1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA		
3			
4			
5	IN RE: CHINESE MANUFACTURED * Docket 09-MD-2047		
6	DRYWALL PRODUCTS * LIABILITY LITIGATION * October 21, 2014		
7	This Document Relates to All Cases * 9:00 a.m.		
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
9			
10	MONTHLY STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE		
11	THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12			
13	APPEARANCES:		
14	For the Plaintiffs: Herman Herman Katz & Cotlar		
15	BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. BY: LEONARD A. DAVIS, ESQ. 820 O'Keefe Avenue		
16	New Orleans, Louisiana 70113		
17			
18	Levin, Fishbein, Sedran & Berman BY: ARNOLD LEVIN, ESQ.		
19	510 Walnut Street Suite 500		
20	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106		
21			
22	For Knauf Entities: Frilot, LLC BY: KERRY J. MILLER, ESQ.		
23	1100 Poydras Street, Suite 3700 New Orleans, Louisiana 70163		
24	nen or realis, Louis rana 70105		
25			

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
2	For State/Federal	Barrios, Kingsdorf & Casteix, LLP BY: DAWN M. BARRIOS, ESQ.
3	Committee:	BY: DAWN M. BARRIOS, ESQ. 701 Poydras Street Suite 3650
4		New Orleans, Louisiana 70139
5		
6	Claims Administrator:	BrownGreer, PLC BY: RYAN WOODY, ESQ.
7		250 Rockets Waý Richmond, Virginia 23231
8		<del>-</del>
9	Pro Se Curator:	Law Offices of Robert M. Johnston,
LO L1		LLC BY: ROBERT M. JOHNSTON, ESQ.
L2		400 Poydras Street Suite 2450 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
L3		New Of Tearis, Lours raina 70130
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	ADDEADANCES .	
	APPEARANCES:	D D =
2	Also Participating:	Ben Bauer, Esq. Dustin Barton, Esq. Peter Thriffiey, Esq.
3		Peter Inriffiey, Esq.
5	Official Court Reporter:	lodi Simcov PMP ECPP
6	Official Court Reporter.	Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street Room B-406
7		New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7780
8		
9	Proceedings recorded by mecha	nical stenography, transcript
10	produced by computer.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 **PROCEEDINGS** 2 (October 21, 2014) \*\*\*\*\* 3 4 5 (OPEN COURT.) **THE COURT:** Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies 6 7 and gentlemen. Call the case. 8 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL-2047, In re: Chinese 9 Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation. 10 **THE COURT:** Counsel, make their appearance for the 11 record, please. 12 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, good morning, 13 Judge Fallon. Russ Herman for plaintiffs. 14 MR. MILLER: Good morning, Your Honor. It's Kerry 15 Miller on behalf of the defendants. 16 **THE COURT:** Okay. It's good to see you, Russ. 17 know it's been a while since you've --18 MR. HERMAN: It's good to be seen, Your Honor. 19 Your Honor, I don't know if the folks on the 20 phone have checked in. 21 **THE COURT:** Yes. Who's on the phone? 22 THE DEPUTY CLERK: They won't respond, Judge, but 23 we're in. 24 THE COURT: Oh, yeah, that's right. Okay. For everybody who's on the phone, I've gotcha. 25

Okay. Pretrial orders?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, PTO 28E was entered on October 6th. It deals with attorney fee issues.

**THE COURT:** Okay. State court trial settings, anything?

MR. HERMAN: There are three state court trial settings in Virginia. They all occur between March 30th and April 7th. And Mr. Serpe is here, who can further advise the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything, Dawn, that either one of you-all have?

MS. BARRIOS: No, Your Honor. But on behalf of Mr. Serpe, just to let you know, these last three trial dates are cleanup cases that are still on the docket. So Judge Hall is allowing motions to set these for trial.

