

**MINUTE ENTRY
FALLON, J.
FEBRUARY 20, 2014**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

	:	MDL NO. 2047
IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED DRY WALL	:	
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	SECTION: L
	:	
	:	JUDGE FALLON
	:	MAG. WILKINSON
.....	:	

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

The monthly status conference was held on this date in the Courtroom of District Judge Eldon E. Fallon. Prior to the conference, the Court met with liaison counsel and the chairs of the steering committees. Liaison Counsel reported to the Court on the topics set out in Joint Report No. 53. (Rec. Doc. 17430). The conference was transcribed by Ms. Cathy Pepper, Official Court Reporter. Counsel may contact Ms. Pepper at (504) 589-7779 to request a copy of the transcript.

I. PRE-TRIAL ORDERS

The Court has issued the following Pre-Trial Orders:

Pre-Trial Order No. 1 entered June 15, 2009 – Initial Case Management

Pre-Trial Order No. 1A entered August 28, 2009 – Counsel must Enter Appearances for Served Parties or risk Default Judgment

Pre-Trial Order No. 1B entered October 9, 2009 – Amending Pre-Trial Order No. 1 to clarify the preservation of physical evidence during home remediation.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1C entered November 24, 2009 – Lifting the stay on motion practice, but continuing all motions filed in the MDL without date. Pursuant to a November 25, 2009 Order, all motion practice in the *Gross* matter (09-6690) is stayed.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1D entered January 8, 2010 – Clarifies Pre-Trial Order 1C and lifts the stay with regard to responsive pleadings.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1E entered February 12, 2010 – Regarding stay of responsive pleadings in *Gross*.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1F entered March 9, 2010-Clarifying the deadline dates for responsive pleadings, notices of appearance, profile forms, and alleviating the need to file motions for extensions in all cases.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1G entered May 27, 2010-Further clarifying deadlines for notices of appearances, profile forms, and responsive pleadings in all cases.

Pre-Trial Order No. 1H entered October 22, 2010-Regarding the PSC's Notice of Completion to the Omni Complaints

Pre-Trial Order No. 1I entered January 24, 2012 – Preservation of Physical Evidence

Pre-Trial Order No. 2 entered June 16, 2009 – Notice to Transferor Court

Pre-Trial Order No. 2A entered September 18, 2009 – Means of Tracking Remands in MDL 2047

Pre-Trial Order No. 3 entered July 6, 2009 – Designation of Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel

Pre-Trial Order No. 4 entered July 6, 2009 – Designation of Defendants' Liaison Counsel

Pre-Trial Order No. 5 entered July 6, 2009 – Contact Information

Pre-Trial Order No. 5A entered July 9, 2009 – Counsel Contact Information Form

Pre-Trial Order No. 6 entered July 21, 2009 – Electronic Service (LexisNexis)

Pre-Trial Order No. 7 entered July 27, 2009 – Appointment Defendants' Steering

Committee

Pre-Trial Order No. 7A entered August 4, 2009 – Amending PTO 7 re: Defendants’ Steering Committee

Pre-Trial Order No. 7B entered August 27, 2009 – Amending PTO 7 re: list containing Defendants’ Steering Committee and lists responsibilities for same

Pre-Trial Order No. 8 entered July 28, 2009 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee

Pre-Trial Order No. 8A entered January 11, 2011 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning January 11, 2011.

Pre-Trial Order No. 8B entered March 19, 2012 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning March 19, 2012.

Pre-Trial Order No. 8C entered March 12, 2013 – Appointing Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for a one year term, beginning March 12, 2013.

Pre-Trial Order No. 9 entered July 28, 2009 – Time and Billing Guidelines/Submissions

Pre-Trial Order No. 9A entered March 16, 2012 – Reporting of Common Benefit Time by State Court Counsel.

Pre-Trial Order No. 10 entered August 21, 2009 – All parties to provide PLC or DLC with photographic catalog of markings, brands, endtapes and other identifying markers found in affected homes by August 26, 2009. PSC and DSC to collect and submit data to the Court and inspection company for TIP a joint catalog of data to assist in training of inspections no later than August 28, 2009.

Pre-Trial Order No. 11 entered August 17, 2009 - Profile forms to be distributed to appropriate parties and filed and returned on or before September 2, 2009

Pre-Trial Order No. 12 entered August 25, 2009 – Court will prepare final version of Distributor Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 12A entered August 25, 2009 – Court adopted Distributor Profile Form be distributed to appropriate parties and returned to DLC Kerry Miller on or before 9/8/09, either electronically or by hard copy

Pre-Trial Order No. 13 entered August 27, 2009 – Court institutes and will supervise Threshold Inspection Program (TIP). Court appoints Crawford & Company to carry

out the inspections.

