Date of Original Judgment:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the District of	
v.) Case No:) Date of Original Judgment:) Dates of Previous Amended) Judgments/Orders:) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □ □DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment or order issued) of		
v.) Case No:) Date of Original Judgment:) Dates of Previous Amended) Judgments/Orders:) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □ □DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment or order issued) of		
) Case No:) USM No:) USM No:) Dates of Previous Amended Judgments/Orders:) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (<i>as reflected in the last judgment or order issued</i>) of)
Date of Original Judgment:	۷.) Case No:
Date of Original Judgment:		,
Judgments/Orders: Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment or order issued) of)
ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment or order issued) of I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures))
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (<i>as reflected in the last judgment or order issued</i>) of	Judgments/Orders:	Defendant's Attorney
DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment or order issued) of	Upon motion of \Box the defendant \Box the Dir § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonme subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking	rector of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. nt imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has e United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ng into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
	□DENIED. □GRANTED and the defendan	
	L COUDT DETEDMINATION OF CUIDELL	NE DANCE (Drive to Ann Departures)
Providus Total Offansa Laval: as of Amandad Total Offansa Laval:		
Previous Total Offense Level: as of Amended Total Offense Level:	Criminal History Catagory:	Criminal History Catagory:
Criminal History Category: Criminal History Category: Previous Guideline Range: to months Amended Guideline Range: to	Dravious Guidalina Danga:	onths Amended Guideline Range: to months

(Complete Part II of Page 2 when motion is granted) COMMENTS AND/OR REASONS FOR GRANTING OR DENYING REDUCTION (For Public Disclosure)

Order Date:

Judge's signature

Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.

(Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT:	
CASE NUMBER:	
DISTRICT:	



II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- \Box The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- □ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

Other (explain):

If this sentence is less than the amount of time the defendant has already served, the sentence is reduced to a 'time served' sentence.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (Not for Public Disclosure)