# MINUTE ENTRY FALLON, J. JANUARY 22, 2009

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

In re: VIOXX																		*	MDL Docket No. 1657		
																			*		
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION											ΖL	J	<b>TI</b>	GA	T	IC	)N	J	*	SECTION "L"	
												*	:								
																		*	JUDGE FALLON		
																		*			
																			*	MAGISTRATE JUDGE KNOWLES	
																			*		
* :	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*		

## THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

The monthly status conference was held on this date in the Courtroom of Judge Eldon E. Fallon. The Court first met with members of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC") and the Defendants' Steering Committee ("DSC") to discuss agenda items for the conference. At the conference, counsel reported to the Court on the topics set forth in Joint Report No. 43 of Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel. This monthly status conference was transcribed by Ms. Karen Anderson Ibos, Official Court Reporter. Counsel may contact Ms. Ibos at (504) 589-7776 to request a copy of the transcript. A summary of the monthly status conference follows.

#### I. <u>SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT</u>

On November 9, 2007, the parties announced the establishment of a Vioxx Resolution Program that encompasses all claims that allege a heart attack, sudden cardiac death, or stroke. The Court has posted on its website, <u>http://vioxx.laed.uscourts.gov</u>, information regarding the Resolution Program, as well as the Pre-Trial Orders entered by the Court. The full text of the Master Settlement Agreement and exhibits, together with registration and enrollment forms and instructions can be found at the Claims Administrators' website at

http://www.browngreer.com/vioxxsettlement. Parties seeking additional information or assistance may contact the Claims Administrator via its toll-free telephone number, 1-866-866-1729, or its e-mail address, <u>claimsadmin@browngreer.com.</u> Further information regarding the settlement program is available at the website sponsored by the MDL Plaintiffs' Steering Committee: <u>http://www.officialvioxxsettlement.com</u>.

On November 9, 2007 and thereafter, the Court entered the following Pre-Trial Orders, which are available on the Court's website:

Pre-Trial Order 28 (November 9, 2007), with accompanying Exhibits A and B, requires certain plaintiffs with claims pending or tolled as of November 9, 2007, to produce certain information within a specified time period. The parties have jointly submitted a revised amendment to Pretrial Order 28 to allow service of notices for preservation of records by either certified or registered mailings. On January 7, 2008, the Court extended by thirty days Pre-Trial Order 28's January 8th deadline to mail record preservation letters to health-care providers and pharmacies. On January 18, 2008, the Court entered Pre-Trial Order 28A which amends and supplements Pre-Trial Order 28 by clarifying that service of notices of preservation may be by certified mail as well as registered mail. By Order & Reasons entered May 30, 2008, the Court extended the deadline to provide case specific expert reports for plaintiffs whose last names begin with A through L

until July 1, 2008, and the deadline to provide case specific expert reports for plaintiffs whose last names begin with M through Z until August 1, 2008.

- Pre-Trial Order 29 (November 9, 2007), with accompanying Exhibits A and B, requires plaintiffs whose claims are filed in or transferred to the MDL on or after November 9, 2007 to produce certain information within a specified time period. Revised versions of Exhibits A and B subsequently were made available and can be edited and completed electronically.
- Pre-Trial Order 30 (November 9, 2007) temporarily stays activity in the MDL with certain exceptions.
- Pre-Trial Order 31 (November 9, 2007), with accompanying Exhibits A and B, requires the registration of claims. Revised versions of Exhibits A and B subsequently were made available and can be edited and completed electronically. Pre-Trial Order 31A (December 4, 2007) amends and supplements Pre-Trial Order 31 by clarifying that the Registration Affidavits and Registration of Claims Spreadsheet need not be filed with the Court and provides addresses where the registration affidavits should be served. Pre-Trial Order 31B (December 14, 2007) amends and supplements Pre-Trial Order 31 and 31A by clarifying that the Registration of Claims Spreadsheets need not filed with the Claims Administrator. Pre-Trial Order 31B also amends the Registration Affidavit.
- Pre-Trial Order 32 (November 20, 2007) provides for the appointment of a committee of plaintiffs' attorneys to be responsible for recommending to the

Court the allocation to be made by the Court of awards of attorneys' fees from the Settlement Fee and Cost Account.

