

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

| as L | ا و | | |
|------|-----------|---------------------------|--|
| | CHAM | BERS OF | * MDL No. 1355-"L" |
| | U.S. DAST | FALLONIABILITY LITIGATION | * MDF NO. 1222- F |
| | 4 | L. (ALLCERTADITIES) | * New Orleans, Louisiana |
| | | | * |
| | 5 | | * Thursday, October 21, 2004 |
| | 6 | | * * 9:03 a.m. |
| | 7 | * * * * * * * * | * |
| | , | | |
| | 8 | = | FATUS CONFERENCE NORABLE ELDON FALLON |
| | 9 | 1 | res district judge |
| | 40 | 3.122 | |
| | 10 | | |
| | 11 | APPEARANCES: | |
| | 12 | For Liaison Counsel | Herman, Mathis, Casey & |
| | | for Plaintiffs: | Kitchens, LLP |
| | 13 | | BY: RUSS M. HERMAN and LEONARD DAVIS |
| | 14 | | 820 O'Keefe Avenue, Suite 100 |
| | | | New Orleans, LA 70113 |
| | 15 | | 504-581-4892 |
| | 16 | | |
| | 17 | Plaintiffs' Steering | DANIEL BECNEL, JR. |
| | | Committee: | 106 West Seventh Street |
| | 18 | | Reserve, La 70084-1186 |
| | 19 | | 504-536-1186 |
| | 20 | | JAMES R. DUGAN, II |
| | 20 | | 3600 North Hullen Street |
| | 21 | | Metairie, LA 70002 |
| | 22 | | 504-456-8600 |
| | 22 | | ARNOLD LEVIN |
| | 23 | | 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 |
| | 24 | | Philadelphia, PA 19106-3875 |
| | | | 215-592-1500 |
| | 25 | | CHARLES S. ZIMMERMAN |
| | | | 651 Nicollet Mall, Suite 501 |
| • | , | | Minneapolis, MN 55402 |
| | | | 612-341-0400 |

| | | ۷ |
|----------|--|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: (Cont.) | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | The State Liaison Committee: | Neblett Beard & Arsenault BY: PAUL J. TELLARIO |
| 5 | | 2220 Bonaventure Court Alexandria, LA 71309 |
| 6 | | 800-256-1050 |
| 7 | | - and - |
| 8 | | Barrios, Kingsdorf & Casteix |
| 9 | | BY: DAWN M. BARRIOS |
| 10 | | 701 Poydras Street New Orleans, LA 70139 |
| 11 | | 504-524-3330 |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | For Other Plaintiffs: | Gauthier, Downing, LaBarre, Besler, Dean |
| 14 | | BY: BLAINE J. BARRILLEAUX 3500 North Hullen Street |
| 15 | | Metairie, LA 70002 |
| 16 | | 504-456-8600 |
| 17 18 | | |
| 19 | Liaison Counsel for | Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & |
| 20 | Defendants, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. and | Moore BY: JAMES IRWIN |
| 21 | Johnson & Johnson | and MONIQUE M. GARSAUD 400 Poydras Street, Suite 2700 |
| 22 | | New Orleans, LA 70130 504-310-2100 |
| 23 | | - and - |
| 24 | | Drinker, Biddle & Reath LLP |
| 25 | | BY: THOMAS F. CAMPION 500 Campus Drive Florham Park, NJ 07932-1047 973-549-7300 |

- and -

| 1 | APPEARANCES: (Cont.) |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Drinker, Biddle & Reath LLF |
| 3 | BY: CHARLES R. PREUSS |
| 4 | 50 Fremont Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2235 |
| 5 | 415-591-7500 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| _15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Reported by: DAVID A. ZAREK |
| 19 | Hale Boggs Federal Building 500 Poydras Street, Room 406 |
| 20 | New Orleans, LA 70130 504-523-6062 |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced from dictation. |
| 25 | |

PROCEEDINGS

Morning Session

(Thursday, October 21, 2004)

(Court convened at 9:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning. Call the case.