And I just want to make a remark on the record for the three Mississippi cases that are opt-outs that we discussed, I'm more than happy to work with plaintiffs' counsel on that at your convenience, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. With regard to those, I've talked with the attorneys and there are about three cases out of Mississippi. They have opted out of the program and so I'm scheduling them for trial and we'll try each of those some place in Mississippi.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, if I might, a personal

privilege, just state for the record we're very proud that Dawn 1 2 Barrios has received the Professionalism Award from the New 3 Orleans Bar Association. 4 **THE COURT:** Yes, I got that word. I congratulate her 5 also. We're proud of you. MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Anything on the omnibus class action? 8 MR. LEVIN: No, sir. THE COURT: Plaintiffs' motions to establish 9 10 litigation, anything on that? 11 MR. HERMAN: Nothing new on that, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. How about the remediation program, 13 any report on that? 14 MR. HERMAN: Mr. Miller's here to report. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. MILLER: Good morning, again, Your Honor. Kerry 17 Miller. 18 On the remediation program, where things stand 19 right now, Your Honor, is that with respect to all 20 single-family homes under Option 1 of the settlement agreement, 21 that's the option where Moss oversees the remediation, 22 approximately 2,000 homes are complete, with another 100 under 23 construction and 30 scheduled for start coming up. 24 Same thing, Your Honor, for the remediation

program, Option 1, where Moss oversees the construction for

25

condominium units. It looks like, Your Honor, 450 condo units are complete, 35 under construction, and that will pretty much wrap up condo units under the program, Your Honor.

One more quick note, Your Honor, to get a home or a condo unit into the remediation program, one of the critical points in that path is a submission of the work authorization by the homeowner back to Moss.

Your Honor, at one point there was a backlog of 3- or 400. That backlog is down to 25, which is just terrific. So there's not a long queue of things to clean up.

We did file a motion, Your Honor, which has been set for hearing on November 25th, though, which deals with work authorizations that have been outstanding for a long time to expedite the process of turning around work authorizations and to complete the remediation program quickly and on time, consistent with BrownGreer's administration of other aspects of the settlement, Your Honor entered CAP 7 earlier this year which set forth a 30-day turnaround time to get the work authorizations in.

So for those that have been outstanding for much longer than 30 days, there is a hearing date set,

November 25th, on a handful of those.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, we ought to have some kind of deadline on that, too, at least from the response standpoint. Two weeks before that date, I'd like to hear from

1 | those individuals.

MR. MILLER: Yes. I think you've issued a briefing schedule on that, Your Honor. So, hopefully, that will help clear up the work authorizations. But, by and large, we're at a point now where the homes, 99.5 percent of the cases, are either in the pipeline or it appears they're not going to get into the pipeline, for one reason or another.

THE COURT: All right. I have a Moss representative here. If anybody has any issues with their structures, this is the opportunity to at least talk to the individual from Moss and see if you can work it out.

Where are we? Anything, InEX, Banner, Knauf? Anything with Global settlements?

MR. HERMAN: No, Your Honor, not at this time. There is a BrownGreer report and this may be the opportunity for the Court to hear that report.

THE COURT: Sure. Okay.

MR. WOODY: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Jake Woody. I'm from BrownGreer, here to give the Court's monthly status report for the Chinese Drywall settlement program.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOODY: To date, we've received 22,451 claims and we've reviewed 19,142 of those claims. The only claim type that we haven't completed review on is our miscellaneous

claims. Everything else, we're finished with and are either completely done with the claims or in the process of receiving.

THE COURT: What falls into that, Jake?

MR. WOODY: Miscellaneous?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WOODY: Those are various claims. Most of them seem to be for personal property damage, things of that nature, maybe some inspections, but there -- I would say that if there is a common theme, it is that personal property issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOODY: Our largest claim type is our Global, Banner, InEX repair and relocation claims. Again, we're done with these claims. We have 10,049 eligible; 1,603 denied; and only 14 are currently incomplete.

These are pro rata settlements. Meaning that each claimant gets a share of the total amount. The way we calculated our pro rata amount is that we took the total settlement amount available for each settlement, divided it by the total eligible under area square footage for all the eligible properties and came up with a per square foot amount.

We multiplied that per square foot amount by the square footage of each home, and that's how we determined how much to pay for each claim.

For Banner, the per square foot amount is \$2.97; for InEX, it's 36 cents; the Global fund is divided into three

separate pools depending on whether you're a builder, supplier or installer. The Global builder is \$2.03; the Global supplier is \$3.36; and the Global installer is 95 cents.

There are many claimants who have received more than one payment. For instance, if you have Banner and you also have a Global builder, you would be eligible for the \$2.97 and also the \$2.03.

We have been making payments for some time now. We made the total of 9,555 payments. The total amount we've paid is \$44,722,673. Since the last status conference, we have paid \$38,215,912.

So we're making good progress in distributing these funds. We're going to continue to do so. Now is a good time to remind people that if they have an eligible claim and haven't received payment, we do need a completed IRS W-9 form, as well as our verification of claims form. Both are available on our Web site, and I'll give that address at the end of this presentation.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOODY: We do have four major other loss claim types. Those are bodily injury, foreclosure and short sale, a lost rent use and sales, and pre-remediation alternative living expenses.