- Pre-Trial Orders 33 and 34 (December 10, 2007) direct Plaintiffs' Liaison
  Counsel to contact *pro se* plaintiffs and *pro se* tolling claimants regarding the settlement package.
- Pre-Trial Order 35 (April 10, 2008) sets out the rules and procedures relating to the authorization for release of healthcare, pharmacy and other records relating to claimants' enrolling in the Vioxx Resolution Program.
- Pre-Trial Order 36 (May 6, 2008) sets forth the procedure for a plaintiff's counsel to follow when seeking to withdraw from representation based on the grounds that a plaintiff cannot be found or fails to respond to communications from counsel and for other grounds.
- Pre-Trial Order 6C (April 10, 2008) supplements Pre-Trial Order 6 by setting forth the time and expense reporting procedure for submissions by Negotiating Plaintiffs' Counsel ("NPC") and by setting forth additional procedures for submissions of plaintiffs' counsel's time and expense submissions relating to matters common to all claimants in state court Vioxx litigation matters.

As announced at the January 18, 2008, monthly status conference, the parties amended the Settlement Agreement in order to clarify certain provisions, including amendments to certain provisions regarding the Extraordinary Injury Fund, Sections 1.2.8.1 and 11.1.5 of the Settlement Agreement, and other miscellaneous amendments. A copy of the amendment is available on the Claims Administrator's website, <u>http://www.browngreer.com/vioxxsettlement.</u> Also, on February 28, 2008, a Second Amendment was announced to extend the date to March 31, 2008, for claimants seeking to qualify for an interim payment pursuant to the Vioxx Resolution Program to submit releases and certain other documentation. A copy of the amendment is available on the Claims Administrator's website,

#### http://www.browngreer.com/vioxxsettlement.

On August 27, 2008, the Court issued an Order and Reasons capping contingent fee arrangements for all counsel representing claimants in the Vioxx global settlement at 32% plus reasonable costs. In other words, pursuant to the Court's Order, all Vioxx claimants are entitled to receive at least 68% of their settlement award, minus reasonable costs. The Order and Reasons are posted on the Court's website, <u>http://vioxx.laed.uscourts.gov.</u>

A group of attorneys (identified as the Vioxx Litigation Consortium) has filed a motion to reconsider the Court's order. These attorneys seek to collect contingent fees in excess of the 32% cap set by the Court. Accordingly, the Court has appointed the Tulane Civil Litigation Clinic to represent the interests of claimants whose settlement awards will be affected by the Court's Capping Order. Additionally, on December 19, 2008, the court entered an Order appointing the Tulane Civil Litigation Clinic to represent claimants affected by the motion to reconsider in light of a conflict of interest. On December 31, 2008, the Vioxx Litigation Consortium filed an emergency petition for writ of mandamus and stay with the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals requesting that the Fifth Circuit vacate appointment order to stay the Order pending further proceedings. By Order entered January 5, 2008, the Fifth Circuit stayed the Order. Several amicus curiae briefs have been filed and the parties are awaiting further action by the Fifth Circuit.

On September 15, 2008, the Court issued an Order advising that it had several motions to show cause why certain medical records providers should not be held in contempt for failing to comply with requests made for the production of medical records (See Rec. Docs. No. 15702, 15857). On of the motions (Rec. Doc. No. 15702) was set for hearing on September 23, 2008, and the Court issued an Order on September 24, 2008, specifically in regards to the motion filed by Herman, Herman, Katz & Cotlar, LLP, on behalf of certain claimants it represents, that ordered medical providers to produce records or show cause why they should not be held in contempt and fined \$1000 per day for every day after October 17, 2008, until such records are produced. Similar motions by other plaintiffs' counsel have also been filed and another motion was filed by Herman, Herman, Katz & Cotlar, LLP, on December 5, 2008. Medical records continue to be received from providers.