DEPUTY LAMBERT: MDL #1355 IN RE: Propulsid.

THE COURT: Counsel, make your appearances for the record.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, Russ Herman of Herman Mathis for the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee.

MR. IRWIN: Good morning, Your Honor, Jim Irwin for the defense.

THE COURT: We are here today for our monthly status conference. I have received from the parties the Joint Report giving me some indication as to what will be discussed. I will be taking them in the order given. First, updated rolling document production.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there is no more production scheduled, and we currently, I believe, have reached an agreement that we will discuss later today on the use of documents in connection with depositions that have been taken.

MR. IRWIN: And Your Honor, I also think discussions are still underway with respect to the suspension of the preservation of electronic materials. The PSC has asked,

and I think we have agreement, the PSC has asked that we hold in abeyance until the recruitment period for the program finalized. That would crystallize the application of this suspension order. And of course, that deadline is right around the corner, and we would have to wait.

THE COURT: The next item is the state liaison Counsel.

MR. HERMAN: Dawn Barrios is here from the State Liaison Committee.

THE COURT: Ms. Barrios, anything?

R

MS. BARRIOS: We just have been cooperating and trying to coordinate with different counsel and the defendants' PSC putting together with plaintiffs' counsel around the States to make sure that they get together with Mr. Campion and review the list of the claimants that would be subject to the settlement.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much. Those claims that cannot be settled, you are going to have to focus on what you need from the MDL committee to try those cases.

The next item is the Patient Profile Form.

MR. IRWIN: Yes, Your Honor. The joint report reports the numbers, and in accordance with our profile figures suspended the motion activity pending culmination of the settlement program.

THE COURT: Service list, anything on that?

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we have the service list as discussed in chambers before the conference this morning. We are going to double check to make sure the service list is current with respect to possible pro se plaintiffs.

And also, Mr. Davis informs us that there maybe a receiver who should be getting notice. We will confirm that and make sure that the service list is complete in that regard next month.

THE COURT: Okay. And I think there are maybe some pro se people that need to get service, too. Talk about that. Somebody else I think Mr. Davis mentioned?

MR. IRWIN: Yes, he did, Your Honor. We are going to make sure we have our list correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Class certification is the next item.

MR. HERMAN: We can defer that issue pending enrollment and proceeding with the settlement program.

THE COURT: How about trust account?

MR. HERMAN: Defendants have made some deposits since October 7th in the trust account. In connection with the settlement, the recommendation of a qualified account with regard to settlement funds has been resolved.

THE COURT: How about mediation?

MR. HERMAN: There are no mediations that have taken place, Your Honor, in light of the enrollment, current

enrollment date, due date of October 29th.

THE COURT: The trial schedule is the next item.

Anything on trials from the State court?

MR. HERMAN: The last list was furnished in September, and I don't believe there are any additions to that. But there are no --

MR. IRWIN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the Pharmacy Indemnity Agreements is another item.

MR. IRWIN: The status is as was reported last month, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And MDL Mediation and Resolution Program?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we believe that we will -there is a full report, but the bottom line is we believe
that we will reach full enrollment figures based on the
responses we have had telephonically and in writing by the
vast majority of the lawyers that have the largest number
of cases. There are approximately 2300 or 2400 total
individuals enrolled at the present time. But we expect
those numbers to go up dramatically between now and October
29th.

THE COURT: And October 29th is the date?

MR. HERMAN: That is correct, Your Honor. Plaintiffs anticipate that there may be a couple of weeks needed in

extension but that would be for reconciliation purposes and review of the enrollment form. We have made a request of defense counsel to that issue, and they haven't agreed to it. But it may be necessary to ask for a couple weeks' extension. But as it is right now, we believe that we are going to reach full enrollment.

THE COURT: Any input from defendant on that?