We have, to date, across all four claim types, 2,067 potentially eligible claims. I say "potentially" because

they have submitted all the required documents under the settlement agreement, 400 incomplete, and 1,584 denied.

The best measure of where we are with the claim type is the number of incompletes. Until we are able to either put the claim into the "eligible" or "denied" category, we don't really know how it will turn out, which affects how we figure out how much we can pay for each claim.

This is a set fund. Meaning that we'd like to have as many closed as we can before we figure out how to pay them.

THE COURT: Do you have any anticipation as to when you're going to be able to make any payment at all?

MR. WOODY: I think, just to give you some perspective, last month we had 927 incomplete. This month we have 400. The numbers are dropping quickly. For bodily injury and pre-remediation, you can see we have three incompletes for each. Meaning that those are substantially complete.

Foreclosure has the most at 244. That number is going to continue to drop over the next few weeks.

Once we get a few more out of the incomplete, we can work with the parties, with the special master, to come up with a plan, and I think we'll be able to issue payments pretty quickly after that.

You can see from our Global payments, we're pretty quick once we get a plan set up.

THE COURT: Why so many in foreclosure and short sale? Why so many incomplete there?

MR. WOODY: Because that is the claim type that we have most recently reviewed. We did bodily injury first and pre-remediation first. We did it in sort of an order. So foreclosure and short sale has the most incompletes because we have recently issued incompleteness notices to them. It's not anything specific to that claim type, it's just the order in which we took the claims.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WOODY: Our contact information is as follows:

The best way to contact us is by e-mail at cdwquestions@browngreer.com. You can also call us at (866)866-1729. Our web portal is www3.browngreer.com/drywall. The documents I mentioned earlier, the W-9 form and the verification of claims form are available on our web portal for download.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. WOODY: Thank you.

**THE COURT:** Anything on Taishan defendants?

MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. May it please the Court, first of all, I'd like to indicate that pages 13 through 20 of the status report filed and available for those that are interested in the various Taishan defendants is available. The discovery issued to Taishan, Arnold Levin, lead counsel, will

1 address. And I do note that the related Taishan defendants,
2 their depositions have been continued without date.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, as you know, with regard to the motion withdrawal filed by Taishan's clients, they have produced a privilege log. All of the pleadings have been filed under seal. Yesterday they served us with their reply brief and requested that that be filed under seal. We agree that that will be under seal. I think at this stage of the litigation everything should be under seal.

We will be responding to their reply brief.

Since our response will be under seal, I think it's appropriate that I not address our response right now.

THE COURT: Yes. The reason for it being under seal is that this is a situation where Taishan has, in effect, fired their counsel, and the issue is why, whether it's appropriate, what's the reason, and things of that sort. Some of these issues go into potential attorney/client privilege and various other privileges.

So rather than deal with that at this time, it's easier to have it all under seal, and then I'll be able to take a look at it and deal with it specifically.

MR. LEVIN: Right. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything from Venture Supply, Porter Blaine?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, Garretson's organization may

have a report to make regarding their administration of the Virginia settlement, which I believe is still ongoing.

THE COURT: All right. Yes?

MR. BAUER: Your Honor, good morning. Ben Bauer here on behalf of Special Master Matthew Garretson and the Garretson Group, may it please the Court.

We currently have 262 real property claims. The work on those claims is complete, and we have the frame work set for allocation. The one outstanding matter is the appeal that I understand will be heard after the conference today.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BAUER: Upon resolution of that appeal, we are prepared to move forward with allocation, more or less immediately, and from there we can begin issuing payments to those 262 claimants.

THE COURT: Okay. The Virginia settlements involved Taishan drywall. The suits were filed not only against Taishan, but also against the various distributors. Eventually, the distributors and installers, their insurers came into the picture and a settlement was worked out.

The settlement involves the claimants who primarily have residences in Virginia, but also in some adjoining states in one or two of the claims. The settlement is against Nationwide, Venture Supply, Porter Blaine Corporation and their insurers, Hanover, Tobin Trading,

Building Plaster and Drywall, LLC, JMM Drywall, LLC, and State Farm, and also Builders Mutual Insurance Company.

