UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA 2 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 3 4 5 IN RE: PROPULSID PRODUCTS Docket 00-MDL-1355-L 6 LIABILITY LITIGATION December 16, 2004, 9:00 a.m. 7 8 9 MONTHLY STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 10 11 APPEARANCES: 12 For the Plaintiffs: Levin, Fishbein, Sedran & Berman 13 BY: ARNOLD LEVIN, ESQ. 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 14 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 15 For the Defendants: Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore BY: JAMES B. IRWIN, ESQ. 16 400 Poydras Street, Suite 2700 17 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 18 For the Defendants: Drinker, Biddle & Reath BY: CHARLES F. PREUSS, ESQ. 19 50 Fremont Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 20 Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR Official Court Reporter: 21 500 Poydras Street, Room B-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7778 22 23 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript 25 produced by computer.

ROUGH DRAFT

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

PROCEEDINGS

(December 16, 2004)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise.

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. Call the case, please.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 00-1355, In Re: Propulsid Products Liability Litigation.

THE COURT: Counsel make their appearances for the record.

MR. LEVIN: Arnold Levin for the PLC.

MR. IRWIN: Jim Irwin for the defendants.

THE COURT: We are here today in connection with our monthly status conference. Before we begin, I've got some good news from our group. Arnold Levin was married recently. congratulate him.

MR. LEVIN: That's easy for you to say, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Tom Campion has a new grandchild, who has been christened with the name of "Thomas," so we are delighted to hear about that.

I've been given, as is usual, the material from the committee giving me some feeling as to what they anticipate reporting. Let me hear the first item on the agenda.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, as we have reported before, the actual document production is complete. We have agreed to defer the discussion of the suspension of electronic document

preservation until the enrollment quotas are met, which we hope will occur soon. I would like to reiterate this is an important issue for us for cost reasons, if for no other, to try to get some resolution of this suspension of the electronic document preservation.

THE COURT: Anything from the plaintiffs on that one?

MR. LEVIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: State liaison counsel, any issues you want to raise?

MR. LEVIN: They are present in the courtroom.

MS. BARRIOS: Dawn Barrios for the committee. I received a copy of a letter from the defendants to Mr. Davis giving us a list of all the cases in the state courts that would be coming up for trial during 2005. The committee has done a memo to those attorneys offering any help or assistance they may need on any documents or any other trial assistance. That memo just went out last week. I haven't gotten a response. I also received from the defendants a list of all the pending state court cases, and we will have a committee meeting to decide the best way to approach the attorneys listed on that roster.

THE COURT: Anything from the defendants on this issue?

MR. IRWIN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Barrios. I

appreciate it. Patient profile form is the next item.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, as reported last month, we are suspending action on those motions. We have recorded the numbers in the report and we will address that when the quotas are met for the settlement program.

THE COURT: Service list of attorneys, do we have that?

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, for the first time -- I'm thinking this is Joint Report 36 -- I forgot to bring it. I apologize.

THE COURT: You can supplement the record. Send them over to us. Motion for class certification, that's still on hold and has been deferred?

MR. LEVIN: Yes.

2.0

THE COURT: Anything on trust accounts?

MR. LEVIN: Nothing, sir.

THE COURT: Trial schedule. Do we have any information on the state cases? Ms. Barrios mentioned there are a number of them. Where are those cases; do we know?

MR. CAMPION: West Virginia in April is the first one and the others are as set forth on the list.

THE COURT: If they need anything, Ms. Barrios, and you don't have it, get with the plaintiff liaison committee and/or give me a call. Pharmacy indemnity agreements, anything on that?

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we have had no new requests on that. The Court has kindly informed us this morning that a motion for a cross claim --

2.3

THE COURT: Yes. I received a cross claim in a case against Johnson & Johnson from the Texas court. I'll look at that and decide. I just received it, so that's the reason you all probably haven't received it. It must be in the mail. It's a claim filed in a Texas proceeding against Albertson, and Albertson filed a cross claim against J&J.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we have not yet seen that.

If we don't get a copy in a day or so, could we trouble

Your Honor's office for a copy?

THE COURT: Yes. Give me a call and I'll send a copy to you. I'm interested in your input. The mediation and resolution program, can we have some update?

MR. LEVIN: Chuck Preuss has all the numbers.

MR. PREUSS: Your Honor, subsequent to our last meeting, as we discussed, we got information out to the plaintiffs' counsel, the various law firms where we needed some supplemental identification information, Social Security numbers, date of birth, addresses. This information is coming back. December 20 was the date that plaintiffs felt they could comply with. We are looking forward to receiving that information at that time. We are scheduled to have a conference with them the day after that to see exactly where we

are.