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, Mr. Preuss is working with
Plaintiff's Steering Committee.

THE COURT: Okay. Global Application of Daubert?

MR. HERMAN: That matter is on hold pending enrollment and the settlement program.

THE COURT: Stipulation and Pre-Trial Order?

MR. HERMAN: We have worked on that.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we still have a couple of wrinkles to work out. I thought we would have it in. Last month we reported -- we thought we would. I still think we will get it in shortly. I hope the Court will be patient with us. We sent a couple of minor revisions to Russ' office; they sent a couple of minor ones back to us and that is what is kind of going on.

THE COURT: What is the problem as you see it?

MR. IRWIN: There is a question about whether the 30(B)(6) depositions should be covered by a specific segment of Rule 32 and specifically refers to 30(B)(6)

depositions or the entire rule. And we are looking at that.

The other issue is there is a provision that we recently added into the order that requires that if any state court litigant uses these depositions, that that state court litigant will agree to abide by Pre-Trial Order Number 5, which is the confidentiality order, and they are to submit to the jurisdiction of this Court for the limited purpose of enforcement of that order. There is no disagreement on that principle. The PSC has asked that language be included that makes it clear that they, PSC is not going to regulate the enforcement or compliance with Pre-Trial Order Number 5. We think we are okay with that, but we haven't been able to vent that yet to our client. And that just came up recently. Those I think are the two issues.

MR. HERMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. The defendants have submitted a more restrictive interpretation of Rule 32. We have submitted a more expansive one, and we originally were concerned that we did not want anyone to decide the, not to go into this settlement, that somehow were coercing them in terms of requiring federal court jurisdiction over their state cases, et cetera. But I think we have satisfied ourselves. It is a fair issue, and our only problem is we don't have to enforce 600 state

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cases out there for those folks who are not living up to what they should. And we do have a meeting scheduled this afternoon in an attempt to resolve it.

THE COURT: Any motion for Summary Judgment?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we are not going to proceed with that motion at this time.

THE COURT: What about the motion for Summary Judgment as to the Doctor, defendant Stephen Tramill?

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, I believe that one --

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. IRWIN: No, sir. I believe that this is the case where a proposed order has been submitted to the Court.

THE COURT: Right, the Court will act on it.

MR. HERMAN: On the motion to dismiss on our Summary

Judgment motion?

THE COURT: I will deal with that.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to, and I want to make it clear, and I am not sure if I stated the opposition correctly -- on Item 13, the motion for Summary Judgment, we are not going to file at this time the motion for Summary Judgment regarding J & J's liability pending the enrollment and settlement program.

THE COURT: All right, okay. Pre-Trial Order listing MDL Plaintiffs.

MR. HERMAN: That item can be removed. I will put

J

 that on our web site so everybody knows and understands.

THE COURT: It has already been done?

MR. HERMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. After we get together with defense counsel following enrollment, probably some additions or deletions to that list.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HERMAN: With respect to the King matter, Item 16, Mr. Hill of the State Liaison Committee has been in touch with the Court that presides in the Emma McClain matter. We will add the disciplinary counsel in Ohio or the proper person to be notified to the service list. I received a communication from Mr. Hill saying that he would do everything he could to assist the pro se Propulsid claimants but would not undertake representation. So that we appreciate Mr. Hill's activity in this matter.

THE COURT: The Court does likewise. He volunteered, and I appreciate his interest and willingness to do that. He has been very helpful.

The Opt Out Form for Johnnie L. Jones?

MR. HERMAN: That matter is moot.

THE COURT: Okay, what about any new items? I have a motion from Mary Thornton and Simon Cofrancesco for Summary Judgment.

MR. IRWIN: Yes, Your Honor, and these motions really address themselves to the sovereign immunity and proper

party status. They are the health care provider defendants.

Johnson & Johnson takes no position on this motion.

