1		ATES DISTRICT COURT
2		STRICT OF LOUISIANA
3	**************************************	**************************************
	LIABILITY LITIGATION	Section "L"
4		New Orleans, Louisiana Tue sday, October 15, 2002
5	*****	8:30 a.m. Jan 29 2004
6	**************************************	
7	HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON	
/	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	ADDEADANGE	
9	APPEARANCES: LIAISON COUNSEL FOR	
1.0	PLAINTIFF:	HERMAN, MATHIS, CASEY & KITCHENS
10		BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQUIRE LEONARD A. DAVIS, ESQUIRE
11		820 O'Keefe Avenue
12		New Orleans, LA 70113
13		LEVIN, FISHBEIN
14		BY: ARNOLD LEVIN, ESQ. 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500
15		Philadelphia, PA 19301
16		CAPRETZ & ASSOCIATES
17		BY: JAMES CAPRETZ, ESQ. 5000 Birch Street, Suite 2500
10		Newport Beach, CA 92660
18		
19		MURRAY LAW FIRM
20		BY: STEPHEN MURRÁY, ESQ. JULIE JACOBS, ESQ.
21		909 Poydras Street, Suite 2550 New Orleans, LA 70112
22		
23		NEBLETT, BEARD & ARSENAULT BY: RICHARD J. ARSENAULT, ESQ.
24		2220 Bonaventure Court Newport Beach, CA 92660
25		

.

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2		
3		BARRIOS, KINGSDORF & CASTEIX BY: BRUCE KINGSDORF, ESQ.
4		701 Poydras Street, Suite 3650 New Orleans, LA 70119
5		
6		ROBERT M. BECNEL, ESQ. 425 W. Airline Highway, Suite B Reserve, LA 70084-0508
7		
8		
9		GAUTHIER, DOWNING, LaBARRE, DEAN &
10		SULZER, L.L.P. BY: JAMES R. DUGAN, ESQ.
11		3500 North Hullen Street Metairie, LA 70002
12		
13		
14	FOR DEFENDANTS:	IRWIN, FRITCHIE, URQUHART & MOORE, L.L.C.
15		BY: JAMES B. IRWIN, ESQUIRE MONIQUE GARSAUD, ESQUIRE
16		400 Poydras Street, Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130
17		
18		PREUSS, SHANAGHER, ZVOLEFF & ZIMMER
19		BY: CHARLES F. PREUSS, ESQ. 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
20		San Francisco, ÇA'94104-4207
21		JOHNSON & JOHNSON
22		BY: LISA WARREN, ESQ. Assistant General Counsel
23		1 Johnson & Johnson Boulevard New Brunswick, NJ 08933-2472
24		
25		

APPEARANCES CONTINUED: BLUE WILLIAMS, L.L.P. BY: ELIZABETH KNOWER, ESQ. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., 9th Floor Metairie, LA 70002 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR 501 Magazine Street, Room 406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

P R O C E E D I N G S

(STATUS CONFERENCE)

(THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2004)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. We're here for the monthly meeting of the MDL committees. I am happy to see the states are represented today, as well as all of the other litigants. Let me hear from the parties.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, good morning, your Honor, and learned counsel, I'm Russ Herman for the PSC, MDL-1355.

MR. IRWIN: And Jim Irwin for the defendants.

THE COURT: Let me turn to the joint report that I've been presented, the first several items are Update Rolling Document Production, State Liaison Counsel, Patient Profile Form and Service List of Attorneys.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there is nothing new as to those issues, and under 3 there is a Patient Profile Form report from the defendants, and with your Honor's permission I'd like to move to Item No. 5, the motion on class cert.

THE COURT: Before we do that, Item 2 - State Liaison Counsel, anything from the state that you'd like to discuss with the court?

MR. ARSENAULT: No, your Honor, there is nothing new to

1 report.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, I have distributed the service list, Item 4 on the agenda of the report.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, with respect to the motion on class certification, the briefing is done, we're assembling the documents to be attached to the motion. There is a technical problem with VeriLaw, and we hope to have all of that resolved within the next ten days and the motion for class certification filed.

THE COURT: Mr. Arsenault, are you going to get a copy of that motion? Are you in this loop?

MR. ARSENAULT: We would like to, your Honor, yes.