Pre-Trial Order No. 13(A) entered November 24, 2009 – Amending the Threshold Inspection Program (TIP).

Pre-Trial Order No. 14 entered September 24, 2009 - Court approves Exporter, Importer or Broker Profile Form, and provides requirements for issuance and return of the form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 14(A) entered October 13, 2009 – Court approves a revised Exporter, Importer or Broker Defendant Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 15 entered September 25, 2009 – Counsel must provide privilege log for documents withheld in response to discovery requests. Also, the accidental production of privileged information does not constitute a waiver of the privilege.

Pre-Trial Order No. 16 entered September 25, 2009 – Pertains to the disclosure, use and protection of confidential information produced during the course of this MDL.

Pre-Trial Order No. 17 entered November 2, 2009 – Recognizing and Confirming KPT's Agreement to Accept Service of PSC's Omnibus Class Action Complaint.

Pre-Trial Order No. 18 entered November 5, 2009 – Appointing Phillip A. Wittmann to be the Homebuilders and Installers Liaison Counsel.

Pre-Trial Order No. 19 entered March 18, 2010—Appointing a State and Federal Coordination Committee.

Pre-Trial Order No. 20 entered April 6, 2010 – Appointment of Insurer Steering Committee.

Pre-Trial Order No. 21 entered April 6, 2010 – Retailer Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 22 entered April 27, 2010 – Privileged communications relating to PTO 20.

Pre-Trial Order No. 23 entered April 27, 2010 – Insurer Profile Form.

Pre-Trial Order No. 24 entered April 27, 2010 – Subpoenas/30(b)(6) depositions issued re insurance.

Pre-Trial Order No. 25 entered November 3, 2011 – Setting November 15, 2011 deadline for submission of profile forms.

Pre-Trial Order No. 26 entered March 29, 2012 – Appointing a self-remediated homes committee, creating a self-remediated homes pilot program, and setting April 28, 2012 deadline for submission of documentation for self-remediated homes.

Pre-Trial Order No. 27 entered September 10, 2013 – Establishment of System for Creating and Tracking Chinese Drywall Settlement Program Claims Administration Procedures.

Pre-Trial Order No. 28 entered January 10, 2014 – Attorney Fee and Cost Reimbursement Guidelines (with Exhibits A, B and C)

Pre-Trial Order No. 28(A) entered January 27, 2014 – Clarification of Pre-Trial Order No. 28 (Attorney Fee and Cost Reimbursement Guidelines)

II. STATE COURT TRIAL SETTINGS

Judge Beatrice Butchko, Miami-Dade County, Florida, has the following case set for trial:

1. *Echevarria v. Knauf Plasterboard (Tianjin) Co., Ltd., et al.*, case no. 10-64471 CA 42, set for the two week trial period beginning August 6, 2014.

Judge Hall conducted a hearing on August 13, 2013 for the final approval of the Qualified Settlement Fund allocation in the *Ramirez* and *Torres* cases. Additionally, Judge Hall has set the following trials in Virginia State Court:

1. *Janelle and DuShane Davenport v. Ralph Digges*, Case No. CL10-7351, set for trial period beginning April 14, 2014, and will last 3 days.
2. *Preston and Rachel McKellar v. HHJV, LLC, et al.*, Case No. CL09-5903, set for trial period beginning September 15, 2014, and will last 3 days.

The parties will be prepared to discuss the foregoing at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

III. STATE/FEDERAL COORDINATION

On March 18, 2010, the Court entered Pre-Trial Order No. 19 appointing State and Federal Coordination Committees. The parties will be prepared to discuss State/Federal coordination at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

IV. OMNIBUS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINTS

The following is a list of filed Omni Complaints and Complaints in intervention:

Omni I: *Sean and Beth Payton, et al v. Knauf Gips KG, et al*, Case No. 2:09-cv-07628 (E.D.La.). Omni IA, IB and IC have been filed. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17021]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17044] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17091]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17112]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17229]. On January 15, 2014, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Dismiss Claims, With Prejudice Against Banner Supply Co.; Banner Supply Company Fort Myers, LLC; Banner Supply Company Pompano, LLC; Banner Supply Company Port St. Lucie, LLC; Banner Supply Company Tampa, LLC; and Banner Supply International, LLC [Rec. Doc. 17387] and on February 6, 2014, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17412]. On January 15, 2014, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Dismiss Claims, With Prejudice Against Interior/Exterior Building Supply, LP and Interior/Exterior Enterprises, LLC [Rec. Doc. 17388] and on February 6, 2014 the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17413]. On January 15, 2014, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Dismiss Claims, With Prejudice Against Guangdong Knauf New Building Materials Products Co., Ltd.; Knauf Gips KG; Knauf Plasterboard (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.; and Knauf Plasterboard (Wuhu) Co., Ltd. [Rec. Doc. 17389] and on February 6, 2014 the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17414]. On January 15, 2014, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Dismiss Claims, With Prejudice Against L&W Supply Corporation; L&W Supply Corporation d/b/a Seacoast Supply; and USG Corporation [Rec. Doc. 17390] and on February 6, 2014 the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17415]. On January 17, 2014, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Dismiss Claims, With Prejudice Against Southern Homes, LLC and Springhill, LLC [Rec. Doc. 17395] and on February 6, 2014 the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17416];

