1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Levin, Fishbein, Sedran & Berman BY: ARNOLD LEVIN, ESQ. 3 BY: FREDERICK S. LONGER, 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 4 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 5 Zimmerman, Reed, P.L.L.P BY: CHARLES ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 6 7 901 North Third Street Suite 100 8 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 9 Irwin, Fritchie, Urquhart For Defendants: 10 & Moore BY: JAMES B. IRWIN, ESQ. 11 MONIQUE GARSAUD. ESQ. 400 Poydras Street, Suite 2700 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 12 13 Drinker, Biddle & Shanley 14 BY: THOMAS F. CAMPION, ESQ. 500 Campus Drive 15 Florham Park, New Jersey 07932 16 Preuss, Shanagher, Zvoleff & Zimmer 17 BY: CHARLES F. PREUSS, ESQ. 18 225 Bush Street 15th Floor 19 San Francisco, California 94104 20 21 Court-appointed Special Juneau Law Firm Master: BY: PATRICK A. JUNEAU, ESQ. 22 1018 Harding Street Suite 202 23 Lafayette, Louisiana 70503 24 25

Jodi Simcox, RMR 500 Poydras Street, Room HB-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7780 Official Court Reporter: Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

1 **PROCEEDINGS** (September 27, 2007) 2 3 Who do I have? THE COURT: 4 MR. CAMPION: Tom Campion. 5 MR. ARSENAULT: Richard Arsenault. 6 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Bucky Zimmerman. 7 MR. JUNEAU: Pat Juneau. 8 THE COURT: Anyone else? 9 MS. BARRIOS: Dawn Barrios. 10 **THE COURT:** Okay, Dawn. Anyone else? Sounds like 11 somebody's coming in. What is that? Let's wait until the 12 music's over and we'll start. This is our monthly meeting on the Propulsid 13 14 litigation. I have a joint report provided to me. The first 15 item on the report is state liaison counsel's MDL resolution program II. Anything on that, Dawn? 16 17 MS. BARRIOS: Yes, sir. We had sent out a massive 18 newsletter campaign to all the attorneys that the state 19 committee had e-mail addresses for and then the claims office. 20 So we blanketed that out to everyone to alert them to the 21 change in Propulsid I and to the deadline in Propulsid II. 22 I understand that Monique put the notice also on 23 the Web site. So everyone should be fully aware. 24 **THE COURT:** Okay. Anything from you on that, Tom?

MR. CAMPION: No, Your Honor.

25

THE COURT: All right. Trust account, anything? 1 The third item is MDL mediation resolution 2 3 program. 4 Judge, we have, if you'll permit me, MR. JUNEAU: 5 I'll just give this --6 THE COURT: Sure. 7 MR. JUNEAU: -- report to you and to all counsel at 8 this time. These are the current numbers. There's been a 9 total of 1,015 cases presented to the panel. 840 of those were 10 in what we call the expedited category. Six of those were 11 declared eligible; 895 were ineligible; of that number 736 were 12 expedited. We have 114 in review. 13 There's a couple of other items, Judge, if you'll permit me --14 15 THE COURT: Sure. 16 MR. JUNEAU: -- on these same lines. I've been 17 working on supplanting the people that have rolled off of this 18 medical panel and we've got one approved last week, and I'll 19 refer to the defendant. We had one pending on a submission by 20 the plaintiff. I think it was a Dr. Goode, as I recall. 21 MR. CAMPION: Yes, sir, we sent a notice yesterday 22 saying we approve him. 23 MR. JUNEAU: Oh, great. Well, Judge, the thing we 24 need to do, and it would be a good opportunity to discuss that

25

right now.

We've got these two new doctors and we need to orient these two doctors, which has been a very critical part of this process. I'll leave it to the parties. The last time we did it, we had a group and we brought them into the courtroom, plaintiff and defendant were both there. The presentation was made and, you know, the standard procedures you followed at that time.

I'm assuming that's what people want to do this

I'm assuming that's what people want to do this time. If anybody has any other thoughts, I guess, now would be the time to speak up.

THE COURT: Whatever is the easiest for you folks.

I'll do it whatever way you're comfortable with.

MR. CAMPION: We believe orientation should be done as it was done with the last two groups, and that it should be done in the court.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CAMPION: We would request that the judge speak to the panelists after the orientation.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll do it that way.

MR. JUNEAU: Well, Judge, I'll coordinate with your office a convenient time and we've got to get with these doctors because they work on call and so forth.

