

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| IN RE: FEMA TRAILER |) | MDL NO. 2:07-MD-1873 |
| FORMALDEHYDE PRODUCT |) | |
| LIABILITY LITIGATION |) | SECTION "N" (5) |
| |) | |
| THIS DOCUMENT IS RELATED TO: |) | JUDGE ENGELHARDT |
| |) | |
| ALL CASES |) | MAGISTRATE JUDGE CHASEZ |

FINAL ORDER, JUDGMENT AND REASONS

WHEREAS Plaintiffs, the PSC, and Defendants¹ entered into a Stipulation of Settlement, with exhibits (collectively, the "Settlement Agreement"), dated March 14, 2011, to settle this Action and all Pending Actions; and

WHEREAS the Court entered as Preliminary Approval Order dated April 5, 2011, preliminarily certifying the putative Class in this Action for settlement purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3), ordering first-class mail to all Class Members listed on the List of Potential Class Members (or to their attorneys) and Publication Notice to all other potential Class Members, scheduling a Fairness Hearing for August 22, 2011, and providing Class Members

¹ "Defendant" or "Defendants" means CMH Manufacturing Inc.; Southern Energy Homes, Inc.; Giles Family Holdings, Inc.; Giles Industries, Inc.; Giles Industries of Tazewell, Incorporated; Giles Acquisition Corp.; Horton Homes, Inc.; Athens Park Homes, LLC; Silver Creek Homes, Inc.; Redman Homes, Inc. (for itself and in its capacity as the acquiring entity by merger of the corporation formerly known as Dutch Housing, Inc.); Liberty Homes, Inc.; Waverlee Homes, Inc.; ScotBilt Homes, Inc.; Alliance Homes, Inc. d/b/a Adrian Homes; American Homestar Corporation; Oak Creek Homes, Inc.; Oak Creek Homes, L.P.; Cavalier Home Builders, L.L.C.; Cavalier Homes, Inc.; Champion Home Builders Co.; Champion Enterprises, Inc.; Patriot Homes, Inc.; Patriot Manufacturing, Inc.; and Patriot Homes of Texas, L.P.; Fairmont Homes, Inc.; Homes of Merit, Inc.; River Birch Homes., Inc.; TownHomes, LLC; Lexington Homes, Inc.; Destiny Industries, LLC; and Indiana Building Systems, LLC d/b/a Holly Park Homes, Palm Harbor Homes, Inc., Palm Harbor Manufacturing, LP, and Palm Harbor Albemarle, LLC.

with an opportunity either to exclude themselves from the settlement class or object to the proposed settlement (D.E. 20669.);

WHEREAS the Court held a Fairness Hearing on August 22, 2011, to determine whether to give final approval to the proposed settlement; and

WHEREAS the Court hereby grants final certification of the settlement Class, approves the proposed settlement, and dismisses the Actions and Pending Actions with prejudice (among other things).

Based on (i) the Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement signed by or on behalf of the Class and the Defendants, (ii) the memorandum of law in support thereof submitted by Parties, (iii) the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto, (iv) the Joint Motion for Final Approval of Proposed Settlement signed by or on behalf of the Class and the Defendants (the "Joint Motion") and the memorandum of law and evidence filed in support thereof; (v) the evidence and arguments submitted at the Fairness Hearing, and (vi) the relevant law, including, without limitation, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** as follows:

1. Incorporation of Other Documents. This Final Order and Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof:

- (a) the Settlement Agreement submitted to this Court on March 14, 2011 (D.E. 20481-1) and any amendments thereto;
- (b) all exhibits to the Settlement Agreement and exhibits to the Joint Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Settlement, including the Claim Form filed with the Court on April 4, 2011;
- (c) the Court's Preliminary Approval Order;

- (d) the Motion and Memorandum In Support of Final Approval of Proposed Settlement; and
- (e) the exhibits to the Motion and Memorandum In Support of Final Approval of Proposed Settlement.

2. **Jurisdiction.** The Court has personal jurisdiction over all Plaintiffs and has subject-matter jurisdiction over this Action and Pending Actions including, without limitation, jurisdiction to approve the proposed settlement, to grant final certification of the Class, and to dismiss this Action and all Pending Actions on the merits and with prejudice.

