Physical Evidence by the Knauf Defendants [Rec. Doc. 17730] and Request for Oral Argument

[Rec. Doc. 17732]. On June 18, 2014, the Court entered an Order setting forth that if Knauf incurs additional costs associated with moving or testing the boards, as a result of the Louisiana Attorney General's actions, Knauf should save all receipts and records of these expenses. If the matter proceeds to trial and the Court determines that the evidence recovered is not relevant to the issues at trial, the Louisiana Attorney General will have to reimburse Knauf for these expenses [Rec. Doc. 17769].

On August 11, 2014, the State of Louisiana filed a Notice of Intent to Withdraw Motion to Remand [Rec. Doc. 17946] and on August 27, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17978] setting a deadline to respond and indicating the matter would be discussed at the September 18, 2014 status conference.

XIX. NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court has scheduled the next monthly status conference on **November 9, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.** Following the November Status Conference, the Court will hear Knauf's Motion to Reconsider the Order Regarding Oceanique (Rec. Doc. 19428).¹ The December conference will take place on **December 7, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.** The conference call information for these conferences will be available on the Court's MDL website on the Calendar page.

¹ This oral argument was previously set for November 4, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.