Omni II: *Kenneth and Barbara Wiltz, et al. v. Beijing New Building Materials Public Limited Co., et al.*, Civil Action No.10-361(E.D.La.). Omni IIA, IIB and IIC have been filed. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17012]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec.

Doc. 17056] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17092]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17111]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17282];

Omni III: *Gross, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, Case No. 09-6690 (E.D.La.), the PSC filed a Motion in Intervention (attaching a proposed Complaint in Intervention, *Mary Anne Benes, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, (E.D.La.) (Omni III). Omni IIIA has been filed. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17013]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17045] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17093]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17110]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17288];

Omni IV: *Joyce W. Rogers, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, Case No. 10-362 (E.D.La.) (Omni IV). Omni IVA, IVB and IVC have been filed. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17014]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17046] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on amended Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17224];

Omni V: *Amato v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., et al.*, Case No. 10-932. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17015]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17047] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17094]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17109]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice

[Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17287];

Omni VI: *Charlene and Tatum Hernandez v. AAA Insurance*, Case No. 10-3070. This Omni VI Complaint has been dismissed;

Omni VII: *Kenneth Abel v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-080. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17016]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17048] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17095]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17108]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17285];

Omni VIII: *Daniel Abreu v. Gerbrueder Knauf, et al*, No. 11-252. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17017]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17049] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17096]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17107]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17281];

Omni IX: *Laura Haya, et al, v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1077. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17018]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17050] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17097]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17106]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17286];

Omni X: *Block v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft KG, et al*, No. 11-1363. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17019]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17051] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17098]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17105]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17235];

Omni XI: *Benoit, et al v. Lafarge, S.A., et al*, No. 11-1893. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17020]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17052] setting a show cause date for Rec. Doc. 17020 following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On August 29, 2013 the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why the Omni XI Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17043]. The Court issued an Order on September 9, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17059] setting a show cause date for Rec. Doc. 17043 following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motions [17020 and 17043] were granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 29, 2013, the Court issued a Final Judgment dismissing the Lafarge Entities with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17218]. On October 24, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17284];

Omni XII: *Arndt, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al*, No. 11-2349. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17021]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17053] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17227];

Omni XIII: *Richard and Constance Almeroth, et al, v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 12-0498. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed

[Rec. Doc. 17022]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17054] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Substitute Schedules [Rec. Doc. 17099]. An Order approving the substituted schedules was entered on September 17, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17145]. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17234];

Omni XIV: *Jessica Cassidy, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al*, No. 11-3023. On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause Why Settled Claims Should Not Be Dismissed [Rec. Doc. 17023]. The Court issued an Order on August 27, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17055] setting a show cause date following the status conference on September 17, 2013. On September 17, 2013, after argument, the motion was granted, dismissing the claims with prejudice [Rec. Doc. 17143]. On October 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the defendants identified on Schedule II [Rec. Doc. 17225];

Omni XV: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1672.

Omni XVI: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1395.

Omni XVII: *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 11-1673.

Omni XVIII: *Paul Beane, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al*, No. 13-609. On June 6, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' First Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16892], which was granted on June 10, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16896]. On June 10, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16895], which was granted on June 11, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16906]. On July 8, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16933], which was granted on July 9, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16935]. On July 30, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Fourth Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16965], which was granted on July 31, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16970]. On July 31, 2013, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Fifth

Supplemental and Amended Omnibus Class Action Complaint (XVIII) [Rec. Doc. 16967], which was granted on August 13, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17004].

On April 5, 2013, the PSC filed a Rule 6(b) Motion for Extension of Time for Service of Process Under Rule 4(m) [Rec. Doc. 13567].

V. PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO ESTABLISH A PLAINTIFFS' LITIGATION FEE AND EXPENSE FUND

On March 22, 2011, the PSC filed a Motion to Establish a Court Supervised Account for Voluntary Deposit of Funds to Compensate and Reimburse Common Benefit Counsel [Rec. Doc. 8308]. The PSC filed the motion because many of the settling parties have approached the PSC about the prospect of setting aside a portion of the settlement proceeds to preserve a *res* to compensate and reimburse common benefit counsel. On April 13, 2011, the Court entered an Order directing that any party may voluntarily deposit seventeen percent (17%) of settlement proceeds for common benefit fees (12%) and costs (5%) into the registry of the Court [Rec. Doc. 8545.] A number of voluntary deposits have been made to the Clerk of Court pursuant to the motion.