The PLC reports that it has been advised that many claimants have asked for a way to verify the number of points that they have been awarded by BrownGreer, in addition to other information, or, alternatively, for a means to check the amount of awards or settlement payments to which they might be entitled. The parties discussed this issue at the monthly status conference and the Court directed the parties to work together to devise a way for claimants to verify information regarding their case.

The parties have been discussing the establishment of a 468B Qualified Settlement Fund and Amendment to the Escrow Agreement with U.S. Bank in connection with the Settlement Program. The parties reported on this and are working on an appropriate agreement to present to the Court.

## II. REGISTRATION OF CLAIMS IN THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Pre-Trial Order 31, plaintiffs and tolling claimants have been submitting claims for registration. BrownGreer, the Claims Administrator appointed under the Settlement Agreement, provided the Court with a report detailing the status of the registration process as well as the status of interim payments under the Settlement Program. The Claims Administrator reported that there are 29,903 MI claimants–or approximately 62% of the total settling claimants; and 17,574 of the claimants are IS claimants–or approximately 37% of the total settling claimants. The Claims Administrator has sent out 3,364 Notices of Ineligibility. Further, the Claims Administrator will be issuing interim settlement payments to at least 1,418 claimants on January 30, 2009. Finally, the Claims Administrator estimated that it would need to process payments for approximately 2,239 claimants per month to reach its goal. The Claims Administrator hopes to be able to announce by the next status conference the total point value for stroke claims.

Subsequent to the August 20, 2008 monthly status conference and at the request of the Court, BrownGreer provided a notice for distribution to all counsel for enrolled claimants regarding the importance of frequently checking the Claims Administrator's Vioxx Portal website, as any notice posted to the site constitutes valid notice to the attorney and triggers any relevant deadlines for response or appeal. The notice is posted on the Court's website, <u>http://vioxx.laed.uscourts.gov</u>, and urges primary counsel to check the Claims Administrator's Secure Web Portal daily. The Court urges all counsel to monitor the portal closely and work to address any issues presented by notices regarding the status of their clients' claims.

## III. <u>LIEN ADMINISTRATOR</u>

The Garretson Firm has been appointed as the Lien Administrator under the Settlement Agreement. On January 18, 2008, the Court entered a HIPPA-compliant Qualified Protective Order to allow the Lien Administrator to provide a list of claimants to federal and state agencies in order to determine which claimants are beneficiaries of federal Medicare and/or state/territory Medicaid health plans. The Lien Administrator reported that it has agreements in place to deal with statutory liens on all ischemic stroke claims. The Lien Administrator has established a website, <u>http://www.vioxxlienresolution.com</u>, for parties seeking further information.

#### IV. SPECIAL MASTER AND DEPUTY SPECIAL MASTERS

On January 14, 2008, Mr. Patrick A. Juneau was appointed to serve as Special Master under the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Further, on January 16, 2008, Justice John Trotter (Ret.) and Judge Marina Corodemus (Ret.) were appointed to serve as Deputy Special Masters to assist Special Master Patrick Juneau. A meeting took place on March 5, 2008, with the Court and the Special Master and Deputy Special Masters for the purpose of orientation about the Vioxx Resolution Program. As reported at the November status conference, the Special Masters have now begun reviewing claims of appeal on points awards.

## V. <u>STATE COURT TRIAL SETTINGS</u>

No cases are set for trial in the state courts through December 31, 2008.

### VI. <u>CLASS ACTIONS</u>

The Court has under advisement Defendants' Rule 12 Motions to Dismiss the Master Complaints for Medical Monitoring and Purchase Claims. The matter has been briefed and the Court has taken it under submission.