3. **Reed Factors Considered.** The Court rigorously applied the following six-factor analysis to determine whether the class action settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and thus worthy of final approval: (1) whether evidence exists that the settlement was obtained by fraud or collusion; (2) the complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation; (3) the stage of the litigation and available discovery; (4) the probability that plaintiffs will prevail on the merits; (5) the range of possible recovery and certainty of damages; and (6) the opinions of class counsel, class representatives, and absent class members. *Newby v. Enron Corp.*, 394 F.3d 296, 301 (5th Cir. 2004) (citing *Reed v. General Motors Corp.*, 703 F. 2d 170 (5th Cir. 1983).

After consideration of the evidence, arguments, and objections, if any, the Court concludes: (i) there was no fraud or collusion among the Parties; (ii) the Settlement Agreement was the result of extensive arms-length negotiations among highly experienced counsel, with full knowledge of the risks inherent in this litigation; (iii) there is a high probability of further complex, extensive, costly litigation extending over a period of many years; (iv) the proceedings are at an advanced stage, with exhaustive discovery, extensive motion practice, and three

bellwether trials already completed; (v) Class Members have a low individual likelihood of success on the merits given the fact that the three bellwether trials conducted for travel trailer plaintiffs have all resulted in complete defense verdicts and that trials for plaintiffs who resided in manufactured homes will be much more difficult; (vi) there is no benefit to the proposed Class from litigation as a Class, for class certification for litigation purposes was denied by this Court; (vii) the potential range of recovery may seem to be high for some individuals, but the three bellwether trials for plaintiffs who resided in travel trailers to date have all resulted in defense verdicts with no recovery to the plaintiffs; and (viii) the Class Representatives and the experienced counsel in the PSC have approved this settlement, with no opposition to the settlement and no opt-outs; (ix) the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate in light of the complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation, in light of the risks involved in establishing liability and damages, and (x) the amount of oral and written discovery and independent investigation conducted in this litigation to date, and the factual record compiled, enable the PSC to make an informed decision as to the fairness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement Agreement.

4. Rule 23 Requirements are Met.

The Court finds that the prerequisites of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Procedure are satisfied for the settlement Class. Specifically:

- (a) The Class was sufficiently ascertainable from the PSC's records and other objective criteria, and the Class Members are so numerous that their joinder before the Court would be impracticable.
- (b) The commonality requirement of Fed. R.Civ. P. 23(b)(3) generally is satisfied when members of the proposed Class share at least one common factual or legal

issue. Here, Plaintiffs alleged numerous questions of fact and law purportedly common to the Class, including claims based on the uniform standard of care set forth in the HUD Code, and including the fact that every individual Plaintiff would have to fund individual destructive testing of their EHU in an effort to prove their claims. Considering the allegations of the Complaint, the Court finds that the allegedly common questions of fact and law predominate over questions of fact and law affecting only individual members of the Class.

- (c) The Court finds that the claims of the representative Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class, and that the representative Plaintiffs and the PSC will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class, in that: (i) the interests of the named Plaintiffs and the nature of their alleged claims and injuries are consistent with those of the Class Members, (ii) there appear to be no conflicts between or among the named Plaintiffs and the Class Members, (iii) the named Plaintiffs have been and appear to be capable of continuing to be active participants in both the prosecution and the settlement of the Action, and (iv) the named Plaintiffs and the Class Members are represented by qualified, reputable counsel who are experienced in preparing and prosecuting large, complicated class actions, particularly those cases involving the type of claims alleged in the Complaint.
- (d) The Court finds that common issues predominate over individual issues in this settlement class because (1) the Class involves a common alleged source of injury – formaldehyde; (2) any individual differences stemming from the different state laws of the four states in issue have more import in the context of litigation rather than settlement; (3) the Settlement sufficiently addresses issues

of product identification, causation, injury and damages, which otherwise would be considered individual in a litigated class; (4) although there are numerous different manufacturers involved as Defendants in this case, the science underlying the general issue— whether formaldehyde generally causes injury and if so, what injuries does it cause – is common to all of them; (5) in light of the dismissal of the Preempted Claims, the remaining claims are based on the uniform standard of care set forth in the HUD Code; (6) the injuries claimed by the purported class members are overwhelmingly similar; and (7) this case involves a small time period of exposure – that few year period when Class Members were living in EHUs manufactured by the Defendants and provided to the Class by FEMA following Hurricanes Katrina and/or Rita.