VI. PILOT PROGRAM

On October 14, 2010, Knauf and the PSC announced the creation of a 300 home remediation pilot program. The Settlement Agreement for the pilot program can be found on the Court's website at www.laed.uscourts.gov. The agreed contractor, Moss & Associates, has begun estimating the cost of remediation for a number of homes, and continues to do so as Homeowner Affirmations are received from pilot program claimants. Remediation is complete on 1,964 homes and condominiums, work has begun on 184 homes and condominiums, and 49 more remediations are set to begin soon. Homeowners and/or counsel with questions about remediations are

encouraged to call the helpline created by Moss & Associates at 1-888-91 MOSSUSA (1-888-916-6778).

To date, Moss has mailed out 2465 Work Authorization packets to homeowners in the various states. Moss has received 2,348 executed Work Authorization packets, and 117 Work Authorization packets are outstanding. Moss and the PSC have contacted certain lawyers regarding the status of the outstanding Work Authorization packets. All counsel are requested to return the outstanding Work Authorization packets to Moss as soon as possible, or to provide reasons as to why the packets have not yet been returned to Moss, for example, (1) the home was foreclosed on by a lienholder, (2) the home was sold by the homeowner, (3) the homeowner has not yet chosen a settlement option, or (4) the homeowner doesn't wish to pursue relief under the settlement,

The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

VII. INEX, BANNER, KNAUF, L&W and GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS

On February 7, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment: (1) Certifying the INEX, Banner, Knauf, L&W, and Global Settlement Classes, and (2) Granting Final Approval to the INEX, Banner, Knauf, L&W, and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16570]. On February 19, 2013, the Court issued an Order Correcting Clerical Error [Rec. Doc. 16580] in the February 7, 2013 Order [16570].

On March 13, 2013, the PSC and Settlement Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order: (1) Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16609]. On March 15, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment: (1) Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and

Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16616]. On April 24, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment (1) Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16782].

The registration period for the Knauf, Banner, INEX, Global, and/or L&W Class Settlements expired on July 8, 2013. On May 23, 2013, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 16877] outlining the process for submission of claims, which process began on May 27, 2013 and pursuant to Order issued on July 26, 2013, the submission period will close on September 30, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16961]. On September 26, 2013, a Joint Motion to Extend Claim Submission Period was filed by Class Counsel and Defendants' Liaison Counsel [Rec. Doc. 17156]. On September 27, 2013, the Court issued an Order extending the Claims Submission period from September 30, 2013 up until and through October 25, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17157].

BrownGreer, the court appointed Settlement Administrator, has had several telephone and in-person meetings with various counsel to address issues regarding the claims process. A representative from BrownGreer will be present at the status conference to address settlement claims issues. BrownGreer filed Notices of Approved Claims Administrator Procedures [Rec. Doc. 17090 and 17160], which included:

1. CAP 2013-1(September 12, 2013) – Establishes a CAP process and sets out the procedures for issuing CAPs.
2. CAP 2013-2 (September 12, 2013) - Sets out a detailed explanation of how the Settlement Administrator will review claims for indicia of Chinese Drywall and other facts related to builder, supplier and installer information for an Affected Property and adopts an Affected Property Information Affidavit for use by Claimants who do not have documentary evidence of their builder, supplier or installer.
3. CAP 2013-3 (September 12, 2013) - Sets out a detailed explanation of the procedure that the Settlement Administrator will follow in processing incomplete claims, including establishment of time frames to cure deficiencies.

4. CAP 2013-4 (October 1, 2013) – Sets forth the timing by which claimants, the Knauf Defendants or the Lead Contractor may request mediation with the Special Master pursuant to Knauf Settlement Agreement.
5. CAP 2013-5 (October 1, 2013) – Sets out a detailed explanation of the appellate procedure available to claimants after the Settlement Administrator issues an Eligibility, Denial, or Incompleteness Denial for a claim.
6. CAP 2013-6 (January 2, 2014) – Sets forth the timing by which claimants may supplement claims made after the October 25, 2013 deadline,

Various motions for dismissals of claims brought against settling defendants have been filed with the Court (see Section IV herein). Parties in state court proceedings are also encouraged to begin the process of dismissing cases.

