

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

MDL No. 2328

IN RE: POOL PRODUCTS
DISTRIBUTION MARKET ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

SECTION: R(2)

JUDGE VANCE
MAG. JUDGE
WILKINSON

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 24

1. The Court is in receipt of the parties' Joint Report in Response to Pretrial Order No. 23.¹ In the Joint Report, the parties (1) present a proposed schedule for resolving disputes concerning the admissibility of summary judgment evidence and (2) propose page limits for briefs, responses and replies for class certification, summary judgment motions and *Daubert* challenges.

2. The Court approves the parties' proposed schedule for resolving disputes concerning the admissibility of summary judgment evidence. The parties shall adhere to this schedule:

- a. June 13: The two sides shall exchange lists of potential exhibits in an editable Excel spreadsheet format, with a column provided for the other side's objections.

¹ R. Doc. 415.

- b. July 2: The two sides shall exchange objections to individual listed documents.
 - c. July 7-16: The two sides shall use this period to attempt to resolve objections.
 - d. July 21: The two sides shall exchange proposed spreadsheet submissions to the Court for unresolved document objections.
 - e. July 25: The two sides shall submit unresolved objections to the Court.
3. For class certification, summary judgment motions and *Daubert* challenges, the Court orders the parties to comply with the page limits for briefs as shown on the attached table.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 4th day of June, 2014.



SARAH S. VANCE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

In re: Pool Products Distribution Market Antitrust Litigation
Briefing Limits

<u>Motion for Class Certification</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
Direct Purchaser	All Defendants	50 pages	50 pages	15 pages
Indirect Purchaser	All Defendants	50 pages	50 pages	10 pages
<u>Motion for Summary Judgment - Attempted Monopolization</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
Pool Defendants	DPPs + IPPs	35 pages	35 pages	15 pages
<u>Motion for Summary Judgment - Horizontal Conspiracy</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
Pool Defendants + Manufacturer	DPPs	30 pages	30 pages	15 pages
<u>Motion for Summary Judgment - Vertical Conspiracy With Hayward</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
Pool Defendants	DPPs + IPPs	30 pages	30 pages	15 pages
<u>Motion for Summary Judgment - Vertical Conspiracy With Pentair</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
Pool Defendants +	DPPs + IPPs	30 pages	30 pages	15 pages
<u>Motion for Summary Judgment - Vertical Conspiracy With Zodiac</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
Pool Defendants +	DPPs + IPPs	30 pages	30 pages	15 pages
<u>Daubert Challenges to Expert Witnesses (Per Expert)</u>				
<u>Movant(s)</u>	<u>Opponent(s)</u>	<u>Opening Brief</u>	<u>Opposition</u>	<u>Reply Brief</u>
DPPs + IPPs	All Defendants	20 pages	20 pages	10 pages
All Defendants	DPPs + IPPs	20 pages	20 pages	10 pages