The Defendants filed a Motion to Strike Class Allegations in Plaintiffs' Medical

Monitoring Master Class Action Complaint. The matter has been fully briefed and the Court will set an argument schedule at a later date. At the November status conference, the PSC advised the Court of its intent to dismiss the motions for class certifications asserting personal injury and medical monitoring claims.

#### VII. DISCOVERY DIRECTED TO THIRD PARTIES

On December 12, 2007, the PSC filed with the Court an Emergency Motion to Lift Stay for Purposes of Conducting Discovery Regarding Certain Medical Records in the Possession of Express Scripts, Inc. On May 14, 2008, a telephone status conference was held between the Court, ESI, and the parties to discuss the status of ESI's production of pharmacy records for claimants that are participating in the Vioxx Settlement Program. On June 24, 2008, another telephone status conference took place with the Court. The parties are continuing discussions with ESI in an attempt to obtain medical/pharmacy records from ESI for claimants enrolled in the Settlement Program. Based upon directives from the Court, on June 30, 2008, the PSC issued a subpoena to ESI and further requested that ESI produce a representative for a 30(b)(6) corporate deposition. On August 7, 2008, the PSC filed a Motion to Compel against Express Scripts to comply with the subpoena request for prescription drug documents or data. The matter has been continued. BrownGreer continues to post records as they are received.

## VIII. STATE/FEDERAL COORDINATION - STATE LIAISON COMMITTEE

Representatives of the PSC and the State Liaison Committee continue to communicate on various issues. The State Liaison Committee submitted to the Court an electronic database of all current motions to remand. The State Liaison Committee further reported on extensive initial work in coordinating the discovery efforts of the various Attorney General cases currently

pending before the Court. On December 18, 2008, the Court held a status conference with representatives of the Attorney General cases. Representatives of the Attorney General cases, Merck, and the PSC reported that they have been working diligently to resolve several common discovery issues pending in those cases. The Court will hold another status conference with representatives of the Attorney General cases following this monthly status conference.

#### IX. <u>PRO SE CLAIMANTS</u>

On December 10, 2007, the Court issued Pre-Trial Orders 33 and 34 regarding *Pro Se* Plaintiff Registration and Enrollment Re: Settlement and *Pro Se* Tolling Claimant Registration and Enrollment Re: Settlement. Issues regarding the MDL Settlement Program are discussed in Section I above. Letters to *pro se* individuals were sent on December 12, 2007, advising them of the Settlement Program and Registration Procedure. Numerous *pro se* litigants and tolling claimants have been in communication with the PLC to discuss the Settlement Agreement. Additionally, by Order entered February 12, 2008, the Court appointed Robert M. Johnston of Johnston, Hoefer, Holwadel & Eldridge, as Curator for *pro se* plaintiffs and tolling claimants. The Curator has received several calls from *pro se* plaintiffs with respect to compliance with the Court's Order on Merck's Fourth Motion, Rule and Memorandum to Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed With Prejudice For Failure To Comply With PTO 28.

## X. <u>MERCK'S MOTIONS</u>

On July 3, 2007, the Court denied Merck's Motion for Summary Judgment in the *Lene Arnold* and *Alicia Gomez* cases, in which Merck asserted that plaintiffs' claims were preempted by federal law. On July 12, 2007, Merck filed a Motion to Alter or Amend the Court's July 3, 2007 Order denying Merck's Motion for Summary Judgment to Include Certification for Interlocutory Review Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). Oral argument was held on August 9, 2007, and the Court took the matter under advisement. The matter is currently stayed pending resolution of certain discovery issues.

### XI. ISSUES RELATING TO PRE-TRIAL ORDER NO. 9

On February 9, 2007, the PSC received an Agreement letter with the State of Texas MDL PSC regarding the right of state court litigants to cross-notice expert depositions in Federal MDL 1657 proceedings or use MDL 1657 depositions in trial or in motion practice. The PSC is attempting to secure agreements from other states similar to the agreement reached with the State of Texas MDL PSC.

