1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA		
3			
4			
5	THE DE LATERAL DE CONTRE LA CONTRE L	B. J	
6	IN RE: VIOXX PRODUCTS * LIABILITY LITIGATION *	Docket MDL 1657-L	
7	* *	December 1, 2005, 8:00 a.m.	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Houston, Texas	
9			
10	STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11			
12			
13	APPEARANCES:		
14		an Haman Kata 0 Catlan	
15	BY:	an, Herman, Katz & Cotlar RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. O'Keefe Avenue	
16		Orleans, Louisiana 70113	
17	For the Defendants: Stone	. Diaman Walthon Wittmann	
18	BY:	Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann BY: PHILLIP A. WITTMANN, ESQ. 546 Carondelet Street	
19		Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
20	Official Court Reporter: Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR		
21	500 F	Poydras Street, Room B-406 Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
22	(504)) 589-7778	
23			
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript		
25	produced by computer.		
	II		

PROCEEDINGS

(December 1, 2005)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise.

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. Call the case, please.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1657, In Re: Vioxx.

THE COURT: Counsel make their appearances for the record, please.

MR. HERMAN: Good morning, Judge Fallon. Russ Herman for plaintiffs.

MR. WITTMANN: Phil Wittmann for the defendant.

THE COURT: We are here today in connection with our monthly status conference in this matter. I met with liaison counsel for both sides previously and reviewed with them some of the things that we will be talking about today. I'll hear from the parties at this time. First is Lexis/Nexis File & Serve. Any report on that?

MR. HERMAN: Because of the work of the Clerk's Office and Lexis/Nexis, the backlog situation has been cured. Ms. Wimberly of Mr. Wittmann's office is designated to receive notices, and we believe that this situation is in much better shape. We thank Ms. Wimberly, Mr. Davis, and particularly the Clerk's Office and Lexis/Nexis for their continuing attention.

MR. WITTMANN: Russ has taken my line or basically that's right. The Clerk's Office, after being somewhat behind

because of the hurricane situation, has pretty much cleared up the backlog. There's still some delay, but counsel shouldn't have any trouble getting on Lexis/Nexis within a matter of a few days after cases are filed. Russ is right, if you can't get something on Lexis/Nexis, please contact Dorothy Wimberly at my firm and she will take care of handling it for you. There is one other thing on Lexis/Nexis with respect to the plaintiff profile forms and we'll get to it maybe later. I'll wait.

THE COURT: The next item on the agenda is state court trial settings.

MR. HERMAN: I don't mind taking Mr. Wittmann's line; I just don't want to take his bait. Phil, do you want to talk about this?

MR. WITTMANN: Yes. On the trial settings, Judge, we have the Zajicek case set for trial in Texas District Court on March 20, 2006. The Guerra case is set for trial on April 17, 2006, in Hidalgo County, Texas. The Kozic case is set in Florida Circuit Court in Hillsborough County on May 1, 2006. Subject to briefing in New Jersey as to whether the court should hold consolidated trials, Judge Higbee has scheduled trials of the following cases: The Cona and the McDarby cases on February 27, 2006; Hatch, McFarland, and LoPresti on April 24, 2006; and Doherty and Klug on June 5, 2006. A trial of one or more plaintiffs is set for June 21, 2006, in the

California coordinated proceeding in Los Angeles County.

Finally, the <u>Anderson</u> case is set for trial in Tribal Court of the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians on August 7, 2006.

THE COURT: Anything from the plaintiffs on that?

MR. HERMAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: After we get some experience to see what the juries do, I'm going to see whether or not the Court can meet with the state court judges and see if we can make some sense out of what's happening in the various trials and whether or not the parties can profit from the experience and look at the case a little more globally.

MR. HERMAN: We are going to ask Ms. Cabraser and Mr. Levin of our team to also look at issues of common thread in connection with Your Honor's direction this morning.

THE COURT: Anything from state liaison counsel on the state trials?

MS. BARRIOS: Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the state liaison committee. Judge Higbee has reached out and asked New Jersey state liaison counsel if this Court or any of the litigants could provide her with an update on a daily basis of what's happening at the trial. I met with Mr. Seeger and Mr. Buchanan. We thought we would ask Your Honor if it would be okay to have the court reporter send Judge Higbee under seal a copy of the daily transcript so she could keep abreast of the litigation at her request.