- (e) The Court finds that a resolution of the Action in the manner proposed by the Settlement Agreement is superior or equal to other available methods for a fair and efficient resolution of the Action, in that, among other reasons, it will avoid the need for costly individual adjudications of Class Member's claims, the management of the class action settlement will be much less difficult than the management of a mass joinder of actions, and, in the present circumstances, there will be no further litigation of the issues and no trial of the litigation. The Court further notes that as of this date, Plaintiffs and various defendants in the MDL have conducted three bellwether jury trials to verdict, all of which have resulted in defense verdicts and have awarded no money or benefits to the bellwether plaintiffs. The Court also notes that, because the Action is being settled, rather than litigated, the Court need not consider manageability issues that might be

presented by the trial of a regional class action involving the issues in this case.

See Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor, 117 S. Ct. 2231, 2248 (1997).

5. Final Certification of Class. After careful consideration, and in light of the conclusions stated above, the Class previously certified preliminarily is hereby finally certified for settlement purposes under Fed. R.Civ. P. 23(b)(3). The Class consists of all persons who Resided for any length of time in an EHU that: (1) was located in Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama or Texas at the time the Class Member Resided in it; (2) was Manufactured by any Defendant; and (3) was provided by FEMA to persons displaced by Hurricanes Katrina and/or Rita. "Class Member" means a member of the Class. There were no opt-outs submitted.

6. Adequacy of Representation. Pursuant to Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and after considering the requisites set forth therein, the Court confirms its prior appointment of the PSC as class counsel to represent the interests of the Class in the Action. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and after considering the requisites set forth therein, the Court confirms its prior appointment of the representatives of the Class, to appear on behalf of and to represent the Class in the Action. After conducting a rigorous analysis of the requirements of Fed. R.Civ. P. 23(a)(4), the Court finds that the PSC and the Class Representatives have fully and adequately represented the Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Fed. Rule Civ. P. 23(a)(4).

7. Class Notice. The Parties submit the Declaration of Wayne Henderson, who supervised the implementation of the Settlement Notice Plan, and the Declaration of the Court-Appointed Special Master, Daniel J. Balhoff, who helped to develop and implement the Settlement Notice Plan. After completing the necessary rigorous analysis, including careful

consideration of Mr. Henderson's Declaration and Mr. Balhoff's Declaration, along with the Declaration of Gerald Meunier, the Court finds that the first-class mail notice to the attorneys of record for the persons on the List of Potential Class Members, Publication Notice and distribution of the notice in accordance with the Settlement Notice Plan, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order:

- (a) constituted the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of this action;
- (b) provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain information pertaining to their rights and obligations under the settlement so that a full opportunity has been afforded to Class Members and all other persons wishing to be heard;
- (c) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of:
 - (i) the pendency of this class action, (ii) their right to exclude themselves from the Class and the proposed settlement, (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed settlement (including final certification of the settlement class, the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the proposed settlement, the adequacy of representation by Plaintiffs or the PSC, and/or the award of attorneys' costs and expenses), (iv) their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing - either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense - if they did not exclude themselves from the Class, and (v) the binding effect of the Preliminary Approval Order and Final Order and Judgment in this action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons who do not timely request exclusion from the Class;

- (d) was calculated to reach a large number of Class Members, and the prepared notice documents adequately informed Class Members of the class action, properly described their rights, and clearly conformed to the high standards for modern notice programs;
- (e) focused on the effective communication of information about the class action. The notices prepared were couched in plain and easily understood language and were written and designed to the highest communication standards;
- (f) afforded sufficient notice and time to Class Members to receive notice and decide whether to request exclusion or to object to the settlement;
- (g) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, effective, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice; and
- (h) fully satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, including the Due Process Clause, and any other applicable law.

The Court further finds that the filing of the settlement papers sparked a wave of nationwide and local media coverage, which also contributed to providing notice to the Class.

8. Claims Process. The Court concludes that the Claim Form was well designed with clear and prominent information that is easily understandable to Class Members. Any Class Member who wished to receive Class Relief must have signed and returned a valid and timely Claim Form to the Special Master in compliance with the Claims Process set forth in the Settlement Agreement and postmarked no later than August 26, 2011.

As set forth in Section VI(F) of the Settlement Agreement, for any Plaintiff who previously produced a signed Plaintiff's Fact Sheet in this case, that Plaintiff's Fact Sheet will be

accepted as that Plaintiff's Claim Form, provided that (1) such Plaintiff's Fact Sheet includes his or her full name, address, gender, date of birth, and social security number, or provided that such information is given to the Special Master within thirty (30) days after the Claim Form Deadline, and (2) such Plaintiff provides the Special Master with acceptable proof that he or she Resided in an EHU Manufactured by a Defendant within ninety (90) days after the Claim Form Deadline.