THE COURT: I will deal with them. It is not on the agenda, but is there any input regarding the government liens or potential government liens? Have you all been talking with the government on that?

MR. PREUSS: Yes, Your Honor, we have. And subsequent to the last status conference, we provided the medical records of a cross section, and they deal with that. And they sent us a claims form which designates the information we will need so when I talked to to or got a message from her last week, and she is available today to talk. So they have been giving us a call.

THE COURT: Okay, you are satisfied with their working on it?

MR. PREUSS: So far I am satisfied, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything further from anyone?

MR. HERMAN: We have not seen that, but Mr. Preuss has agreed to provide us with that.

Your Honor, I am a bit embarrassed because when Your Honor raised the lien issue, we neglected to include in that report a report that attorneys purportedly representing Blue Cross-Blue Shield have indicated that they may have some lien rights. And Mr. Campion and Mr.

Preuss and Mr. Davis and I and Mr. Irwin have communicated with those folks. Although they have not been able to provide any information as to who these claimants are or potentially are, so it is not a problem now. But we do want to alert the Court we have received communication.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. I am mainly concerned about the government liens because that has some overreaching problems or at least can create difficulties for lawyers involved as well as for the litigants. And rather than wait, I would like them to focus on their liens early on and can you find some way of expediting this process? is going to be key. I think from my talking with them, I had the feeling that they were very amenable to working out either a global settlement or some method that could be expeditiously handled so it is not a black hole, and the litigants do not have to wait to receive their money because liens cannot be worked out. So I want them on board. If I can do anything to help, let me know. there anything further, anything from the State? Let's get another date then for our next meeting. We should know by this next meeting.

(No response from counsel.)

I need to do it by Friday, on Friday the 19th. I am going to be gone in and out of Washington sometime before then. So is the 19th all right with everybody?

Counsel getting married.

Can I be excused? I'm getting married on the 20th.

THE COURT: All right, that is a valid reason.

Counsel getting married at my age.

MR. HERMAN: We have a great honeymoon suite.

THE COURT: The 19th is all right?

MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to ask -- I'm going to be in trial. I will ask Mr. Zimmerman or Mr. Wright to be present.

THE COURT: We can do it the first week in December if everybody is better at that time.

MR. HERMAN: I think in light of the reasonable date, it is set on the 19th would probably be better. And I also, Your Honor, have a recommendation. I do not know what anyone's availability is on the first, well, on the third, but probably we ought to report to the Court at least telephonically or in some way as to what exactly what happened with the enrollment.

THE COURT: You are talking November?

MR. HERMAN: November 3rd by phone.

THE COURT: Let's do that. Let's do this: Let's have a status conference, telephone status conference keeping me up on, get me up to speed on how many people and what we are looking at. What is a good time for you all in the afternoon?

MR. IRWIN: Yes, Your Honor, that is a good time.

THE COURT: I will make the call then.

MR. HERMAN: What time?

THE COURT: 2:30. Let my staff know who wants to be on that call, and we will coordinate. I will have a status conference on the liaison, not the mediation with the resolution of the cases, how many still outstanding and so forth. And when we get that and get a little better fix on it, we will have to put our heads together and see how many are left and what we do with those cases and whether I keep them here and try them or get them back in some way and try them or go there and try them or send them to local federal courts.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I think the plaintiffs and the defendants appreciate the hard work that the Court's staff has done. I note that the federal judiciary budget has been cut again. And it is very difficult when you are working with one-tenth of one percent of the money that is budgeted for the entire operation of all three elements of government. So we certainly hope that your staff does not have to travel to hear these cases.

THE COURT: When I go to Texas, they put us in a truck stop saving money. Court will stand in recess. Thank you.

(Court adjourned at 9:22 a.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

The undersigned certifies, in his capacity of Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcription of his Stenograph notes taken Thursday, October 21, 2004.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 24th day of October, 2004.

DAVID A. ZAREK Official Reporter