THE COURT: Sure. Whenever you can set it up.

MR. JUNEAU: I'll be working through your staff on that. I'll start on that today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JUNEAU: One other thing, Judge, if you'll permit me. We have -- Dawn talked about the notices which they did send. We're getting a lot of inquiries. There seems to be a little confusion out there in the fields as to where they should submit their papers.

I think we kind of all agree, because it's worked better as kind of a funneling part if they could get out a joint-- I would think it ought to be joint between the plaintiff and the defendant -- saying to send that to the special master's office and we will immediately turn around and make copies for both sides, plaintiff and defendant.

That way we got a monitoring source to track these cases. But we need to get that notice jointly put out when you -- from the plaintiff and defendant and notify them to do that. Because there is some confusion about that about people in the field.

THE COURT: What's the answer to that? Anybody from defendant? Chuck, do you have any suggestions?

MR. PREUSS: Well, I think we could talk to Dawn and the PSC and maybe publish another notice on the Web site.

THE COURT: Dawn, any input on that?

MS. BARRIOS: We can also do -- I find it more effective to do the e-mails and we can certainly do that.

Chuck, if I can impose upon you, if maybe you do a first draft.

It seems to me to be a plain vanilla instructions to them and then if you can get that to Lenny and I, we can look at it and I can start the e-mails going out this afternoon.

MR. PREUSS: Okay.

MR. JUNEAU: That would be fine, Judge. I think that will easily take care of what we're talking about. I have one last item, Judge.

THE COURT: Just copy me on that, Dawn, and also send a copy to Pat so that everybody's on the same page.

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, sir.

MR. JUNEAU: The last item I have, Judge. We've gotten several, several inquiries from attorneys saying, please, provide us with the reasons for the denial of the claim by the medical panel, the declaration of non-eligibility. The response I've been giving, which was an accurate response, is that it was just denied.

So the question on the table is: Do I still do that, or what is you-all's input as to whether or not they should or should not receive these denial forms? A lot of them just says "denied" on it. It's kind of like an internal document for these doctors, and it really wouldn't mean much.

But I'm putting it on the table to see what the parties feel comfortable with insofar as how we would reply.

MR. CAMPION: The defense has some strong views on this one. The term sheet explicitly said that the members of

the medical panel only had to write "approved" or "denied", and there is nothing else that is required of them. That was one of the reasons we thought we'd be able to attract good people, they do their thinking process and just come down with the answer.

We noted that the special master's office, when it prepared the form, put something on one of the pages which would give the doctors the opportunity to write notes. We really didn't think that was needed and that may cause some problems.

The basic purpose is that the medical panel is the supreme court as far as this is concerned. They don't have to justify their positions. They're in there on the basis of their experience and their integrity. If the plaintiff prevails, so be it; if the defendant prevails, so be it.

We strongly oppose any proposal of the type that is included in the remarks made by attorneys who are calling Pat Juneau.

MR. PREUSS: In addition, I would think the term sheet itself specifies the terms for eligibility or ineligibility. So if they read that, then they could only presume the reason for denial or a grant by the panel.

MR. JUNEAU: Judge, this is Pat Juneau.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. JUNEAU: From my standpoint, I concur with those

comments. I'm just raising the issue.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. JUNEAU: I think it was never intended, never designed, it was just a note-taking thing. And it's not on all of them anyway; and it was not designed to be a written reasons for conclusions or anything like that. So that would be grossly misinterpreted, you know, just a little short note or something like that.

I don't think it accurately reflects -- and I think what Tom said about the term sheet is accurate. But I wanted to just raise the issue because we get a lot of inquiries about that. And I wanted to tell everybody how we were responding and that is on the term sheet they just declare eligible or non-eligible, and that's what we're determining.

THE COURT: Ruth tells me that Lenny Davis has called in -- oh, all the plaintiffs are on the other line.

MR. CAMPION: We have an e-mail from Jim Irwin's office saying that they can hear what's being said, but that they can't get to speak.

MR. JUNEAU: Is that by design, Tom?

MR. CAMPION: Nope.

THE COURT: Let me put you on hold and see if we can pull everybody in.

(WHEREUPON, there was a break in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Hello. Is everybody with us now? You're

there, Lenny?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, I'm here. But I had a slew of people that were not able to get in with me, including Jim Irwin, Monique, Arnold, Jim Capretz. I'm not sure who else.