#### XII. <u>VIOXX SUIT STATISTICS</u>

Merck will be filing its quarterly report in early February which will include an update of the suit statistics and will be able to provide that information at the next monthly status conference.

### XIII. <u>PSC MDL TRIAL PACKAGE</u>

On May 20, 2008, the Court issued Pre-Trial Order No. 37, which governs the terms of access to the PSC trial packages. The Trial Packages were presented to the Court previously for review. Several requests Pursuant to Pre-Trial Order No. 37 have been received by Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and the Trial Package has been sent to a number of counsel who have made proper requests.

## XIV. THIRD PARTY PAYOR CASES

Plaintiffs in certain third party payor cases have requested that the Court consider setting trial dates. On July 11, 2008, the Court convened a status conference to discuss the *Louisiana* 

*Attorney General v. Merck* matter. Since the last status conference, the parties (Merck, the PLC, and many of the AGs) have continued the discussions regarding possible common discovery and other issues. The parties participated in a status conference on December 18, 2008, in which several matters were discussed pertaining to the actions brought by various state governmental entities, including coordination of common discovery in the MDL and selection of cases for potential trial. Counsel for plaintiff in the Louisiana Health Service Indemnity Co. d/b/a BlueCross/BlueShield of La., et al. V. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 06-0713, MDL 1657, matter has requested that the Court set this matter for trial and is prepared to pay a reasonable assessment for use of the PSC's Trial Package.

#### XV. MOTION TO DISMISS FOREIGN INDIVIDUAL CASES

On May 16, 2008, Merck filed a Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why the Foreign Individual Cases Should Not Be Dismissed Under the Doctrine of *Forum Non Conveniens*. The motion was heard on September 11, 2008, and was taken under advisement by the Court.

#### XVI. THIRD PARTY PAYORS' MOTIONS

On or about April 14, 2008, a group of non-governmental sponsors and administrators of ERISA health benefit plans and other insurers (collectively, "AvMed plaintiffs") filed suit against BrownGreer PLC, U.S. Bancorp, Inc., and certain John Does seeking equitable and other relief.

On May 20, BrownGreer and US Bank National Association moved to sever plaintiffs' claims. On June 9, 2008 the AvMed plaintiffs filed a motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction.

On June 27, 2008, the Court issued an order stating that both the motion to sever and the

motion for a preliminary injunction were withdrawn, without prejudice. On July 8, 2008, the Court convened a status conference to discuss the status of the AvMed negotiations. On Monday, July 14, 2008, representatives of the PNC and AvMed met to discuss potential resolution. Later that day, the AvMed plaintiffs re-filed their motion for equitable and other relief, and BrownGreer and US Bank National Association re-filed their motion to sever. Both matters were heard on July 24, 2008, and on August 7, 2008, the Court issued an Order denying AvMed's Request for a Preliminary Injunction and a Request to Stay the Proceedings pending an appeal. Thereafter, on August 8, 2008, AvMed filed a Notice of Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. On August 12, 2008, the Fifth Circuit denied AvMed's Motion to Stay Settlement Distribution Pending Appeal. On August 14, 2008, AvMed filed a Motion for an Expedited Appeal and for a Stay Pending Appeal. BrownGreer and US Bank National Association filed an opposition on August 15, 2008. On August 18, 2008, AvMed filed a Reply to the Opposition. On November 17, 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit concluded that the District Court did not abuse its discretion in denying the AvMed motions for equitable relief and affirmed this Court's Judgment. Following lengthy negotiations, the parties reached a tentative agreement which is expected to favborably resolve these claims by capping recoveries on reasonable terms.