1 THE COURT: Anybody have any problem with that? I'm 2 in touch with her. She has been kind enough to keep me posted 3 on her trials, and I've tried to do the same with this trial. I haven't had a chance to speak with her, but I'll be doing 4 5 I don't have any problem with it if neither counsel has 6 any problem. 7 MR. SEEGER: No. Your Honor. 8 MS. BARRIOS: We talked to Merck this morning. 9 didn't have a problem with it either, Your Honor. 10 **THE COURT:** Selection of cases for early federal 11 court trial. 12 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor has under advisement some feedback with regard to additional members of state liaison --13 14 MR. WITTMANN: We have provided you with the 15 selection that we would recommend to the Court, the Louisiana 16 case to be tried. 17 THE COURT: I'll hear from the plaintiffs on that. 18 Get me in a week your response to their letter, which I just 19 received yesterday, discussing the next federal court trial. 20 MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Counsel for Merck will 21 advise the Court of their position with regard to the trial of 22 the Louisiana attorney general case. 23 MR. WITTMANN: Your Honor, let me correct one thing

about the state settings. The <u>Zajicek</u> case set for trial on

March 20, 2006, has been taken off the trial calendar.

24

25

wanted to correct the record on that point. That was one of the ones I mentioned earlier.

THE COURT: What about class actions?

MR. HERMAN: Defendants have served interrogatories on ten class reps. I'm advised by Mr. Levin and Ms. Cabraser they will endeavor to answer those interrogatories.

MR. WITTMANN: Truthfully, without the intervention of Russ and myself, class action counsel on both the plaintiffs' side and defense side have gotten on very well, so I don't have anything to report on the class actions.

THE COURT: The next item is discovery directed to Merck. Anything on that?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor has under advisement privilege log issues, and Mr. Wittmann is going to give us 30(b)(6) deposition dates. We do want to state that we believe that the MDL plaintiffs' committee produced some very important discovery not heretofore produced in the nature of key depositions and documents, and discovery is moving in the MDL.

MR. WITTMANN: We have been working diligently, continuously to produce on a rolling basis what the plaintiffs tell us they want priority to be produced. Mr. Barnett and his folks have been working very, very hard to get that done.

THE COURT: I'm glad to hear that. With regard to the privilege log, I've received all of the material, some 80,000 or thereabouts documents. Right after this trial I'll

get on that and get through it. The next item on the agenda is discovery directed to the FDA.

MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. It's ongoing. We are attempting to resolve a cost problem with the FDA. If we can't resolve it, we will advise Your Honor.

THE COURT: Get to me on that. I think they will be receptive to some reasonable way of handling that. I'll get involved in it if need be. Discovery directed to third parties.

MR. HERMAN: Right now we are waiting on some deposition dates. As soon as we have them, we have folks ready to go take those depositions. We really need to get on that. Those types of depositions that we're requesting information on have not been taken in state court or in the MDL and we really need to proceed with those.

THE COURT: Anything from the defendant on that?

MR. WITTMANN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Deposition scheduling is the next item.

MR. WITTMANN: We have provided dates for the depositions of sales reps that have been requested by the plaintiffs' steering committee except for one, which we are opposed to because the person has been sick, and we are talking about trying to have that notice withdrawn. Subject to that, I don't think there's any problem with deposition scheduling at this point.

1 MR. HERMAN: I believe that's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Plaintiff profile form and Merck profile

form.

MR. WITTMANN: The only thing on that, Judge, is that during that interim period when we were hampered by the hurricane we had agreed with plaintiffs that plaintiff profile forms, authorizations, and medical records could be served on me and on Will Coronato rather than uploaded in the File & Serve. That goes back to the delays we were experiencing in the Clerk's Office. That's now gone and we have about 44 plaintiff profile forms out there that have been served on us hard copy, not uploaded. We are going to try to get an agreement with Mr. Herman to give us 90 days from today to get those up and running on our Merck profile form responses. I think that Mr. Herman —

MR. HERMAN: We'll work that out.

MR. WITTMANN: -- will work that out with us. From now on, we would like everyone to make sure that when they file the plaintiff profile forms and the medical authorizations you get them into Lexis/Nexis so we can get them quickly to the third parties we are working with to get our records searched and medical records pulled so we can start preparing the medical profile form. That's very important to get that done electronically.

THE COURT: Let me also say in this proceeding we

have not only dealt with the plaintiff profile form, but also the Merck profile form that is due at a certain period after they have received the plaintiff profile form. For them to get theirs in at the appropriate time in the appropriate way, they have to have accurate information. They have to have it filled out. The plaintiff profile form, I tell you it's essential that you fill in the appropriate blanks with the correct information. I want to keep this moving because it's important that we have two profile forms. I do commend Merck for their willingness to provide that more or less voluntarily. I think that moves the litigation faster.

MR. HERMAN: I don't know if I mentioned it, Your Honor, and I'm not sure where it comes on the agenda, but we do need a complete service list and a list of the tolling agreement cases and the lawyers that represent them. We have talked to Mr. Wittmann about that and I would like to get that as soon as we can.

THE COURT: When can that be done?

MR. WITTMANN: That can be done just about as quickly as I get back to New Orleans.

THE COURT: Let's do it within a week. State/federal coordination, state liaison committee. Anything further?

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, we continue to update the Court and the parties with the remand issues, and I've given hard copies of the updated remand orders both to plaintiff and

defense. I would like to give it to Mr. Wynne for the Court. We will be delivering to Your Honor and to both a CD-ROM with a hyperlink to the new documents, but because the status conference was moved up this morning FedEx could not deliver it this early. We will do that for you tomorrow.

THE COURT: Fine. In this case the states have had the case for a number of years before the federal court got into the case, so as you see from the prior conversation the states have a number of cases set for trial. Several cases have already gone to trial. Realistically, in this litigation, I think there's going to have to be a lot of cooperation between the states and the federal court in trying to look at this matter as quickly as possible. State liaison counsel will play an important role in working with and through the plaintiff committee. I'm going to see if we can do something a little differently in this MDL, see if we can profit from each other's experience and take a look at this case globally, more or less. Pro se claimants, anything on that?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we really have not seen any number of pro se claims since the last status conference. They have trickled off. We are handling them the same way we have been, basically advising them to get independent counsel, letting them know who the lawyers are that are listed who have cases in whatever state they come from, give them the names of those lawyers and their addresses, their e-mails, but we really

1 have not seen any increase in pro se filings since the last 2 conference. We may have had three. 3 MR. WITTMANN: We have had contact from a couple of pro se plaintiffs, and our practice is simply to refer them to 4 5 Mr. Herman to have counsel retained for them or selected. 6 **THE COURT:** The next item is the motion for 7 clarification of Pretrial Order 19. I have received the motion 8 in that regard. Anything on that? 9 MR. HERMAN: The plaintiffs' steering committee 10 requests, Your Honor, that that matter be deferred. 11 consider it and we need to have some further discussion before 12 it's set. I would rather not state the position of the PSC at 13 this time. 14 THE COURT: I'll do that. I do instruct the PSC to 15 contact the attorney in this case and discuss it. 16 MR. HERMAN: We have had contact, but we need some 17 face-to-face meetings. 18 THE COURT: I'll defer it. Anything further? 19 Anything that is not on the agenda that we should take up? 20 None from plaintiff, Your Honor. MR. HERMAN: 21 MR. SEEGER: I just wanted to thank you for 22 rescheduling this. I've been watching you work the last three 23 days and I think you're going around the clock, but I wanted to 24 thank you for accommodating us. 25 MR. WITTMANN: Nothing further from the defendant.

1 MR. BECNEL: Judge, Mr. Barasac (ph.), Mr. Harvey 2 Kirk from Kentucky and Tennessee and Oregon, we are not part of 3 the PLC, although we are doing some work within the structure. We would like to know if we could get some of our cases set and 4 5 do flights of them, especially in Oregon, either with you 6 sitting or referring it to some other judge there, or even 7 bringing those cases to New Orleans and doing flights of them, 8 because they don't have all the discovery rules that they have 9 everywhere else and we are ready to go with more than a hundred 10 cases just out of Oregon. 11 THE COURT: I would be receptive to talking with you. 12

I would ask that you discuss it specifically with the plaintiff committee and let me get some input.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we would ask Mr. Becnel and the other gentlemen to please meet immediately following this conference with Mr. Tom Klein, who will advise them of the process, which is to submit a case summary as well as records for review. We will endeavor to assist them in placing those cases on a list.

THE COURT: Let's get with them, Mr. Becnel. If necessary, I will meet with both sides and we will talk about it.

MR. BECNEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HERMAN: A date, Your Honor.

THE COURT: January 3, 2006, 9:00 a.m., is our next 1 2 meeting. I'm see liaison counsel at 8:00. 3 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I don't know what's going to 4 happen with flights in and out between now and the first of the 5 year, but I think if we push it an hour later it will 6 facilitate some of the out-of-state folks. 7 THE COURT: We will meet, then, at 10:00. I will 8 meet liaison counsel at 9:00. 9 MR. HERMAN: Also, Your Honor, we'll try to publish 10 before that meeting the hotel accommodations which are up and 11 operating so they can be booked in advance. THE COURT: I'm looking to have that meeting in 12 New Orleans. Anything further? All right. Thank you very 13 14 much. Court will stand in recess. 15 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 16 (WHEREUPON, the Court was in recess.) * * * 17 18 **CERTIFICATE** 19 I, Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and 20 21 numbered matter. 22 23 24 Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR Official Court Reporter 25