On August 12, 2013, a Joint Notice of Filing of Settlement Documents was filed by PLC and DLC [Rec. Doc. 16978] attaching the Settlement Agreement Regarding Post-December 9, 2011 Claims Against the Knauf Defendants in MDL No. 2047, with attached exhibits. On October 3, 2013, a Joint Motion and Incorporated Memorandum to Substitute Fourth Amendment to Settlement Agreement Regarding Claims Against the Knauf Defendants in MDL No. 2047 was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17165]. On November 1, 2013, the Court issued an Order approving the Motion to Substitute the Fourth Amendment [Rec. Doc. 17220].

On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Establish Various Qualified Settlement Funds (QSFs) and to Appoint Fund Administrator and Depository Bank relating to the Global, Knauf, Banner, InEx and L&W Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17009]. On September 9, 2013, the Court issued various Orders approving the QSFs [Rec. Docs. 17064 thru 17076].

On October 31, 2013, the Court issued an Order in connection with the Knauf Settlement substituting the Whitney National Bank as Escrow Agent in place of U.S. Bank in

connection with the depository bank for the Remediation Fund [Rec. Doc. 17219].

On September 25, 2013, Class Counsel and Insurance Liaison Counsel filed a Joint Motion to Disburse Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 17152] in connection with the Global Settlement, and on October 21, 2013, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 17178].

On October 23, 2013, Class Counsel and counsel for InEx filed a Joint Motion to Disburse Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 17185] in connection with the InEx settlement. On November 5, 2013, the Court issued an Order granting the Motion [Rec. Doc. 17228].

On November 7, 2013, the Court issued an Order requiring all settling defendants in the various settlements to deposit their settlement proceeds into the Court registry [Rec. Doc. 17236]. Philip Garrett has performed an analysis of the various settlements and the funding, and Class Counsel filed a Motion to Authorize Deposit of Settlement Funds on December 12, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17321]. On January 17, 2014, the Court issued an Order authorizing the deposit of the settlement funds into the various QSFs [Rec. Doc. 17398] and on February 11, 2014 the Court issued an Amended Order [Rec. Doc. 17426].

The parties will be prepared to discuss these matters further at the status conference on February 20, 2014.

VIII. SHARED COSTS FUND

On December 28, 2011, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee filed a Motion to Establish a Shared Costs Fund [Rec. Doc. 12086] in compliance with the Knauf Settlement. On December 29, 2011, the Court entered an Order [Rec. Doc. 12102] authorizing Russ M. Herman, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, and Arnold Levin, Plaintiff's Lead Counsel, to establish a Shared Costs Fund with Esquire Bank. The Shared Costs Fund has been established. On July 3, 2012, the PSC filed a

Second Motion for Disbursement of Funds From the MDL 2047 PSC Shared Costs Fund (For Expenses) [Rec. Doc. 15209]. On July 9, 2012, the Court entered an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 15231]. On November 9, 2012, the PSC filed a Third Motion for Disbursement of Funds From the MDL 2047 PSC Shared Costs Fund [Rec. Doc. 16145]. On November 29, 2012, the Court entered an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 16329]. On January 16, 2013, the PSC filed a Fourth Motion for Disbursement of Funds From the MDL 2047 Costs Fund [Rec. Doc. 16506]. On February 4, 2013, the Court entered an Order granting the motion [Rec. Doc. 16555].

The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

IX. TAISHAN DEFENDANTS

On September 4, 2012, the Court issued an Order & Reasons [Rec. Doc. 15755] denying Defendant Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd.'s Renewed Motion to Vacate the Default Judgment and Dismiss the Complaint [Rec. Doc. 13490] relating to *Germano, et al vs. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al* (EDLA No. 09-6687), Renewed Motion Pursuant to Rules 55(C) and 12(B)(2) to Vacate Entry of Default and Dismiss This Action [Rec. Doc. 13566] relating to *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-4115), and Motion Pursuant to Rule 12(B)(2) to Dismiss the Complaint relating to *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-6690) [Rec. Doc. 13590] and *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* (EDLA No. 10-361) [Rec. Doc. 13591]. In addition, Judge Farina issued an Order on August 31, 2012 denying Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd.'s Motion to Vacate the Entry of Default and to Dismiss the Complaint, in the matter of *Lennar Homes, LLC, et al vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al*, In the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida, Chinese Drywall Division, Case No.: 09-07901 CA

42. On October 24, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter. On October 25, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* matter. On October 26, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter.

On September 14, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. filed a Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) to Certify the Court's Order & Reasons for Interlocutory Appeal and Stay Further Proceedings Pending the Appeal in the *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-4115) matter [Rec. Doc. 15812]. On September 14, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) to Certify the Court's Order & Reasons for Interlocutory Appeal and Stay Further Proceedings Pending the Appeal in the *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* (EDLA No. 09-6690) and *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* (EDLA No. 10-361) matters [Rec. Doc. 15813]. On October 16, 2012, the Court issued an Order & Reasons granting these Motions [Rec. Doc. 15952].