In the event the parties obtain a "blanket" waiver acceptable to Defendants of all statutory claims asserted by Medicare, the relevant state Medicaid agencies, and any other governmental authority that could claim a portion of the Total Settlement Fund, the Special Master shall have discretion to determine whether a Claimant is an Entitled Class Member regardless of whether the Claimant provided the Special Master with his or her full name, address, gender, date of birth, or social security number with his or her Claim Form or Plaintiff's Fact Sheet. Further, the Special Master shall have discretion to determine the level of proof necessary for a Claimant to prove he or she Resided in an EHU Manufactured by a Defendant. Any Class Member who does not submit a valid and timely Claim Form as determined by the Special Master in compliance with the Claims Process and his proposed methodology is not entitled to Class Relief, but nonetheless is barred by the Release and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and the Final Order and Judgment.

9. Final Settlement Approval. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, including all exhibits, have been entered into in good faith and are hereby fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, each of the Parties and the Class Members, and in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process

Clause), and any other applicable law. The Parties and the PSC are hereby directed to consummate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions.

10. Binding Effect. The terms of the Settlement Agreement and of this Final Order and Judgment shall be forever binding on Plaintiffs and all other Class Members and any other Releasor, and those terms shall have *res judicata* and other preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of any such persons, to the extent those claims, lawsuits or other proceedings involve matters that were or could have been raised in this Action and/or any Pending Action or are otherwise encompassed by the Release.

11. Release and Waiver. The Release, which is set forth in the Settlement Agreement in Section IX, is expressly incorporated herein in all respects and is effective as of the date of this Final Order and Judgment. In return for the consideration provided in the Agreement:

(a) Plaintiffs and all other Class Members and Releasors release, acquit and forever discharge the Releasees from the Released Claims, including but not limited to any and all past, present and future causes of action, claims, damages (including but not limited to compensatory damages, punitive damages, or damages from wrongful death), or any other Damages, awards, equitable, legal and administrative relief, interest, demands or rights that are based upon, related to, or connected with, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part (1) the Released Claims; or (2) the allegations, facts, subjects or issues that have been, could have been, may be or could be set forth or raised in the Action or in any Pending Action, or (3) exposure to formaldehyde in any EHU Manufactured by a Defendant. Plaintiffs and all other Class Members and Releasors do not

release claims for nasopharyngeal cancer and/or myeloid leukemia which do not exist as of the date of the Claim Form Deadline. This is the only exception to the Release.

(b) Plaintiffs and all other Class Members and Releasers, and anyone acting on their behalf or for their benefit, shall not now or hereafter initiate, participate in, maintain, or otherwise bring any claim or cause of action, either directly or indirectly, derivatively, on their own behalf, or on behalf of the Class or the general public, or any other person or entity, against the Releasees based on allegations that are based upon or related to, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part: (1) the Released Claims; (2) the allegations, facts, subjects or issues that have been, could have been, may be or could be set forth or raised in the Action or in any Pending Action; or (3) exposure to formaldehyde in any EHU Manufactured by a Defendant in this case. This provision shall not apply to claims for nasopharyngeal cancer and/or myeloid leukemia which do not exist as of the date of the Claim Form Deadline.

(c) Plaintiffs and all other Class Members and all Releasers, and anyone acting on their behalf or for their benefit, without limitation, are precluded and estopped from bringing any claim or cause of action in the future, related to in any way, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part: (1) the Released Claims, (2) the allegations, facts, subjects or issues that have been, could have been, may be or could be set forth or raised in the Action or in any Pending Action, or (3) exposure to formaldehyde in any EHU Manufactured by a Defendant in this case. This provision shall not apply to claims for nasopharyngeal cancer and/or myeloid leukemia which do not exist as of the date of the Claim Form Deadline.