MR. LEVIN: Fred Longer is with me, Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: We've been on the line for at least the last 20 minutes --

THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry.

MR. DAVIS: -- and have missed, quite frankly, most everything that's gone on.

THE COURT: Well, let's look at it again.

The first item was state liaison counsel's resolution program II. Dawn Barrios reported that they've reached the threshold area and that they're in full swing on it. There's some question of notifying people as to what they have to do and that's been taken care of.

No item on the trust accounts.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, just so you're aware, there was notice that was placed on the Web site and Russ intends on sending a broadcast e-mail to all plaintiff's counsel advising them as to the posting on the Web site and the same sum and substance.

THE COURT: All right. We had some problem with some people were either not getting notice or didn't understand it.

Dawn suggested that Chuck draft something and send it to you and her so you can look at it; and if everybody's agreeable, then she could e-mail it to everyone.

MR. DAVIS: I think that's fine, and that's what Russ had in mind also, primarily utilizing what we had agreed upon. When I say "we", all three, state, plaintiffs and defendants, that was posted on the Web site. So that would be posted. If Chuck wanted to send something to us, we certainly would look at it.

MR. PREUSS: Well, the issue only is that the special master indicated that he gets a lot of calls as to where to send the enrollment forms and the claim forms. So it would just be a reminder notice that both documents be sent to the special master and give the identifying patient information to the special master.

MR. DAVIS: I think we could do that. We don't have a problem with that. If you want to give us something that incorporates all of that, we'll look at it and we'll get it out.

THE COURT: Right. So it will be sent to you and to Dawn and you-all can get together on it.

The MDL mediation program. Pat, do you want to tell us about that again?

MR. JUNEAU: Yeah. Lenny, you didn't hear the numbers I ran through, apparently.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. JUNEAU: Let me give them to you. There were 1,015 to the panel. Of that 840 were expedited; six eligible; ineligible, 895; 736 of that were expedited. There are 114 in review. Of that number 104 were expedited.

Also, Tom Campion said earlier, because I inquired about the panel members, they had checked off and okayed your nomination of Dr. Goode as a panel member.

> MR. DAVIS: Right.

MR. JUNEAU: So what I'm going to be doing is setting up, through Judge Fallon's office, the orientation of those two new doctors we got to orient.

MR. DAVIS: All right.

MR. JUNEAU: I'll coordinate that. I'm going to start on that today.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. We would like to get that done as soon as we can.

MR. JUNEAU: Absolutely. We'll be making those calls The last item that I addressed was the -- I told them today. we had gotten some inquiries from claimant attorneys saying, give us the reasons for the denial.

My input into that was that our standard procedure is that we tell people that under the term sheet they're either declared eligible and non-eligible by the doctors. There's no required, no intended written reasons, if

you will, for the determinations. The only question was people said, well, could we have the determination sheet?

My input into that was that these determination sheets were never intended to do that because nobody's putting down the written reasons per se, and that's not what the instructions were. And I put it on the table for everybody so they would know what the inquiry was.

I do not recommend we do that. I think -- I'm not speaking for him, but Tom Campion said that on the term sheet that was never contemplated. It was an up or down decision. I just said, I happen to concur with that. But I just advised people what the inquiry was and that my current intention was to proceed as we'd been proceeding up to this stage.

MR. DAVIS: Well, I think we need to find some means whereby folks who want to opt into this reconsideration process can do so with some type of information. Otherwise, it's just willy nilly and you don't know whether or not to reconsider.

So they've got to get some type of information regarding their case, certainly, if they want them reconsidered; and we're going to have to address what type of information, I believe.

MR. CAMPION: Okay. The defense position is that there is no information going to the people who want to be reconsidered. They either got voted in or they got voted out.

That was the deal that we negotiated a couple of years ago.

MR. CAPRETZ: Tom, this is Jim Capretz. Wouldn't it make -- I understand your position. But wouldn't it make some sense if we said something to the effect that: Does not meet criteria; or record incomplete; or something succinct that lets them know some basic information rather than just affirmed, denied.

MR. CAMPION: Well, the agreement on reconsideration is a simple agreement. It says that whatever you filed last time, you can file again. Whatever we filed last time, we can file again. There is no new filing material. You're simply having a new set of eyes look at the same material that the original panel did.

MR. DAVIS: Well, I think we need to discuss that, Pat, amongst ourselves and get some resolution on it.

MR. CAMPION: I'm happy to discuss it, but you know what our position is.