MR. HERMAN: We're going to put it on VeriLaw, your Honor, so it can be accessed by all of the state attorneys who have signed up.

THE COURT: I mention that particularly because that may be something that is significant to the states, they ought to either have some input in or at least monitor it closely.

Item 6.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, with respect to Item XI,

Request For Production of Documents, we've had discussions with

counsel opposite this morning, and we're going to meet and come

up with a way which we hope will expedite the determinations as

to whether these documents meet admissibility tests without the

necessity of 30(b)(6) depositions, but reserving plaintiffs' rights to take the 30(b)(6) depositions. As part of the trial package the PLC is directed to prepare, it's necessary that there be some resolution.

THE COURT: Any comments?

MR. IRWIN: We agree with that. I will meet with Mr. Davis, we will prepare binders and CD ROM of these exhibits, brief blurb with respect to each exhibit. We think your Honor will see patterns develop that will accommodate a rather efficient way for you to review these documents. There are 223 of them at issue now as far as we can tell.

THE COURT: Give them to me in ten days, I will review them and get them back to you within a day or two with my rulings.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we have Item No. 7, continued to make deposits, or defendants have made deposits in the court's registry in accord with the court's prior orders regarding settlement funds.

No mediations have taken place since we last met.

The DLC will furnish a list of trials which are scheduled.

MR. IRWIN: That is correct.

THE COURT: How many of them are there scheduled?

MR. IRWIN: I do not know off the top of my head, Judge, but we're pulling that together.

THE COURT: Are we talking about in this court?

MR. IRWIN: No, sir, it's around the country.

THE COURT: Throughout the country.

MR. IRWIN: There are none in this court pending right now.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we've continued to discuss some form of global resolution. We do not have a global resolution. A number of outstanding issues, but in accord with your Honor's directive we've continued to have discussions. I want to thank Mr. Irwin, Mr. Preuss and Mr. Campion for their continuous attention in these matters, as well as Mr. Levin, Mr. Zimmerman and Mr. Davis of my office.

And we'll keep talking, your Honor, as long as we're directed to.

THE COURT: Yes. This is my feeling on it. This is the first meeting of 2004, and this is the last year of this case. I want to finish this case this year, either by sending it back to the states or trying it here or resolving it here. You need to know that.

Secondly, I recognize the delicacy of discussions at this point in this case, and I have not involved myself up until now in those negotiations, other than through the appointment of a mediator and monitoring the matter in that fashion. And the reason for that is not only the delicacy of the discussions at this stage, but oftentimes it's been my

feeling, both as a lawyer and as a judge, that there are times when the parties in the litigation have to be left to their own discussions without any input from the court so that they can evaluate and discuss the matter between and among themselves. That has been done and now I am getting back into it, and I want to either resolve this entire matter or resolve as many cases as we can, try those that we can try, and remand the rest to the states. But as I have said, we've got to move it this year and everybody needs to know that.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, page 4 of the joint submission under XIII, defendants have persisted in their contention that your Daubert rulings ought to have global application rather than on individual cases. Of course the PSC opposes such notion based upon differences in various federal circuits and in various federal district courts, also as regards issues of state law applying where cases may be remanded and upon the changing nature of the science. We've had no further discussions regarding that issue. I think I fairly stated the position.

MR. IRWIN: I think that's correct, your Honor. Our view is that this is not a unique circumstance, that the application of Daubert on an MDL wide basis is not unusual. We have postponed those discussions recently to address other matters, and it remains to be seen when and how we will resume discussions about that. But I agree with Mr. Herman's comments

about what we have discussed in the past on that issue.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we can bring that to a head before the case gets away from me and I'll give you my view of it one way or the other.

MR. HERMAN: Yes, your Honor. We still have not agreed to a stipulation for use at trial of depositions in state or federal courts, but we certainly either have to have a stipulation or a ruling from the court on that issue. And it's next on our list, that is the PSC's list, once the class cert motions and briefs have been filed; and in order to get the issue before the court and expedite matters, it would be our intention either to have a stipulation in place or a motion and brief in place so that it can be presented to your Honor at the same time your Honor's ready to hear or consider the class cert issue.