On October 24, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Gross vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter. On October 25, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. and Tai'an Taishan Plasterboard Co., Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission

to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in the *Wiltz vs. Beijing New Building Materials Public, Ltd. Co., et al* matter. On October 26, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co. Ltd. filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(B) with the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in *The Mitchell Co., Inc. vs. Knauf Gips KG, et al* matter. On December 4, 2012, the Fifth Circuit granted Taishan's Motion for Leave to Appeal. The case number for the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals regarding the *Gross, Mitchell* and *Wiltz* matters is No. 12-31213. The appellant brief in *Gross* was filed on May 17, 2013 [Document: 00512246351] the appellee brief was filed on June 19, 2013 [Document: 00512279836], and the appellant reply brief was filed on July 22, 2013 [Document: 00512316261]; the appellant brief in *Mitchell* was filed on May 30, 2013 [Document: 00512258023], the appellee brief was filed July 2, 2013 [Document: 00512295361], and the appellant reply brief was filed on August 2, 2013 [Document: 00512329973]; and the appellant brief in *Wiltz* was filed on June 13, 2013 [Document: 00512273386], the appellee brief was filed on August 6, 2013 [Document: 00512332769], and the appellant reply brief was filed on September 3, 2013 [Document: 00512360942]. Amicus briefs were filed in the *Mitchell* matter on July 9, 2013 by the PSC [Document: 00512300168], Citizens of Florida [Document: 00512301726], Lennar Homes, LLC and U.S. Home Corporation [Document: 00512301726]. On September 9, 2013, Appellees filed a Motion to Consolidate Appeals for Oral Argument and Stay Proceedings Until a Decision is Reached in the Related *Germano* Appeal [Document: 00512366347]. On September 23, 2013, Appellant filed an Opposition to the motion [Document: 00512382995]. On October 31, 2013, the Fifth Circuit issued an Order: (1) denying Appellant's motion for separate oral argument as to the three appeals; (2) granting Appellees' and Amicus Curiae's motion for consolidated oral argument as to the three

appeals; and (3) denying Appellees' and Amicus Curiae's motion to stay further proceedings pending disposition of the related *Germano* appeal [Document: 00512426060]. These three (3) consolidated appeals were argued in Houston, Texas on February 5, 2014. The parties await a ruling from the Fifth Circuit.

On October 2, 2012, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. filed a Notice of Appeal in the *Germano, et al vs. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al* (EDLA No. 09-6687) [Rec. Doc. 15871]. On October 16, 2012, this appeal (5th Cir. Case No. 12-31017) was consolidated with the previous appeal in *Germano* (5th Cir. Case No. 10-30568). On March 28, 2013, Taishan filed its Appellant Brief in *Germano* with the Fifth Circuit [Document 00512191168], and on April 30, 2013, the PSC filed their Appellee Brief [Document 00512224614]. On May 7, 2013 the United States Senators and Congressmen, as well as the National Association of Home Builders [Document 00512233922], filed Amicus Curiae Briefs. On May 14, 2013 the United States Senators and Congressmen filed a corrected Amicus Curiae brief [Document 00512240187] after receiving leave of court. Taishan filed its reply brief in *Germano* on May 31, 2013 [Document 00512259626]. This appeal was heard, with oral argument, before the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals on Wednesday, October 9, 2013. On January 28, 2014, the Fifth Circuit issued an opinion affirming the District Court [Document: 00512513792].

The PSC has recently filed three complaints, (1) *Eduardo and Carmen Amarin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 2:11-cv-377, United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia; (2) *Eduardo and Carmen Amarin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 1:11-cv-22408-MGC, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida; and (3) *Eduardo and Carmen*

Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al, No. 2:11-cv-1395, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, against Taishan and allegedly related companies that the PSC claims reinforces the purposeful avilment of these companies to federal jurisdiction by marketing their products to the United States. These cases will be served pursuant to the Hague Convention in due course. The *Amorin* complaints filed in Florida and Virginia were transferred to the MDL on July 19, 2011 and all three have been filed into the record as Omnibus Class Action Complaints in Intervention (see Section VI above).

On September 27, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment against CNBM USA Corp. and BNBK of America, Inc. in the matter of *Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al*, No. 2:11-cv-1395[Rec. Doc. 15847]. The matter is not yet set for hearing.

On September 11, 2013, the appeal filed with the Third District Court of Appeal, State of Florida, in the *Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. v. Lennar Homes, LLC*, No. 3D12-2591, Lower Tribunal No. 09-7901, matter was AFFIRMED.