## XVII. <u>1199SEIU GREATER NEW YORK BENEFIT FUND</u>

On June 3, 2008, 1199SEIU Greater New York Benefit Fund and the New York State Teamsters Council Health and Hospital Fund filed a Class Action Complaint (Case No. 08-3627) against BrownGreer; Beasley Allen Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.; Blizzard, McCarthy & Nabers, LLP; Girardi and Keese; Herman, Herman, Katz & Cotlar, LLP; Levin, Fishbein, Sedran & Berman; John Doe Law Firms 1-100, etc.; and Jane Doe Vioxx Claimants 1-1000, etc. Plaintiffs assert ERISA claims and other equitable and declaratory relief. The NPC defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss and Strike Class Allegations in the complaint on June 26, 2008. Also, defendant BrownGreer, PLC, filed a Motion to Strike Class Allegations on July 1, 2008.

On June 17, 2008, 1199SEIU Greater New York Benefit Fund and the New York State Teamsters Council Health and Hospital Fund filed a Motion to Set Preliminary Injunction Hearing Schedule. The scheduling issues were addressed with the Court at a status conference on July 8, 2008. Thereafter, on July 9, 2008, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. On July 10, 2008, defendants filed their responses. The matter came for hearing on July 24, 2008, and on August 7, 2008, the Court issued an Order denying 1199SEIU Greater New York's request for a preliminary injunction. On August 20, 2008, the Court issued an additional Order clarifying its prior Order of August 7, 2008 and denying the Emergency Stay of the Proceedings Pending Appeal. On September 4, 2008, 1199SEIU Greater New York Benefit Fund and the New York Teamsters Counsel Health and Hospital Fund filed a Notice of Appeal.

On September 8, 2008, counsel for 1199SEIU Greater New York Benefit Fund and the New York Teamsters Council Health and Hospital Fund wrote a letter requesting a Rule 26F Conference to discuss a discovery plan and other issues. Thereafter, counsel for 1199SEIU Greater New York Benefit Fund and the New York Teamster's Council Health and Hospital Fund requested that the agenda for the October 17, 2008 status conference include: (1) the hearing on NPC's Motion to Dismiss; (2) the Rule 26 Discovery Conference between the parties; and (3) a Discovery Conference. By Order and Reasons entered October 21, 2008, the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Sever and Motion to Strike Class Allegations. On November 3, 2008, the 1199SEIU Greater New York Benefit Fund and the New York Teamsters Council Health and Hospital Fund plaintiffs filed their opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss. Defendants filed replies on November 14, 2008. On December 18, 2008, the Court heard oral argument on the motion and took the matter under submission.

#### XVIII. MERCK'S NONRESPONSIVE PLAINTIFF'S CROSS-MOTION AND RULE

On September 26, 2008, Merck filed a Third Cross Motion, Rule and Incorporated Memorandum to Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed With Prejudice For Failure To Prosecute. The motion was originally set for hearing on October 10, 2008, but was continued until the upcoming November 2008 status conference to enable compliance with the 60-day deadlines contemplated by Pre-Trial Order No. 36. The motion was heard on November 21, 2008. At the hearing, the Court granted the motion and dismissed with prejudice the claims of all plaintiffs on Exhibit 2 to the motion. As to the plaintiffs on Exhibit 1 to the motion, the Court continued the motion until the monthly status conference on December 19, 2008. The motion was heard following the status conference. The Court dismissed without prejudice the claims of all plaintiffs on Exhibit 1 of the motion.

Additionally, on December 3, 2008, Merck filed a Fourth Cross Motion, Rule, and Incorporated Memorandum to Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed With Prejudice For Failure To Prosecute. That motion, as well, was set for hearing following the monthly status conference on December 19, 2008. Certain claims were deferred to January 22, 2009; the remainder of the claims were dismissed.

## XIX. MERCK'S MOTION AND RULE ON PTO 28 NON-COMPLIANCE

On November 26, 2008, Merck filed its Third Motion, Rule, and Incorporated

Memorandum to Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure To Comply With The Lone Pine Requirements Of PTO 28. That motion was set for hearing on January 9, 2009 and was taken under advisement by the Court.