The Court further finds and determines that:

(d) Plaintiffs and the Class Members, on their behalf and on behalf of all other Releasers, acknowledge that they are releasing both known and unknown and suspected and

unsuspected claims and causes of action, and are aware that they may hereafter discover legal or equitable claims or remedies or injuries or damages presently unknown or unsuspected or unmanifested (including but not limited to personal injury claims), or facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the allegations and subject matters in the Complaint or other filings in the Action or Pending Actions. Nevertheless, it is the intention of Plaintiffs and the Class Members to fully, finally and forever settle and release all such matters, and all claims and causes of action relating thereto, which exist, hereafter may exist, or might have existed (whether or not previously or currently asserted in the Action or any Pending Action). This provision shall not apply to claims for nasopharyngeal cancer and/or myeloid leukemia which do not exist as of the date of the Claim Form Deadline.

(e) No third party, including but not limited to any private attorney general or Cal. Bus. and Prof. Code § 17200 Plaintiff, shall bring any Released Claim on any Releasors' behalf; and

(f) This Release may be raised as a complete defense to and will preclude any action or proceeding that is encompassed by this Release.

12. Permanent Injunction. All Class Members who have not been timely excluded from the Class and all Releasors, and anyone acting on their behalf or for their benefit, are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from: (i) filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, intervening in, participating in (as class members or otherwise), or receiving any benefits or other relief from any other lawsuit, arbitration, or administrative, regulatory or other proceeding or order in any jurisdiction based on or relating to the claims and causes of action that have been, may be or could have been set forth or raised in the Action and Pending Actions, the Released Claims and/or the acts and circumstances relating thereto; (ii) organizing or soliciting the

participation of any Class Members in a separate class for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or by seeking class certification in a pending action) any lawsuit or other proceeding based on or relating to the claims and causes of action that have been, may be or could have been set forth or raised in the Action and Pending Actions, the Released Claims and/or the acts and circumstances relating thereto; or (iii) filing or commencing any action on behalf of the general public based on or relating to the claims and causes of action that have been, may be or could have been set forth or raised in the Action and Pending Actions, the Released Claims and/or the acts and circumstances relating thereto. The Court finds that issuance of this permanent injunction is necessary and appropriate in aid of the Court's jurisdiction over the action and to protect and effectuate the Court's Final Order and Judgment. Any person found in contempt of this injunction will be subject to sanctions. Any Releasee who must seek from the Court the compliance of a Releasor, who is in violation of this injunction, is entitled to reimbursement of his or her or its attorneys' fees incurred as a result of seeking such compliance.

13. Objections to Settlement. The Court provided notice to all Class Members and their representatives of the requirements for objections and appearance at the Fairness Hearing in the Preliminary Approval Order, and provided a fair and adequate opportunity to object to the proposed settlement. No objections were filed.

14. Enforcement of Settlement. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

15. Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. After careful review and consideration of the entire record, and after hearing from PSC and Defendants' Counsel, the PSC and Common Benefit Counsel are hereby awarded Common Benefit Expenses in the amount of \$955,058.68,

to be paid by the Court-appointed distributing agent (“CADA”) out of the Total Settlement Fund. Such Common Benefit Expenses will not be distributed until five (5) days after both of the following have occurred: (1) the Final Settlement Date; and (2) Defendants and their insurers have obtained from all Governmental Authority Third Party Payer/Providers satisfactory proof of satisfaction and discharge of (a) all statutory Medicare claims asserted as to any Entitled Class Member, (b) all statutory liens asserted by a state Medicaid agency or agencies as to any Entitled Class Member and (c) any statutory reimbursement or subrogation right asserted by any other Governmental Third Party Payer/Provider, along with a certification from the LRA as to the same. The PSC and Common Benefit Counsel are hereby awarded up to, and there is hereby reserved, an additional \$271,000 in Common Benefit Expenses,² payable by the CADA out of the Total Settlement Fund, for the reimbursement of ongoing and prospective administration costs to be incurred in finalizing the settlement with the Defendants. The establishment of this reserve of \$271,000 out of the Total Settlement Fund shall occur within five (5) days of the Final Settlement Date. The PSC, in its sole discretion, shall allocate and distribute this Common Benefit Expense award among the PSC and any Common Benefit Counsel. No further Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses will be paid to any attorney representing any Class Member.

16. No Other Payments. The preceding paragraph of this Order covers, without limitation, any and all claims for Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses, costs or disbursements incurred by the PSC or any other counsel representing Plaintiffs or Class Members, or incurred by Plaintiffs or the Class Members, or any of them, in connection with or related in any manner to this Action, or any Pending Action, the settlement of this Action or any Pending Action, the administration of such settlement, and/or the Released Claims.