In the meantime, we have been working on the data we have and I will give you the latest statistics. We have MDL plaintiffs, 2,980. We have decedents, 234; minimum required is 242 under the agreement. Personal injury cases, 2,733; 2,653 are required. Tolling agreement claim members, 20,468, and 12,000 must be enrolled. As to Achord plaintiffs, which are included as part of the tolling agreement ultimately, 1,304, and we need 1,767.

The uncategorized group, 2,856, those are individuals who have filed and not indicated as to where they are raising their claim. That we hope to reduce significantly by the information we get on December 20 and, as indicated earlier, we will need to make sure that these various individuals are, indeed, eligible pursuant to the terms of the agreement. We are working hard on it and we have brought the special master's office into the picture. They have been very helpful in following up matters such as claimants who are represented by multiple attorneys, and we are getting the cooperation of the PLC. We hope to move along rapidly once we get the information on the 20th.

THE COURT: There are really two issues, as I see it, in these matters. One is making certain that the PLC has satisfied the requirements so that the program can get started and, secondly, getting all interested parties, all others

involved in it, in the program. I think all of the efforts 1 ought to be put toward getting the required amount taken care 2 of, that is to say, decedents, you need eight more, go look for 3 4 See whether or not those eight are in some other the eight. category. The personal injury cases, you may have to separate 5 6 some of them, but that should be easily done. Achord 7 plaintiffs, as I understand, all of the PLC members are 8 interested in pursuing the matter, so if they are all in, then Achord ought to be all in. If not, then there may be some 9 10 duplication, but that ought to be able to work itself out. 11 suggestion to you all is to get the program running so people can see that it is feasible and it is done so we can go to the 12 next step. When will you have a clearer picture, on the 20^{th?} 13 MR. PREUSS: We have got 50 back out of 111. Some of the firms with the most plaintiffs are still, obviously, busy 15 with it. Once we get those in on the 20th, that should give 16 17 us a better feel. 18 19 plaintiffs?

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are you going to meet with the

MR. PREUSS: We are scheduled to have a conference the day after the 20th, so it will be on the 21st.

THE COURT: Get with me after that and let me know what the results are. Let's have a conference call so I can talk with you and the plaintiffs' representative.

MR. PREUSS: We will. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. 1 2 MR. LEVIN: As we have told you earlier today, 3 plaintiffs' liaison counsel will be following up, namely 4 Russ Herman. 5 MR. IRWIN: I did find my service lists. I have one 6 for Ms. Lambert. I'm now 36 for 36. 7 THE COURT: All right. Global application of Daubert is the next item on the agenda. We are tabling that still? 8 9 Yes, sir. MR. LEVIN: 10 Stipulation and Pretrial Order providing THE COURT: for use of trial depositions in state and federal court. 11 12 MR. IRWIN: That has been entered by the Court and 13 may be removed from the agenda, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: The states ought to be advised that's 15 been done. Motions for summary judgment. 16 MR. LEVIN: We have deferred filing that, sir. 17 THE COURT: Pro se plaintiffs, where are we with 18 that? 19 MR. LEVIN: We are thinking and working on a 20 solution. 21 THE COURT: Anything from the defendants on that? 22 MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we have updated the service 23 They are now being included on all necessary services, 24 and our feeling is that we should again defer this until the enrollments are satisfied. I'm not aware of any activity at 25

this point that is affecting these particular unrepresented plaintiffs.

THE COURT: I will be looking at that, though, because they are plaintiffs in the case. I'll take that up, but I will defer that presently. I will look at them in globo.

The next item is motions to remand. I have received a number of motions to remand, primarily from New York and Arizona. I'm going to continue those without date. I will be looking at them globally. I will try to approach them by categorizing them by state or some other issue, perhaps, and deal with them at one time rather than piecemeal. I will defer ruling on those until another time. I do want the parties to concentrate on seeing where we are with the resolution program. Any other items that we need to cover?

MR. IRWIN: I believe that covers the agenda today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any new items on the agenda?

MR. IRWIN: That was the only new item, the remand issue.

THE COURT: Anything from the states that you wish to bring up? I'll have the next meeting on January 14, 2005, at 9:00. I will meet with the liaison committee earlier that day. Anything further from anyone? Thank you very much. I appreciate your work. Court will stand in recess. Have a happy holidays.

1 2

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise.

(WHEREUPON, the Court was in recess.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, Official Court Reporter,
United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from
the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and
numbered matter. This certification is valid only for a
transcript accompanied by my original signature and seal on
this page.

Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR Official Court Reporter

ROUGH DRAFT