The defendants put up \$10 million in Nationwide-insured settlements, \$3 million in Porter Blaine, \$2 million in Tobin Trading, and \$1,700,000 in Builders Mutual. The difference in the amounts primarily have to do with the coverage issues and also amounts of coverage, and also some evaluation liability-wise, but primarily based on the amounts of coverage, for the most part, for about \$17,400,000.

That's the amount that has to be distributed, and the settlement terms are rather specific, and that's what's going on at this time in Virginia.

MR. BAUER: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much.

Okay. Anything?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there are two matters set for hearing after the status conference, a motion for reconsideration in the matter of Ralph Mangiarelli, Jr., and Phillip and Clarine Allen's appeal from the special master.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HERMAN: I see that Mr. Robert Johnston is here with regard to a pro se claimants report.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert

Johnston, curator for pro se plaintiffs. I'm holding a copy of

the 32nd curator's report that I have provided to the Court.

As the Court well knows, there has been a continuous level of communications by pro se plaintiffs who have, in various ways, gotten my name, including the Court's Web site, and have reported to me that they have learned, basically, in the last six months, say, eight months that their homes are infested with Knauf drywall.

I, for each and every one of those individuals, have requested that they provide inspection reports to me, and on receipt of that, with verification that it's Knauf, and what I've termed the "late Knauf claimants," at the suggestion of the Court months ago, I have sent to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, and counsel for Knauf, and a "CC" to the Court, the names. And as you can imagine, the names continue to inch up. They're still not, in my view, a substantial amount, but the stories are all basically the same.

One of the things that it was my appreciation was that the Court was certainly interested in seeing, to use my terminology, if this issue could be worked out. I have had discussions with Kerry Miller, I've had discussions with Lenny Davis to make sure I'm not stepping on anybody's toes or what have you.

And the reason that I've taken the time to bring all this to the Court's attention is that in July a pro se plaintiff who is someone that I know well as an attorney in New

Orleans, Peter Thriffiley, who's here in the back with his fine wife, had filed a motion asking that the Court, given the unique circumstances of the virtual impossibility that these individuals would have even known that they had Knauf drywall until just very recently, the Court continued that, I believe it was in the July hearing -- status conference.

And Mr. Thriffley called me yesterday, and as he would tell the Court, and certainly, but I'll relay it, he's going to request that the Court set this -- to bring it to a head one way or the other at the Court's next status conference on, I believe, it's November 25th.

Well, I just walked over and I talked to Kerry Miller and all I can tell the Court is is that it's been reported to me that there is some level of communications with Knauf. And I certainly, you know, as curator, not having an attorney/client relationship, but I can tell you professionally and personally, I have no problems that if the Court wishes to set that for hearing, I filed a brief motion to simply tell the Court that Mr. Thriffiley's story is the same as the others so that the Court would at least know that there are numbers of other people.

So I think this may be a vehicle to bring it to a head one way or the other. Either it can be worked out to the benefit of everybody; or the Court, in it's consideration, could set it for hearing in November. Because from July to November seems to me would be adequate time for Knauf to make a decision relating to what I continue to term the "late Knauf claims."

You certainly will not come over to my office to hear the tales or, you know, talk to these good people -- mostly good people, but I think that that's where we are, and I just wanted to advise the Court.

THE COURT: Do you have any feel for what's the number of those late-filed claims?

MR. JOHNSTON: Well, I do. I asked my paralegal that yesterday and the number's now 52.

THE COURT: Any input from Knauf on that?

MR. MILLER: Kerry Miller, again, on behalf of Knauf.

Your Honor, this issue has been reported to our client. I think, as Bob says, it's time to bring the issue to a head one way or the other. From Knauf's standpoint, they made a deal with the class counsel and the PSC. The deal between Knauf and the PSC has been fulfilled by both parties. It was a settlement involving a captured inventory based on allegations of patent defect, and they fulfilled it.

I've communicated basically what Mr. Johnston has said to my client. There's also been some discussions with the PSC about some other ideas or options. But I tend to agree with Mr. Johnston, it's time to bring it to a head one way or the other. It may be that the pro ses, they can pursue rights