² See Order of November 17, 2011, approving the services of \$271,000 for ongoing class administration expenses.

17. Incentive Award. Class Representatives are hereby awarded individual incentive awards in the amount of \$750 each. Such award is to be paid by the Total Settlement Fund within 40 days after the payment of Class Benefits pursuant to the conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Any person who objects to the individual incentive awards shall file with the Court a written objection within ten days of the date of this Final Order, Judgment and Reasons. This ten day period shall run concurrently with and shall not in any way extend the delay for filing an appeal from this Final Order, Judgment and Reasons, which shall be immediately appealable.

18. Modification of Settlement Agreement. The Parties are hereby authorized, without needing further approval from the Court and without further notice to the Class, to agree to and adopt such amendments to, and modifications and expansions of the Settlement Agreement as are consistent with this Order and that do not limit the rights of Class Members under the Settlement Agreement.

19. Retention of Jurisdiction. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final Order and Judgment. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment, this Court expressly retains jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the administration, consummation, enforcement and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and of this Final Order and Judgment, and for any other necessary purpose, including, without limitation:

(a) enforcing the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and resolving any disputes, claims or causes of action that, in whole or in part, are related to or arise out of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment (including, without limitation, whether claims or causes of action allegedly related to this case are or are not barred by this Final Order and Judgment, etc.);

(b) entering such additional orders as may be necessary or appropriate to protect or effectuate the Court's Final Order and Judgment approving the Settlement Agreement, dismissing all claims on the merits and with prejudice, and permanently enjoining Class Members and Releasers and anyone acting on their behalf or for their benefit from initiating or pursuing related proceedings, or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of this settlement; and

(c) entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and effectuate this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction; provided, however, that nothing in this paragraph is intended to restrict the ability of the parties to exercise their rights under the Settlement Agreement.

20. **No Admissions.** Neither this Final Order and Judgment nor the Settlement Agreement (nor any other document referred to herein) nor any action taken to carry out this Final Order and Judgment is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission or concession by or against the Defendants, as to the validity of any claim or any actual or potential fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, or as to the appropriateness of certification of the Class herein for litigation purposes. Entering into or carrying out the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related to it, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed evidence of, an admission or concession as to the Defendants' denials or defenses and shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding against any party hereto in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, except as evidence of the settlement or to enforce the provisions of this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement; provided, however, that this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement may be filed in any action against or by the Defendant or Releasees to support a

defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, or any other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

21. Capitalized Terms. Capitalized terms used in this Order but not defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

22. Dismissal of Action. This Action, including all individual and Class claims resolved in it, and all Pending Actions, are hereby DISMISSED ON THE MERITS AND WITH PREJUDICE against Plaintiffs and all other Class Members, without fees or costs to any Party except as otherwise provided in this Final Judgment and Order.

23. Immediate Appeal. There is no just reason for delay, and accordingly, the Final Order and Judgment shall be immediately appealable.

24. CAFA Compliance. The Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715 (“CAFA”), requires that certain federal and state governmental officials be given notice of a proposed class action settlement. Defendants gave CAFA notice to the following entities as follows:

- United States Attorney General: August 16, 2011
- Alabama Manufactured Housing Commission: August 12, 2011
- Louisiana Manufactured Housing Commission: August 15, 2011
- Mississippi Manufactured Housing Division: August 15, 2011
- Texas Manufactured Housing Division: August 15, 2011
- Louisiana State Fire Marshall: August 15, 2011

For CAFA purposes, the Parties filed the proposed settlement on August 11, 2011. This Court did not enter this Final Order and Judgment until ninety (90) days from the date the CAFA

notice was served on the governmental authorities, thus allowing these governmental entities the opportunity to object to the proposed settlement if any so chose to do so. No objections have been filed. This Court finds that the Defendants' notice obligations under CAFA, and specifically 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b), have been satisfied and any notice required thereunder has been provided. See *Kay Co. v. Equitable Production Co.*, 2010 WL 1734869 at *4 (S.D. W. Va. 2010); *Ault v. Walt Disney World Co.*, 2009 WL 3242028 at *1, n. 4 (M.D. Fla. 2009), *rev'd on other grounds*, 2010 WL 5078088 (11th Cir. 2010).

It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED** and **DECREED** that **FINAL JUDGMENT** is hereby entered this 17th day of November, 2011.



KURT D. ENGELHARDT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE