1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
3	
4	
5	IN RE: VIOXX PRODUCTS * Docket MDL 1657-L
6	LIABILITY LITIGATION * * April 17, 2008 *
7	* 9:00 a.m.
8	
9	STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE
10	HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	ONITED STATES DISTRICT SOUGE
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Plaintiffs: Herman Herman Katz & Cotlar
14	BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. 820 O'Keefe Avenue
15	New Orleans, Louisiana 70113
16	Beasley Allen Crow Methvin Portis & Miles
17	BY: ANDY D. BIRCHFELD, JR., ESQ. 234 Commerce Street
18	P.O. BOX 4160 Montgomery, Alabama 36103
19	For the Defendant: Williams & Connolly, LLP
20	BY: DOUGLAS R. MAŔVIN, ESQ. 725 Twelfth Street, NW
21	Washington, DC 20005
22	Stone Pigman Walther,
23	Wittmann & Hutchinson BY: PHILLIP WITTMANN, ESQ.
24	546 Carondelet Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
25	

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 For the State Liason Committee: Neblett, Beard & Arsenault 3 BY: RICHARD J. ARSENAULT, ESQ. 2220 Bonaventure Court Post Office Box 1190 4 Alexandria, Louisiana 71309 5 6 Special Master: Juneau Law Firm BY: PATRICK A. JUNEAU, ESQ. 7 1018 Harding Street Suite 202 Lafayette, Louisiana 70503 8 9 BrownGreen, PLC BY: ORRAN BROWN, ESQ. Claims Administrator: 10 LYNN GREER, ESQ. BY: 11 115 South 15th Street Suite 400 Richmond, Virginia 23285 12 13 Johnston, Hoefer, Holwadel & Curator: 14 Eldriae Robert M. Johnston, ESQ. 15 601 Poydras Street Suite 2490 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 16 17 The Garretson Firm Lien Resolution 18 Administrator: BY: MATT L. GARRETSON, ESQ. 7775 Cooper Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45242 19 20 Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street Official Court Reporter: 21 Room B-406 22 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7780 23 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript 24 25 produced by computer.

1 **PROCEEDINGS** 2 (April 17, 2008) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 4 **THE COURT:** Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies 5 and gentlemen. Call the case, please. 6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1567, in re: Vioxx. 7 **THE COURT:** Counsel, make your appearances for the 8 record. 9 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, good morning, 10 Judge Fallon. Russ Herman for plaintiffs. 11 MR. WITTMANN: Good morning, Your Honor. Phil Wittmann for liaison counsel for the defense. 12 13 **THE COURT:** We're here this morning on our monthly 14 status conference. I received from the parties a joint report 15 and proposed agenda. I've had an opportunity to meet with the committees in advance of this meeting, and I will take the 16 17 agenda in the order in which it's presented. 18 First is the settlement agreement. Any report on that? 19 20 MR. WITTMANN: Your Honor, we're going to defer to 21 Orran Brown of BrownGreer. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Orran 24 Brown from BrownGreer in Richmond. We're the claims 25 administrator for the settlement program. With me today is

Lynn Greer.

What I'd like to do, Your Honor, is what we do each time at this status conference is go through where we stand in the submissions we're receiving in the settlement program through registration and the enrollment stages, and then Lynn will talk briefly about where we are on the claims front, because we're also receiving claims packages now as well.

We always start off looking at the total number of claimants who have registered for the program pursuant to the court's orders directing counsel to designate primary counsel and tell us about their Vioxx claimants. This slide shows us the total number of people who have stepped forward in that process.

The Court's orders require registration by January 15th, 2008. So we always depict this as the number of firms who gave us their information by January 15th, and then the numbers that we're receiving after that.

Because we are still receiving unrepresented claimants and represented claimants from counsel who want to sign up for the program each day; and we are encouraging those counsel and unrepresented claimants to continue to send their registration affidavits to us.

Looking at where we stand as of yesterday, we had a total of 58,842 claimants who had identified themselves

in the registration process. And if we're looking for a rough number of claimants who seem to be -- or may be eligible for the program, we take out in that lower row the number of claimants who told us in their spreadsheet that they were "other injury". Because the other injury that are not heart attack or not stroke are not covered in the program.

So we get to a number that's about 50,076 of claimants who have registered who have, at least so far, not stated that they had an ineligible injury to us. There are about three things we're pointing out at this stage about these numbers.

First of all, Your Honor, there are still some folks known to Merck -- some Vioxx claimants or plaintiffs known to Merck who have not yet registered. They are scattered around the country in various law firms that have not stepped forward, not identified themselves to us, as the claims administrator, in this process.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, do you know if those people have filed suit, or have not filed suit, or is it a mix?

MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, some did file suits. Others are on tolling agreements.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: At this stage, Your Honor, the Merck folks are going to send out letters to those firms encouraging them to comply with the Court's order to register their clients

so that at least we have the whole census of all known Vioxx claimants.

The second thing worth mentioning is, is that we are still receiving registrations after January 15th, 2008. We understand and have seen at least two motions filed with this court by firms seeking leave to register after January 15th, 2008.

And tomorrow we're sending a letter out to those firms that's been approved by the parties explaining to them that we have been directed by the parties to continue to accept registrations and that there is no consequence, really, to coming in after January 15th, and that would moot the pending motion.

There really is no need for it and we're asking them to withdraw it so that it doesn't take up the court's resources dealing with an issue that really is not an issue. So we're always telling unrepresented claimants, and counsel, to send us your registration affidavits, go ahead and get started in the program, even if it's after January 15th.

The third thing worth mentioning about these numbers, Your Honor, is that 50,076 number is, at best, kind of a rough picture right now of claimants who may be eligible for the program. For a number of reasons, a number of people who presented themselves as "other injury", not MI, not stroke, in their spreadsheets to us actually had information in their

lawsuits, or made assertions or allegations of a heart attack or a stroke. And Merck has that information.

And so there are a number of people who've said they're "other injuries", but they really do belong in the program under the terms of the settlement agreement. And I think there are also some folks who said "other injury" and they go back and look at it and then they change their minds about it. So that's an exclusion that we make to give us a rough number of people who should be in the program, but it's not precise.

And other reasons it's not precise is that there are some folks who did say they had an ineligible injury, but they may be non-U.S. residents and their injury happened outside the United States. They're not really eligible for the program under the terms of the settlement agreement.

There are some folks who didn't have a lawsuit or a tolling agreement by November 9th, 2007, which is the cutoff date. So some of those folks are not eligible. So that 50,000 number is, at best, kind of a rough judgment right now about people who should be in the program.

THE COURT: But whatever it is, it's clear that the thresholds have been met. Is that what Merck sees?

MR. MARVIN: We certainly expect those thresholds to be met, Your Honor. We're still verifying the numbers, but we're confident that -- we have every expectation that they

will be met.

MR. BROWN: That gets us, Your Honor, to the next step in the program because the thresholds really start being measured by who enrolls in the program.

This slide shows us the number of claimants who have presented themselves who want to go beyond registration and actually enroll in the program. And this number still grows every day as well because we still receive enrollment materials from unrepresented claimants and primary counsel.

The deadline now -- I'm going to mention it again in a few minutes -- for sending in their enrollment materials is May 1st. The Court has, and the settlement agreement has, prescribed several deadlines effecting enrollment, February 29th, and then March 31st, for people who wanted to be considered for an interim payment if it's made.

And these numbers, today, collapse all of these together to show us the numbers of people who enrolled at any time. And you can still enroll in the program. And people have until May 1st now to submit their enrollment materials to us.

This slide shows us the number of folks who said, "I want to enroll," by giving us an online statement, signing up a claimant online, giving us an enrollment form, or giving us a "yes" answer in that question in their claimant spreadsheet, or giving us a claimant list, which is what the

second amendment allowed people to do, to indicate that they wished to enroll. And so we see 50,000 plus represented claimants who have made that statement that they want to enroll.

We have 332 pro se claimants who have enrolled already. And that number increases each day as we work with the pro se curator to try to help the unrepresented folks through the process, to send in the materials that they need to send in to enroll. It gives us a total of 50,683 claimants who said, "I want to enroll in the program," and took the steps to get that far in the program.

Again, we back out the number of claimants who've told us in their spreadsheet they had an "other injury." Again, not a precise or exact exclusion. But that's what we know so far about them until we get their claims packet in. It ends up with a net number of 46,697 claimants who said, "I want to enroll," submitted themselves for enrollment as of yesterday.

And running the numbers, based on that 50,000 number, it's 93.3 percent of the people who registered who have said, "I want to enroll." And that 93 number, for all the reasons I've mentioned, is 93 and up to 94 -- maybe over 94 -- once we're finally able to tell for certain who's really an other injury, who's not a U.S. resident, who doesn't belong in the 50,000 denominator to make that calculation.

But that's the best we can do today, as claims administrator, is 93.3 and probably north of 94 as of now. As remember, these materials are still coming in. People can still register and have until May 1st to register.

This is a reminder for all of us, Your Honor, about what it takes to register, and we'll see in a minute why that matters. Because there are several pieces of the enrollment package for people to -- and I said register. I meant enroll -- people to enroll in the program.

You have to, as in row 1, say you want to enroll online or give us that answer in a spreadsheet. It takes an enrollment from the primary counsel, if you're represented, to indicate the statement of enrolling. An enrolling claimant has to send us a release. They also have to send us some signed medical records authorization form. And then if they have a pending lawsuit, give us a stipulation of dismissal.

Those first five steps are the primary pieces of the enrollment package with the centerpiece of it really being the release document that the claimant signs. To complete the entire package eventually, we have to have the certification of final enrollment from the primary counsel, if you're represented, and that is due by May 1st, 2008.

When we were here the last time, the parties agreed upon, and we have now posted and made available to all counsel, a revised version of the certification final

enrollment that allows counsel to state that all the claims in which I have a financial interest are either enrolled by me, or enrolled by someone else, or here's a list of the folks that I haven't been able to locate, but I'm still trying to locate them and advising them -- recommending to them to be in the program.

So that accounts for people -- all the folks that have a financial interest in it, that form allows them to send that certification of final enrollment in by May 1st and list the ones that they just haven't been able to talk with yet.

The other thing worth remembering about this enrollment package, Your Honor, is that documents themselves still have to be reviewed for completeness. The parties and we have been working on the criteria to judge whether a document is really the right document: If it hasn't been changed, if it's been signed, signed by the right person.

There's always a completeness review that has to happen on all these materials. We've been working with the parties to agree on the criteria and that process is underway with Merck's counsel, and we then looking at the documents and then notifying the firms if there's something they need to cure or fix up about one of these documents. So this is what it takes to be enrolled.

So far we wanted to point out the materials

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

we've received with, again, starting in row 1, the number that we had from the other slide about claimants who said they want to be enrolled, and we back out the ones that say were another injury, and you get to this 46,697 number. And already we have over 43,000 releases from those folks in hand in the door.

Among the 43,000 releases, there are over 38,000 people who have given us the total package. They've given us all of rows 1 through 5 here. And the only thing -- some of them have given us a certification of final enrollment. for a lot of the firms who haven't yet finished with all of their people, they give us the CFE by May 1.

So we already have 38,000 pretty much complete packages -- enrollment packages from that group. So it's high numbers, and we're still receiving them each day. We had a lot of volume around the March 31 deadline to receive these materials. We're still getting hundreds -- thousands of them a day.

And I'm asking all counsel and unrepresented claimants to remember the May 1 deadline to complete their enrollment package in to us and get us that certification of final enrollment signed by primary counsel, if they're a represented claimant.

Your Honor, that's the discussion of registration and enrollment. And Lynn is going to briefly talk about the claims status. Does the Court have any questions

about --

THE COURT: Do you anticipate any particular problems in following that that we ought to avoid?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Thus far, the process to get people registered and enrolled, don't see any bottlenecks in that. We're now looking ahead, as the parties are, to the claims process. We want to make sure that people -- and Lynn will mention a few things about how you ought to get your claims in to us.

On the enrollment side, the questions we're getting primarily from counsel are when the certification final enrollment is due, May 1st. The new form, which requires the list to indicate people you cannot locate.

And then some counsel are asking questions about, "Well, the folks who refuse to participate, withdrawing from, or seeking leave to withdraw from representation, and then this claimant -- financial interest in those claims."

That issue requires some further explanation with most firms to understand those pieces of the settlement agreement. I think the parties have submitted a suggested procedure to the Court about the process for seeking leave to withdraw from representation.

What we see, other than that issue, which still needs some attention by all of us, counsel and the claims administrator, we don't see any bottlenecks in the registration

enrollment phase. And now we're really starting to look ahead to the claims phase so we can move those claims through and get to the point the settlement agreement contemplates an interim payment after August 1, and we've got a lot of work to do to make that happen.

THE COURT: With the claims process, as I mentioned before, the special master and deputy special masters, if you can give them some dummy claims, so to speak, so that they can have some dry runs and get ready for it when they --

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That would be helpful to them, I know.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

We're working with Mr. Juneau to make that happen so that there's no delay downstream when we get ready to hit that point in the process.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for your work.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. GREER: Good morning, Your Honor. Lynn Greer from BrownGreer. I would like to touch briefly on the claims packages that we have received so far, with the reminder that the claims package submission deadline is approaching. It's July 1st, 2008. It seems a far way off, but it will be here before we know it.

And so far what we have received from firms, 39 different firms have submitted so far 13 -- 1,317 electronic

claims package submissions; five firms have submitted hard-copy submissions of 11 claims, for a total of 1,328 submissions from law firms.

I would like to pause here, Your Honor, because you asked about bottlenecks in the process. And one processing bottleneck that we will encounter is if we receive a large number of hard-copy submissions.

And the reason for that, Your Honor, is that when we receive those hard-copy submissions -- and we have received 11 so far -- they usually come to us just in a box with none of the components labeled to let us know where the drug proof is, where the event records are. And a lot of times these are also coming with the accompanying claims forms.

We then stop and have to scan them into our database, which can be done with this volume in a day; but as the volumes increase, it will slow us down if we are receiving hard-copy forms. So right now, obviously, the vast majority are coming electronically. We would encourage firms, and do encourage firms, to continue to submit those to us electronically.

THE COURT: Yes. I urge the firms to do likewise. I can understand some pro se problems with doing it electronically. But the firms ought to be able to do it electronically. So from the Court's standpoint, I suggest that they make every effort to do it electronically.

I don't want to issue any particular orders at this time, but I am contemplating doing that if it's a problem. So I first urge them to do it, and hope I don't have to issue any orders.

MS. GREER: Your Honor, we are available to help firms, walk them through this process. Several weeks ago we sent out an e-mail blast to firms. It was our first e-mail blast related to the claims process. And in that we give them links to documents that we've created.

There's one document called Guidelines for the Creation and Submission of Claims Packages, and in that we give very specific instructions and helpful hints about how to label the documents. So we're hopeful that firms are using that and that they will call us if they have any questions.

The pro se numbers are lower, as we would expect at this point. We do have two electronic claims packages and one hard-copy claims package. So our total number of claims packages that we are able to review is 1,331. There are another 39 packages that we have received that are just medical records and not claims forms.

What our process is, is we are unable to start the review of a claim unless we have the claims forms. So we've also told firms that we really need that claims form because it contains the certification that all of the records are complete. It also gives us information on injuries and

whether the claimant is claiming the extraordinary injury relief.

THE COURT: You can't make any payments until we get this finished. That's why I think this is a critical part of the process. I think that there are some folks that may be concerned that they're never going to get money. I think when the claims begin to flow and people begin to receive funds in the summertime, I think that's going to increase the interest in this situation.

MS. GREER: Yes, Your Honor.

And one final point that I would like to raise is that even though the deadline is July 1st, we have heard anecdotal comments from some firms that they are gathering all of their records and they plan to submit them all to us at once. We really would encourage firms to submit these to us on a rolling basis. We are reviewing them on a claimant-by-claimant basis, not on a law firm basis.

And we do want firms to take care to submit to us to a complete package. We don't want piecemeal event records arriving in advance of the pre-produced records. But once they have a package for a claimant, if they could go ahead and submit that to us one at a time, that is our preference; and, obviously, that will allow us to meet the other deadlines and get the money flowing.

Any other questions, Your Honor?

THE COURT: No. Let's focus on first in, first out; and the people who delay longer, they delay receiving the funds.

MS. GREER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The sooner they get it in, the sooner the funds would be flowing to them.

MS. GREER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Judge, that's one point that I wanted to emphasize and make sure that everyone is aware of: The way that the claims are processed is first in. Once you submit a claim, then it goes in a queue to be processed; and it will be handled throughout the process according to that queue, including the receipt of the initial payment.

The point that you made about the electronic records versus the hard-copy records, that puts another step into the process. When someone submits a hard-copy claims package, BrownGreer can handle and process those, but it takes another step.

So once those are received, they're actually scanned and converted to an electronic format. After that is done is when they go into the queue for being evaluated and go into the queue for receiving their initial payments. So there's an incentive. There's a benefit to getting the claims packages submitted on a rolling basis.

It's encouraging to see the numbers of claims packages that have already been submitted. But we have heard from firms that are wanting to -- or at least initial thinking, "We'll just hold these and submit them once we have them all done." We need to avoid that. We need those coming in on a rolling basis.

One other point, Judge, if I may, Orran mentioned the deficiencies on the releases and the other documentation. I just want to make sure that everyone is aware once those deficiency notices are sent out, there will be a reasonable time to cure those deficiencies without interrupting your eligibility for interim payment.

So you don't need to be alarmed about that. But once you receive the deficiency notices, they need prompt attention.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MARVIN: Sorry, Your Honor. Just to clarify one other point. You had asked about the thresholds. As Orran indicated, May 1 is the deadline for lawyers to submit their certificates of final enrollments. That's the best document we'll see to determine who's into the program, who they still cannot find, or for whatever other reasons there might be.

So it is important that that deadline be adhered to so that we can have that document. Because we need that document to verify the numbers for the thresholds.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, just two other issues with regard to the settlement. The BrownGreer Web site is browngreer -- one word -- .com/vioxxsettlement. That's browngreer -- one word -- .com/vioxxsettlement. There are various forms and the amendments to the settlement document are posted there.

Secondly, both the plaintiffs and the defendants opposed Mr. Benjamin's appeal to the Fifth Circuit. It was dismissed on March 28th, '08.

The next issue for the Court on the prearranged schedule would be a report by Mr. Garretson with regard to liens, and then Mr. Johnston with a report on pro se issues.

THE COURT: Do you have anything in between?

MR. JOHNSTON: No, I can wait.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GARRETSON: Your Honor, I'm Matt Garretson with the Garretson law firm. I'm here to report as the Lien Resolution Administrator. I'd like to share with the Court, we have encouraging news, and continue to have, I should say, encouraging news.

We have received a verification of entitlement information on over 43,000 claimants with respect to Medicare. We expect the balance of that data to be processed by Medicare inside the month of May. So well before the August 1st,

deadline, we'll have a blueprint of who is a Medicare beneficiary and who is not.

Right now, we're holding at about 70 percent of the registrants as being Medicare beneficiaries. We're also continuing to meet with Medicare about a hold-back provision. As I've reported in prior hearings, we're trying to get an initial global resolution hold-back agreement.

And then that amount could be set aside as a high-water mark. And then as we learn more about the nature of the claims being filed by the Medicare entitled plaintiffs and, in fact, their points, we'll be able to calibrate, if you will, that final amount that would be the global resolution for Medicare.

With respect to Medicaid, at the last two hearings we've reported that we've received, upon good counsel from Special Master Juneau, the permission to submit to the states voluntary protocols. And those voluntary protocols were to encourage the state and territory Medicaid agencies to engage in a cost-effective and uniform program that best served public policy and this settlement.

We thought these were necessary and agreed they're necessary to insure timely and equitable compensation both to the claimants and to Medicaid. As you may recall, those protocols include a hold-back provision. That is setting a maximum amount on each individual claimant's gross award that

can be targeted for reimbursement by the state and territory Medicaid agencies.

We have been able to get the states to agree that that amount should be 20 percent. Now, that doesn't mean that each plaintiff -- each claimant would pay 20 percent. It simply means that those claimants who are identified as being entitled to Medicaid, we would set aside 20 percent of his or her gross award and then satisfy the claim within that amount.

In the unlikely event the final lien amount exceeds 20 percent, and I believe that is very unlikely, the states have agreed to cap their recovery at 20 percent on that individual lien.

THE COURT: Any less goes back to the claimant?

MR. GARRETSON: Yes, Your Honor.

We also recommended a uniform and nationwide procurement offset, and that is that a standard percentage would be offset. Once we finalize that individual lien, a standard percentage would be offset to reflect the fact that attorney fees and case costs are also being taken out of the claimant's award. So, similarly, the states should offset their final lien in recognition of that expense.

So, Your Honor, a letter was sent to each state and territory on March 14th requesting their voluntary agreement to these protocols. I'm pleased to report to you today, Your Honor, that as we sit here, we have now 88 percent

of the state agencies in just this short four-week time frame have agreed to the protocols I've just described.

Forty-six of the states and territories have responded. Forty-two agree in full with our protocol of a standardized offset of the final lien and a maximum of 20 percent of a lien amount. Only one state has outright rejected the protocol.

Three states have requested minor exceptions that I believe are within reason. And only six agencies have yet to make a decision. And I want to say with respect to those six, I'm by no means implying here today that they have rejected the protocol.

I have learned through this process that for any state this must travel through three or four different desks and decision-makers before they can agree upon these protocols. So I expect that we will be hearing from them shortly. And so by the next hearing, I think I'll have the complete blueprint for the Court as to who has agreed and who has not.

So continue to be encouraged. The VA, the Tricare, the Department of Defense liens, I've outlined that program in prior hearings to the Court, and that appears to be running very smoothly. So we're encouraged.

I really believe, Your Honor, that the agencies have, indeed, embraced the fairness, and efficiency, and compliance that the Court has mandated for this settlement

program.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

I appreciate the states doing that. I think it's good, obviously, for the program. But it is very, very good for those states. I think it's efficient. I think it's a win/win situation for them. They win in the sense that they have served the people who are injured; and they win in the sense that they have an efficient mechanism and resolution process for their other citizens to get back a portion of their amount.

They need to know that the Court, not only appreciates it, but will do whatever it can to police that situation and make sure that they are fairly dealt with. The states that haven't come aboard yet, I urge them to come aboard.

Because I've given a lot of thought to this and I think that they need to know that the Court feels that it's appropriate, it's efficient, and it's the best way that they have to resolve this particular problem.

MR. GARRETSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

And I will just remind the attorneys and the prose claimants that we have a Web site set up similar to the BrownGreer site that explains the process, the FAQs, and the documents, and that's at vioxxlienresolution.com.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. I think that's just another benefit, frankly, of a global approach to these types of disputes, national in scope. I think that you can see from participating in this that there are many aspects of the program.

I think when you look at it globally, efficiency and fairness come to fore, and this is one of the ways that we can deal with some of these complex things, such as liens.

MR. WITTMANN: Your Honor, I think the next thing on the agenda would be the report from Mr. Juneau.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WITTMANN: And then Mr. Johnston had a report also.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JUNEAU: Your Honor, I really don't have anything to add. I think it's been well articulated by Mr. Garretson and Mr. Brown. The interplay that we've had, we're constantly communicating between the two to facilitate the process, either through the lien resolution, and in particular, we're very focused on reaching the point that you addressed earlier, to get the information to us.

And the game plan, if you will, is to activate a program in advance of actual determinations so that if there are any bugs in the system insofar as efficiency, we want them worked out. I've talked to the people from BrownGreer again

this morning and we're now attempting to see if we can target date as soon as we can that specific date. We just don't have that data yet to make that determination.

I have already alerted Justice Trotter and Judge Corodemus of that program, and they're all on board about what we're attempting to do in that regard. And everybody, from our perspective, is committed to activate immediately that training part of the program. So I anticipate as soon as that information is available that we will be at the starting block, ready to move the process.

THE COURT: Good. Well, I have no doubt that you-all are going to be able to move it. Because with the recommendations that I have received from many of the counsel here and the judges from that area, we selected the deputy special masters, and they'll do an excellent job.

I'm very confident that this aspect of the program, as well as the other aspects, will work very efficiently.

MR. JUNEAU: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I appreciate your work. I appointed

Mr. Johnston to represent the pro se people, and I'll hear from
him at this time.

MR. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Robert

Johnston, court-appointed curator for the pro se claimants.

We've provided to the Court the curator status report number 1.

1 | 2 |

We have many copies here. So if anyone here wishes to have a copy, it is available.

But briefly, after the directive of the Court and the order dated February 12th of this year, we -- and following being provided with the lists of registered but not enrolled claimants and unregistered claimants, totaling 1,295 -- so just a few under 1,300 -- on March 19th, 2008, we commenced sending out communications and documents to all 1,295 individuals.

As the Court, I'm sure, will expect, I can report to you that we had a lot of action as a result of that. In the curator's report, I think the one thing that is of some significance to ask for some help from the Court is we received 61 mailings that were returned undeliverable.

Because we had addresses, we at least knew where in the country the last known addresses were. So we are in the process of preparing the three-day legal notices that we've talked about to be published in the local newspaper. That's ongoing as I speak.

We then had such problems as 11 potential claimants, there was no address whatsoever. There were another 11 for which there was an incomplete address. And the proposal that we put into the status report to the Court is that if there is such a thing as a regional publication, then we might go in that direction.

But my thought is, is that unless somebody can tell me if there's another national newspaper, there are legal notices that USA Today publishes, and we would ask the Court for permission to utilize that vehicle to try to get information to those that have no addresses and we don't know where to go otherwise.

So I think that that's something that, based upon what the Court has just indicated, that's where we're going to go. As an aside, one of the individuals who we have not been able to reach, but whose name is on one of the lists, is Fidel Castro.

Now, I cannot tell the Court whether that is *the* Fidel Castro or *a* Fidel Castro. But I want you to know that as part of the appreciation for picking my law firm and me as curator, we do have a bilingual Spanish-speaking attorney. So if we ever get a call, we're ready to go in terms of that.

THE COURT: Okay. He may enter the case.

MR. JOHNSTON: That's exactly right, and we'll bring it to the attention of the Court if necessary.

We have, I think, interacted really well with BrownGreer. That's a really first-class group of individuals. With regard to all the communications, all the phone calls, and e-mails, and what have you, they have been logged into our internal communication log. They'll soon be entered into the communication log that has just been created by BrownGreer. So

it's all going to go where it needs to go.

We also got a call, a contact for 14 potential pro se claimants who are not on any list. My guess is they got it off the Web site. We have directed them to the claims administrator, and we have provided them with the documentation that they need.

I think that the only other thing is that there have been some attorneys who have contacted us saying, "Nope. I represent," you know, "Mr. Smith or Mrs. Jones." So what, obviously, we have done is we have pulled back and have no more communication for those. There were very few.

The only other bit of housekeeping is that we had requested from defendant's and plaintiffs' liaison committee permission to retain a paralegal at an hourly rate of \$95 to help with all of this newspaper publication and what have you. We just sent that out last week.

We'll follow up with them. I don't anticipate that being any kind of a problem. So I think that from the beginning to where we are today, I think we can report to the Court that things are moving well.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your help.

There are two aspects to the pro se situation that we need to be focused on. One is to help those pro se people who need help and are interested in receiving help.

Secondly, it's to give the others due process. Those who

reasonable effort.

5 6

3

4

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

haven't responded, we'll make an effort to locate them. A

But after a reasonable effort has been made and still no response, then I'll entertain motions to dismiss for lack of prosecution. So there are two aspects to it. Ι appreciate your help.

MR. JOHNSTON: All right. Thank you, Judge.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there is one pro se claimant, Mr. Harrison, who advises that his written presentation to the Court, the Court should be receiving that very soon now. He has been given dates for access to the depository.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. WITTMANN: Just to go back a little bit, Judge, on the agenda. There are no cases set for trial in state courts through June 30th, 2008.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WITTMANN: We've got nothing new to report on Item VI or VII in the status report agenda. That's the class action procedures or the discovery directed to Merck. I think Mr. Herman has something on discovery directed to third parties.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, I want to report that the FDA has advised it will deliver its documents sometime today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HERMAN: With regard to ESI, that matter is going very well. We're in constant contact with them, and they are providing, on a regular basis, medical records. Those are really the only two issues. We did get some inquiries from the State of Oregon, the State of Washington, regarding problems with health care providers providing records.

Those attorneys have been provided Your Honor's order with regard to medical authorizations, and we hope that that matter is resolved.

THE COURT: I do appreciate the help that the FDA has given the Court on this one. We had a bump in the road; but, hopefully, we're able to navigate around it. Things have fallen into place very well. I'm obliged for their understanding and help, and also with ESI.

THE COURT: Next item on the agenda.

MR. WITTMANN: Your Honor, I don't see Ms. Barrios.

THE COURT: Anybody from state liaison?

MR. ARSENAULT: Good morning, Your Honor. Richard Arsenault for the plaintiffs. Dawn Barrios couldn't be here this morning. She asked me to make the presentation regarding the status of the remand motions and non-PI economic cases.

There are currently 748 remand motions pending. The two-volume DVD that indicates where those -- what states are involved and the basis for the remands have been provided

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Your Honor's staff, and to the defendant's and the plaintiffs' counsel.

The top five states in terms of numbers of motions pending are California, 207; Missouri, 121; Texas, 104; Illinois, 60; and Florida, 55.

I've been advised by Ms. Barrios that she is coordinating with BrownGreer with regard to those 748 cases to see where those people are in the settlement process to see at the end of the day how many of these 748 motions Your Honor will actually have to deal with.

THE COURT: Right. I think that's the key: See how many get through the gates or participate at least in the program, and that may resolve those. All of them, or some of them, or most of them.

MR. ARSENAULT: Yes, Your Honor.

With regard to the non-personal injury economic class cases, her study shows that there are 52 pending in the MDL.

There are eight nationwide consumer classes: five nationwide third-party payor classes; one nationwide medical monitoring class; 17 state consumer classes; eight state consumer and medical monitoring classes; two state consumer and third-party classes; 10 state third-party payor classes; and one state medical monitoring class.

Apparently, this week Judge Higbee in New Jersey

went through a similar exercise with the non-personal cases.

And in the materials, she provided Dawn Barrios with a list of those type of cases that are pending before her.

On that list, I think Nos. 2 and 3 are consumer cases. The list is identified as third-party payors, but it also includes two consumer cases. I believe that the second and third case on the list are consumer cases.

There, apparently, were also three cases that were identified during the status conference that are not on that list. And that also includes one medical monitoring case that she, apparently, lifted the stay on all of those and they're going to proceed, except the medical monitoring case that, apparently, she's waiting for a decision from the New Jersey Supreme Court on.

The next status conference is going to take place, apparently, on May 24th. And she's instructed the parties between now and then to develop a discovery plan and move forward with discovery as quickly and efficiently as they possibly can.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, may it please the Court,
Ms. Barrios, on behalf of those types of cases, requested
information as to what the PSC would recommend regarding access
to trial packages. The PSC and the PNC met jointly last night.

We'll be presenting Your Honor an order for consideration, and Your Honor should have that no later than Monday.

With regard to the trial package, Your Honor, we'd like to have a presentation in the afternoon of May 22nd. I was advised by our co-chairs, Jerry Meunier and Shelly, that that package is ready, and it should take somewhere between a half hour and an hour presentation, if Your Honor would entertain it then.

THE COURT: That's the stroke cases?

MR. HERMAN: The stroke cases, yes, Your Honor. And we are also submitting to Your Honor a draft proposal for Your Honor to consider under what circumstances a request of a trial package will be bound to confidentiality.

Thus far, we've had three requests for trial packages, and I'd like the record to illustrate that within five days after May 22nd, those packages will be ready for dissemination.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WITTMANN: We've been bouncing around quite a bit, Judge, but basically we've covered the pro se claimants, Mr. Johnston's report. We have nothing further to report from the defense side, anyway. I don't think Mr. Herman does either with respect to the remaining items on the agenda, with the exception of the Vioxx statistics.

They're the same as they were. We've still got

December 31 statistics. But we will have new statistics next 1 2 week when Merck makes it's quarterly SEC filing. So I guess 3 we'll just have to wait until next week when we get those out, because we can't give them out in advance, as Your Honor knows. 4 5 THE COURT: Right. MR. WITTMANN: That's really all I think that we have 6 7 to report from the defendant's standpoint. 8 MR. HERMAN: From the plaintiffs also, Your Honor. 9 **THE COURT:** Anything further from anyone? 10 **MR. BECNEL:** The date of the presentation, the 22nd, 11 is it here in the courtroom? 12 **THE COURT:** Yes, here in the courtroom. The next meeting is on 22nd. What time in the afternoon are you 13 scheduled for? 14 15 Immediately after the status conference, MR. HERMAN: or as Your Honor would --16 17 THE COURT: We'll start the status conference at the I'll see counsel in the conference at 8:30, the 18 same time. committees, and then we'll start the open court at 9:00. 19 20 MR. HERMAN: One other issue, the U.S. Bank 21 representatives will coordinate with defense liaison, plaintiff 22 liaison, the special master, and BrownGreer. 23 THE COURT: They'll be here too? MR. HERMAN: They'll be here on May 22nd. 24 25 THE COURT: I do want to meet with them. I think

it's important that we gear up the funds -- solve the aspect of it. That is to say we're going to focus on the delivery of the funds to the banks, and what the banks are going to do with it, and how we're going to be dealing with the payouts.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, if I might suggest that that take place immediately after the status conference and that the presentation of the stroke trial package follow that, if that's in accord with Your Honor's schedule.

THE COURT: We've got to focus on the liquidity of the funds and also security of the funds. I don't know which comes first. Probably security first. But everybody would like to earn as much interest on the funds as possible, but that has to be taken into consideration from the standpoint of liquidity and security of the funds, but I know you know that.

MR. HERMAN: Sure.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Court will stand in recess.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(WHEREUPON, the Court was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.

<u>/s/ Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR</u> Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR Official Court Reporter