in litigation. That may be the answer. But we can deal with 1 2 that on November 25th. THE COURT: All right. Well, let's file a motion 3 4 then and I'll set it and we'll deal with it the next time. 5 You mentioned something about an attorney. MR. JOHNSTON: Yeah, Peter Thriffiley. 6 7 THE COURT: Would you like to speak, sir? If you 8 have any comments, I do recognize you. 9 MR. JOHNSTON: Should he, since he's the one that 10 filed the motion, file a motion to set it for hearing on the 11 25th? Sure. Yes, that's fine. 12 THE COURT: 13 MR. THRIFFILEY: Good morning, Your Honor. 14 **THE COURT:** Good morning. 15 MR. THRIFFILEY: May it please the Court, Peter 16 Thriffiley. I'm the pro se claimant. I filed the motion for 17 authority to file a claim in the matter July 11th and Your 18 Honor continued the same, and I would request that it be set 19 for hearing on November 25th. THE COURT: Okay. Are you okay with it being set on 20 21 the 25th? 22 MR. THRIFFILEY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. I'll do that then. 23 24 MR. THRIFFILEY: Now, do I need to file a notice or 25 anything?

THE COURT: No. I'll set it on the 25th and I'll notice everybody.

MR. THRIFFILEY: And that will be at 9:00 a.m?

THE COURT: Yes.

here.

MR. THRIFFILEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much for being

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, may it please the Court, I'd like to briefly address a part of this issue. In the last three or four weeks, we've gotten a few calls, which we've referred, of course, to Bob Johnston, and we've advised these folks to get an attorney. And at least one of those occasions, it was reported that, "Well, we know we have Chinese Drywall, it says 'Made in China.'"

I just want to indicate for the record that as far as we know, quote, Made in China, end quote, drywall is not Knauf drywall. So I don't want any misunderstanding by any interested parties. They're going to have to be very, very careful about their inspections.

THE COURT: Yes. The importance of that is that there are generally two areas of manufacturers. One is the Knauf interests. Knauf, at the request of China, purchased some assets, one of which was a manufacturing facility of building products, a lot of it involving drywall. That wholly owned subsidiary in China was owned by Knauf, and that's one of

the manufacturers involved.

There are also Chinese-based manufacturers, which we've been referring to as "Taishan" and the "Taishan entities." That's separate and apart from the Knauf entity.

The suits have been filed against the manufacturers of that drywall because if the drywall is defective and a manufacturer manufactures that defective drywall, they are, under the laws of the United States, and every state, strictly liable. They don't need to know that it was defective, they don't need any knowledge, or should have known, could have known, might have known. None of that. Under this law, if you manufacture a defective product, you're liable. So the suits have been filed primarily against the manufacturer.

Now, the other downstream distributors, installers, and people of that sort, they, for liability purposes, for the most part, there's some exceptions, but for the most part, they have to have some knowledge or opportunity, or should have known or could have known or might have known, something of that sort.

But as a result, the primary defendants in this case have been the manufacturers. Knauf has, after a number of trials, entered into settlements with the plaintiffs, but the Taishan interests have not. They originally objected to the jurisdiction. After some discovery, I ruled that I had

jurisdiction, the Fifth Circuit has affirmed me. The Supreme Court has not been asked to look at writs, but those defendants have now fired their lawyers and refuse to participate in this proceeding. So that is being dealt with another way.

But that's the point that counsel raises: Not all Chinese drywall was manufactured by Knauf.

Anything else? Anything from the Louisiana Attorney General? Any comment?

MR. BARTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Dustin Barton from the law firm of Perkins Coie on the behalf of the Attorney General.

Your Honor, at the end of September, you obviously granted the state's motion to withdraw their motion to remand and also allowed us to file a second amended petition, which we have done. The State of Louisiana currently is in the process of serving that amended petition on the defendants, and that's all we have to report at this time, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** Okay. Anything from the PSC?

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, Your Honor, we only ask that the Attorney General advise Judge Fallon and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee of the attorneys or the principal involved with the Road Home remediation fund, which was, I believe, approximately \$5 million so we have a contact with whoever the Road Home principal is.

1	THE COURT: Yes. There's some issue as to whether
2	Road Home's entitled to get the money back and other issues.
3	So at least I ought to know, and the plaintiffs' committee also
4	ought to know the name of the contact for that. So I ask that
5	you get that.
6	MR. BARTON: We'll do that, Your Honor. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Anything else from anyone?
9	The next conference is November 25th, and the
10	following one is December the 17th.
11	Okay. I have two motions. I'll be back in five
12	minutes and I'll take the motions. Court will stand in recess.
13	THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
14	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were concluded.)
15	****
16	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
17	I, Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of
18	Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and
19	understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.
20	above-entricled and numbered matter.
21	
22	<i>S/Jodú Símcox, RMR, FCRR</i> Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR
23	Official Court Reporter
24	
25	