THE COURT: Let's both sides look at it, talk about it. Bring it to me if you have a problem and we'll deal with it that way, but I'd really like to have it resolved within the next two weeks.

MR. DAVIS: We'll get back with Pat and we'll get with Tom or Chuck and address it.

THE COURT: Well, the three of you probably need to meet and talk about it. Pat's going to have to give you the

lay of the land as to what kind of inquiries he's getting, and then you're going to have to talk about it with Tom. If you-all can come to an agreement, fine; if you can't, then I'll resolve it.

Anything further, Pat, from you?

MR. JUNEAU: No, Judge.

THE COURT: What about the pro se plaintiffs?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Judge, this is Bucky Zimmerman. I have some news on the settlement program.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Several months ago, maybe six months ago, Kim West from the third-party payers was brought into a session before the judge and we went into an anteroom and I was asked to discuss with her resolution on somewhat of a global basis of the third-party payer interest that she represented.

She, apparently, represented all the Blues and Crosses. That didn't really go anywhere. But recently she has approached me and said, frankly, that she is prepared to, what she would consider a nominal demand, to try and put this matter behind her and behind us. I asked her to put something in writing and I would relay it to the committee.

She just wanted me to inform you and, I guess, I want to inform you that that is in play; again, with no commitments from anyone, but that it appears they are very willing to do something on a global basis to resolve any

1 third-party payer claims that exist within the fund, at least 2 with regard to Blues and maybe on a wider basis. 3 But she asked me to report that to you, and I 4 think it is my obligation, because I was approached and asked 5 to be in touch with her, and we've had these discussions. So 6 that's my report. 7 Okay. Thank you, Bucky. Tom, is there THE COURT: 8 any interest in any of that? 9 MR. CAMPION: The high likelihood is no. I mean, if 10 she's looking for \$500, I suppose we could say yes to that. 11 MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, it's almost hysterical given 12 the claims process here. 13 MR. DAVIS: Yeah, I mean, this is the first we're 14 hearing of it; and we certainly would respond to Ms. West if 15 she brought something to us. MR. ZIMMERMAN: And she will be and I'll get it to 16 17 you, Lenny. I'll get it this morning. 18 **THE COURT:** Okay. Bucky, you get with Lenny and see 19 what the situation is there. 20 MR. ZIMMERMAN: All right. 21 **THE COURT:** Any pro se plaintiffs other than that? 22 MR. DAVIS: No, we can probably remove that from the 23 agenda, Your Honor. 24 **THE COURT:** Emergency motion for distribution of 25 attorney's fees.

MR. DAVIS: That's been continued by the Court and 1 2 we're not having any issues on that. 3 THE COURT: Liaison motion for equitable administration of the settlement agreement. That's what we 4 5 talked about a moment ago. We're in the second phase of that 6 where some folks are able to have another go at it. Is that 7 that portion of the agenda? 8 MR. DAVIS: Right. We just need to get the process 9 down and when those can go forward. Our position is that they 10 ought to be able to enroll at any time and starting now. 11 **THE COURT:** What about supplemental agreement 12 Propulsid I and II, anything? 13 MR. DAVIS: Our position on that is that 14 Propulsid II is up and ready to roll; and we think that it 15 ought to proceed now. 16 **THE COURT:** Any input from defendants on that? 17 MR. CAMPION: Agreed. 18 MR. IRWIN: We're fine with that. 19 **THE COURT:** Anything further? 20 MR. DAVIS: No. We'll get with Pat Juneau and talk 21 to him about these matters. Your Honor, I'm sorry that we were 22 delayed, but it was obviously out of our control. 23 THE COURT: No problem. 24 MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry you had to repeat all this. 25 **THE COURT:** That's all right. The next meeting is

1 October 26th at 9:00. We'll call in the same way. 2 Lenny, you and Tom talk about that other issue 3 with Pat and then get to me and let me know something in two 4 weeks. 5 MR. DAVIS: We will do that. 6 THE COURT: All right. Anything further? All right, 7 Thank you very much. folks. 8 MR. JUNEAU: Thank you, Judge. 9 MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 MR. CAMPION: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 (WHEREUPON, the Court was adjourned.) **** 13 14 **CERTIFICATE** 15 I, Jodi Simcox, RMR, Official Court Reporter for the 16 United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do 17 hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct 18 transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from 19 the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and 20 numbered matter. 21 22 23 Jodi Simcox, RMR 24 Official Court Reporter 25