

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

ORDER AMENDING RULES FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINARY ENFORCEMENT

Pursuant to a majority vote of the active judges of this court;

IT IS ORDERED that Rule 4.1 et seq of the Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana are hereby amended as follows:

Rule 4.1 Committee. The Lawyer Disciplinary Committee consists of ~~seven (7)~~ twelve (12) members of the bar appointed by the court *en banc*.

4.1.1 Term. Each committee member serves at the pleasure of the court. However, the term of each committee member is usually three (3) years. The terms of the members of the committee are staggered so that no more than ~~two (2)~~ four (4) members are replaced each year.

4.1.2 Quorum. The quorum necessary for the committee to act consists of ~~five (5)~~ seven (7) members of the committee.

New Orleans, Louisiana this 12th day of July, 2013.


SARAH VANCE, Chief Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

ORDER AMENDING RULES FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINARY ENFORCEMENT

Pursuant to a majority vote of the active judges of this court;

IT IS ORDERED that Rule 8.2.2 of the Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana is hereby amended as follows:

8.2 Reciprocal Disciplinary Sanctions As to a lawyer subjected to public discipline in any other jurisdiction, the court *en banc* typically will impose a concurrent, reciprocal sanction with that imposed by the other jurisdiction unless:

8.2.1 The lawyer was deprived of due process by the lack of proper notice or the opportunity to be heard; or

8.2.2. ~~The evidence supporting the misconduct charges was so questionable that the court should not accept as final the finding of misconduct.~~ The evidence assembled and relied on by the other jurisdiction clearly justifies a greater or lesser or different sanction. However, in the event that the sanction imposed by the other jurisdiction is based on a consent agreement and the disciplinary committee or this court concludes that the record relied on by the other jurisdiction clearly justifies a different sanction, the consent shall be considered withdrawn and the matter shall be docketed for hearing and proceed in accordance with section 7, supra. If this occurs, any conditional admissions made in connection with the consent agreement cannot be used against the lawyer in any subsequent proceedings.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 23rd day of July, 2013.



SARAH VANCE, CHIEF JUDGE