X. VENTURE SUPPLY & PORTER BLAINE DEFENDANTS

On January 15, 2013, the Court entered a Minute Entry [Rec. Doc. 16505] granting (1) Preliminarily Approving Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remain Claims; Conditionally Certifying the Settlement Classes and Approving the Form Notice to Class Members.

On May 8, 2013, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion for an Order (1) Certifying Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Settlement Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remaining Claims; (2) Granting Final Approval to the Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements; and (3) Approving an Allocation Plan for the Four Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16806]. On July 9, 2013, the Court entered an Order (1) Certifying Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Settlement Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remaining Claims; (2) Granting Final Approval to the Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements; and (3) Approving an Allocation Plan for the Four Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16934]. On July 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Approve Funding, Administration and Special Master Services [Rec. Doc. 16939] and the Court entered an Order granting the motion on July 19, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16956].

The Claims process for the Four Virginia-based Settlements is scheduled to take place in two phases: Real Property Claims and Other Loss Claims. On October 14, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order (1) Approving the Real Property Claim Form and (2) Setting a Deadline of December 16, 2013 for Filing Real Property Claims in Each of the Four Virginia-Based Chinese Drywall Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17170]. On October 24, 2013, the Court entered an Order approving the Real Property Claim Form and setting a claim filing deadline of December 16, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17208]. The Real Property Claims phase is already underway -- as of February 14, 2014, the Garretson Resolution Group (the Claims Administrator for the Four

Virginia-based Settlements) received 347 claim forms, all initial reviews have been completed, and proof requirement reviews are underway.

On December 6, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for an Order (1) Approving the Other Loss Claim Form and (2) Setting a Deadline of March 17, 2014 for Filing Other Loss Claims in Each of the Four Virginia-Based Chinese Drywall Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17308]. On December 9, 2013, the Court issued an Order (1) Approving the Other Loss Claim Form and (2) Setting a Deadline of March 17, 2014 for Filing Other Loss Claims in Each of the Four Virginia-Based Chinese Drywall Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17319]. The Claims Administrator has already commenced the Other Loss stage of the claims process by sending Other Loss Claims forms to Class Members and Counsel are preparing forms for represented clients and, the Claims Administrator has begun receiving other loss claim forms.

On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Establish Various Qualified Settlement Funds (QSFs) and to Appoint Fund Administrator and Depository Bank (Virginia Settlements) [Rec. Doc. 17010]. On September 9, 2013, the Court issued various Orders approving the QSFs [Rec. Docs. 17077 thru 17084].

XI. HOBBIE, ET AL V. RCR HOLDINGS, II., LLC, ET AL (SETTLEMENT)

On July 30, 2013, a Joint Motion for an Order (1) Granting Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement Regarding Claims Against Coastal Construction of South Florida, Inc. Related to Villa Lago at Renaissance Commons in MDL 2047; (2) Certifying the Settlement Class; and (3) Appoint Class Counsel [Rec. Doc. 16964] was filed with the Court. On August 20, 2013, the Court held the Final Fairness Hearing for this settlement. On September 9, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment Certifying the Coastal Settlement Class, Appointing Class Counsel,

and Granting Final Approval of the Settlement Regarding Claims Against Coastal Construction of South Florida, Inc. Related to Villa Lago at Renaissance Commons in MDL No. 2047 [Rec. Doc. 17063]. On September 12, 2013, the Court issued an Order withdrawing the approval of the settlement pending the completion of the 90 day CAFA time period [Rec. Doc. 17100]. On October 21, 2013, the Court issued a Final Order and Judgment Certifying the Coastal Settlement Class, Appointing Class Counsel, and Granting Final Approval of the Settlement Regarding Claims Against Coastal Construction of South Florida, Inc. Related to Villa Lago at Renaissance Commons in MDL 2047 [Rec. Doc. 17177]. On October 23, 2013, Settlement Class Counsel filed a Motion to Establish a Qualified Settlement Fund [Rec. Doc. 17186]. On October 25, 2013, the Court entered an Order granting the Motion and establishing a Qualified Settlement Fund for the Renaissance Commons project [Rec. Doc. 17210]. On December 9, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Appointment of Receiver [Rec. Doc. 17315]. On December 9, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Authorize Transfer of the RCR Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 17316]. On December 10, 2013, the Villa Lago Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Partial Award of Attorneys' Fees and Partial Reimbursement of Costs [Rec. Doc. 17320]. On December 19, 2013, the Court entered Orders granting the Motion for Partial Award of Attorneys' Fees and Partial Reimbursement of Costs [Rec. Doc. 17368], the Motion to Authorize Transfer of the RCR Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 17369], and the Motion to Appoint H. Minor Pipes as the Receiver [Rec. Doc. 17370].