THE COURT: By the next monthly meeting, come up with some timetable for both the global application of Daubert motions and the stipulation. Meet and confer on that, come up with some timetable, tell me whether you need a hearing, any briefing schedules, and we'll put it on the calendar and we'll forward those two areas.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, with regards to the CIS-NED-32 deposition, it's set in Amsterdam on February 19th and 20th. I want to thank the defendants for moving it from Brussels to Amsterdam. I'm not going to regret missing Mardi Gras because

I understand every day is Mardi Gras in Amsterdam. And we intend to move forward with that at this point.

THE COURT: Will that deposition be on the internet? Can anybody watch that? Will you all do that for this deposition?

MR. HERMAN: We haven't had a request, and I hope we don't because it will slow the deposition down and it will be more expensive. But what we will do is we'll post -- unless your Honor orders us to do, and of course we'll do it. If your Honor would like us to do that, we'll make arrangements.

THE COURT: Well, I'm interested in the state's input there, if you need it, if that's important to you, you have to bring that to me so I can deal with it.

MR. ARSENAULT: We will make some calls, your Honor, and advise the court if that's the case.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I need to state this for the record. If there are state attorneys with cases out there whether they're set for trial or not, unless they've signed an agreement with the PSC we are going to object to their participation in any way. The idea that they can use this MDL's work product and interrupt our deposition with questions that they have for their own purposes is not something that the PSC will look with favor on, so I ask your honor to consider that.

THE COURT: You confer with counsel and see how it can

be resolved. If you can't resolve it, I'll resolve it.

MR. HERMAN: We discussed previously the motion for summary judgment, it really is an alter ego type consideration as to whether Johnson & Johnson will be liable for Janssen's responsibility, if any, and vice versa. The defendant's position is that there is no alter ego and that this is a difficult issue. The plaintiffs believe it's a simple issue.

MR. IRWIN: We do believe it's a difficult issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, with respect to the Bailey motion, I am going to confirm that all of the plaintiff attorneys involved in those cases have been served, and the PSC takes no position with regard to that motion.

THE COURT: The court has been receiving some motions, one motion is a Bailey motion, to dismiss various doctors as named defendants in the case. Of course that has some impact on the motions to remand.

Assistant United States Attorney from Mississippi asking that the United States be substituted for a particular doctor, Dr. William L. Booker, in that particular case. So I'll be calling upon counsel for both sides to respond to those motions, if they have any response, to give me their input in any event and then I will rule on it.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, in connection with the motion

which your Honor has just received from the U.S. Attorney in Mississippi, the PSC will take no position with regard to that motion.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, our position is we need to take a look at it. We haven't seen it. It may be on my desk back at the office. If it's not, we will get a hold of the attorney and get a copy and we'll report to the court our position in due course.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I realize that we've moved rapidly through the agenda for today. There are not a great many issues, but Mr. Irwin may have some comments on some of these matters. Jim, would you like to address the court?

MR. IRWIN: I appreciate the courtesy, Mr. Herman. We were able to discuss many of these in chambers this morning, and I am comfortable that they were properly presented by Mr. Herman.

THE COURT: Anything further? Anything from the states, anything from anyone in the audience? Do we have another date?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, if I might -- excuse me, I'm sorry. If I might, I would like to remind all of the attorneys that are here in court that they should sign the sheet. I think there may be one or two missing.

THE COURT: If you haven't signed the sheet, please sign the sheet before we finish.

1 Thursday or Friday March 4th or 5th, what's a good time for you all? The last week in February is Mardi Gras and 2 that's a difficult time for this city to get everybody in. 3 MR. IRWIN: We're not available that day, that whole 4 5 week. The next week, Judge? 6 THE COURT: How about the next week, March the 11th? Is that Thursday? Thursday is a better day for everybody than 7 Friday, is that what I'm hearing? Okay. How about the 11th? 8 9 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I believe that Mr. Murray and I may be otherwise engaged on that day; and if Mr. Levin is 10 available, I'll ask him to make a report. 11 12 MR. LEVIN: May I make a phone call? It seems to me I 13 got something yesterday for March 11th in Philly, so I can probably do it the 10th or the 12th. Let me just call. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. In the event, anything more? would like to see the attorneys, liaison counsel for both sides 16 in the conference room. The court will stand in recess. 17 18 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise. 19 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.

Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR
Official Court Reporter