On December 5, 2008, Merck filed its Fourth Motion, Rule, and Incorporated Memorandum To Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure To Comply With The Lone Pine Requirements Of PTO 28. That motion was set for hearing on the January 22, 2008 monthly status conference. Additionally, as to plaintiffs on Exhibits B-1 and B-2 to that motion, counsel are ordered to serve a copy of the motion and order on those plaintiffs. In turn, those plaintiffs are ordered to take certain steps to contact the Curator and advise as to their plans for prosecution of the cases and other matters. The Court will issue a separate order as to this motion.

## XX. DECISION QUEST, INC.

On August 21, 2008, the Court issued an Order requiring that responses to the letter dated June 30, 2008, regarding payment of fees for Decision Quest, Inc., be prepared for consideration at the September 23, 2008, status conference. Representatives of the PSC and Decision Quest met on October 20, 2008 to discuss the issues and since then further discussions with counsel for Decision Quest and PSC have taken place.

### XXI. FEE ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Pre-Trial Order 6D, the Fee Allocation Committee received a number of affidavits submitted by firms and has reviewed the affidavits, as well as time and expense submissions previously submitted to Wegmann Dazet. Presentations, as ordered in Pre-Trial Order 6D, took place on December 1, 2008 (Atlantic City, New Jersey), December 2, 2008 (New Orleans, Louisiana), December 3, 2008 (Houston, Texas), and December 5, 2008 (Los Angeles, California), for counsel to discuss reasons and grounds for their request for common fees and reimbursement of expenses. On January 20, 2009, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel filed a Motion for Award of Plaintiffs' Common Benefit Counsel Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses. At a later date, the Court will post the motion on the Court's website and set a briefing schedule.

# XXII. <u>MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION/REVISION OF ORDER CAPPING</u> <u>CONTINGENT FEES</u>

On December 10, 2008, a group of five attorneys filed a Motion for Reconsideration/Revision of the Court's Order Capping Contingent Fees and Alternatively for Entry of Judgment. The Court appointed the Tulane Civil Litigation Clinic to represent the interests of claimants whose settlement awards will be affected by the Court's Capping Order. On December 31, 2008, the Vioxx Litigation Consortium filed an emergency petition for a writ of mandamus and stay with the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals requesting that the Fifth Circuit vacate the appointment Order pending further proceedings. The Fifth Circuit stayed the Order pending further proceedings. Several amicus briefs have been filed and the parties are awaiting further action by the Fifth Circuit.

#### XXIII. MERCK'S MOTIONS AND RULES ON PTO 29 NON-COMPLIANCE

On November 19, 2008, Merck filed its First Motion, Rule, and Incorporated Memorandum To Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure To Comply With The Lone Pine Requirements Of PTO 29. The motion was heard following the monthly status conference on December 19, 2009. The Court deferred the motion as to certain plaintiffs and dismissed the claims of other plaitniffs.

On December 8, 2008, Merck filed its Second Motion, Rule, and Incorporated

Memorandum To Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure To Comply With The Lone Pine Requirements Of PTO 29. The motion was set for hearing following the monthly status conference. The Court will issue a separate order resolving the motion.

On January 20, 2009, Merck filed its Third Motion, Rule and Incorporated Memorandum to Show Cause Why Cases Should Not Be Dismissed for Failure to Comply With the Lone Pine Requirements of PTO 29. The matter has not yet been set for hearing. The Court will issue a separate order scheduling the motion for hearing.

### XXIV. <u>NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE</u>

The next monthly status conference will be held on Tuesday, February 10, 2009, at 2:00 p.m., central time. Counsel unable to attend in person may listen-in via telephone at 1-866-213-7163. The access code will be 83054017 and the Chairperson will be Judge Fallon.