XII. PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT PROFILE FORMS

On November 3, 2011, the Court entered Pre-Trial Order No. 25 [Rec. Doc. 11151] setting a deadline of November 15, 2011 for the completion and submission of profile forms. On February 6, 2012, the PSC filed a Motion to Compel or Alternatively For Sanctions in Accordance

With Pre Trial Order No. 25 for Certain Defendants to Produce Completed Profile Forms [Rec. Doc. 12435]. On February 22, 2012, the PSC filed a First Notice of Errata to the Motion to Compel or Alternatively For Sanctions in Accordance with Pre Trial Order No. 25 for Certain Defendants to Produce Completed Profile Forms [Rec. Doc. 12548].

XIII. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

The “MDL FAQs” may be found at www.laed.uscourts.gov/Drywall/FAQ.htm. Liaison counsel reminds the parties to review the FAQs before contacting Liaison Counsel. The parties will be prepared to discuss this issue at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

XIV. MATTERS SET FOR HEARING FOLLOWING THE CURRENT STATUS CONFERENCE

1. Plaintiff Margie Lemley’s Motion for Relief from Order, Motion to Enter Remediation Claim Form or in the Alternative Motion to Be Placed in Pilot Program Filed With the Clerk of Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana on February 10, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17421]; and
2. Plaintiffs’ Ferdinand Valle and Alba Del Valle’s Motion for Relief from Order and Motion for Order to Instruct Settlement Administrator to Treat Remediation Claim Form and Miscellaneous Claim as Valid Filed With the Clerk of Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana on February 10, 2014 [Rec. Doc. 17422].

XV. PRO SE CLAIMANTS

On November 8, 2011, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 11327] appointing Robert M. Johnston of Johnston, Hoefler, Holwadel & Eldridge, 400 Poydras Street, Suite 2450, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130, as a *pro se* curator to assist the growing number of *pro se* claimants in the MDL litigation who are seeking information and/or representation.

XVI. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE PRESERVATION ORDER

On October 9, 2009, the Court issued Pre-Trial Order 1(B) relating to the preservation of physical evidence from properties that may be repaired during the course of these MDL proceedings. The PSC, HSC and Knauf have agreed on a revised evidence preservation order, proposed Pre-Trial Order No. 1(I), that reduces the amount of physical evidence required to be preserved from a repaired home. The proposed order was submitted to the Court on January 24, 2012. Pre-Trial Order 1I was entered by the Court on January 24, 2012 [Rec. Doc. 12257]. The parties will be prepared to address this matter at the status conference on February 20, 2014.

XVII. ENTRY OF PRELIMINARY DEFAULT

Pursuant to Minute Entry dated February 23, 2012 [Rec Doc. 12687], the Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment [Rec. Doc. 11234] and errata thereto [Rec. Doc. 11773, 12265, 12551] was granted. On February 24, 2012, the Court signed an Entry of Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 12599] of defendants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Entry of Preliminary Default.

On July 18, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Second Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment [Rec. Doc. 15412]. An Errata to Plaintiffs' Second Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default was filed on October 8, 2012 [Rec. Doc. 15898]. On October 10, 2012, the Court granted the motion, but excluded Cornerstone Construction and Distinctive Drywall. On October 19, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Errata to Plaintiffs' Second Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment as to the Defaulting Defendants [Rec. 15972]. On October 26, 2012, the Court granted an Order clarifying which entities were in default [Rec. Doc. 16030]. Homebuilders' Liaison Counsel has received requests from several builders, asking that the Court address personal jurisdiction over the entities identified in the motion for entry of preliminary default prior to the

entry of any final default judgment. On September 12, 2013, Plaintiffs' third Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17089]. On October 15, 2013, Errata to Plaintiffs' Third Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17172]. On January 10, 2014, Plaintiffs' Fourth Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment was filed with the Court [Rec. Doc. 17378]. The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

XVIII. ALREADY REMEDIATED HOMES

The Already Remediated Homes Committee has met on several occasions to discuss properties that have not yet been resolved. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel has notified counsel that submitted properties to Knauf for resolution and which have not yet been resolved that meetings will be scheduled between Knauf and claimants to discuss further resolution of particular properties. The parties will be prepared to discuss this further at monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

XIX. LOUISIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL


The parties will be prepared to discuss this matter at the monthly status conference on February 20, 2014.

XX. NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court has scheduled the next monthly status conference on March 13, 2014 at 9:00 am.

The April conference will take place on April 17, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.

The conference call information for these conferences, as always, can be found on the Court's MDL website on the Calendar page: <http://laed.uscourts.gov/Drywall/Calendar.htm>.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized, cursive script that is difficult to decipher but appears to be a personal name.

