

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

**In Re: TAXOTERE (DOCETAXEL)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL NO. 2740

SECTION "N" (5)

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
ALL ACTIONS**

PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 70A
(Replacing Pretrial Order No. 70 Regarding Contact with Physicians)

Pretrial Order No. 70 (Rec. Doc. 1137) is hereby replaced in its entirety by this Pretrial Order No. 70A.

The following will govern the parties' interactions with an MDL Plaintiff's prescribing and treating physicians in cases subject to Case Management Orders 3, 8A, and any trial Plaintiffs once identified in a similar trial scheduling CMO ("Bellwether Trial CMOs"). As used in this order, an "MDL Plaintiff's prescribing or treating physician" is a physician who has one or more patients who have filed a lawsuit (or whose representative has filed a lawsuit) pending in this MDL proceeding alleging that the patient sustained an injury caused by docetaxel. A "prescribing physician" is the physician identified in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet as the physician who prescribed docetaxel to the Plaintiff, as recorded in MDL Centrality; a "treating physician" is the physician who treated the injury alleged in the Plaintiffs' Fact Sheet, as recorded in MDL Centrality.

1. Plaintiffs' counsel may engage in *ex parte* communications with any MDL Plaintiff's prescribing or treating physician. With respect to any such *ex parte* communications, at least 48 hours before the deposition of the Plaintiff's prescribing or treating physician, Plaintiff's counsel shall disclose to Defendants' counsel each of the following:

- a. the date(s) of each such *ex parte* communication;
 - b. the approximate duration of each such *ex parte* communication;
 - c. the location of each such *ex parte* communication;
 - d. the participants in each such *ex parte* communication; and
 - e. the identity of the documents, photographs, or other materials that were shown or provided to the treating physician by Plaintiffs' counsel in connection with each such *ex parte* communication.
2. Defendants' counsel will not engage in *ex parte* communications with any MDL Plaintiff's prescribing or treating physician, except as permitted in Paragraph 3 and its subdivisions and except that non-lawyer staff for Defendants' counsel may contact the office of a prescribing or treating physician for purposes of deposition scheduling. Nothing herein shall bar any employee, agent or representative of the Defendants from engaging in communications with physicians in the ordinary course of business.
3. Going forward from the original date of Pretrial Order No. 70 (November 21, 2017), Defendants' counsel may engage in *ex parte* communications with up to 30 MDL Plaintiffs' prescribing or treating physicians within the State of Louisiana (to be divided between the Defendants, collectively) for the purpose of obtaining physician-experts and may retain as expert witnesses up to 20 MDL Plaintiffs' prescribing or treating physicians (to be divided between the Defendants, collectively), no matter when the physicians were initially contacted by Defendants' counsel. Counsel for each individual Defendant may also engage in *ex parte* communications with up to 30 MDL Plaintiffs' prescribing or treating physicians located outside of the State of Louisiana for the purpose of obtaining physician-experts and may retain as expert witnesses up to

20 MDL Plaintiffs' prescribing or treating physicians located outside of the State of Louisiana, no matter when the physicians were initially contacted by Defendants' counsel.

- a. All *ex parte* communications by Defendants' counsel with an MDL Plaintiff's prescribing or treating physician prior to any fact deposition conducted of that physician must be limited to non-substantive discussions until the physician has affirmatively expressed a *bona fide* interest in being considered as a retained expert.
- b. Once a Plaintiff becomes subject to a Bellwether Trial CMO, Defendants' counsel for the trial Defendant shall not retain physician-experts who are prescribing or treating physicians of such Plaintiffs until after those cases are tried, removed from the trial docket, or dismissed. To the extent Defendants retained a physician-expert who is a prescribing or treating physician of a Plaintiff subject to a Bellwether Trial CMO before the Plaintiff became subject to a Bellwether Trial CMO, Defendants shall be permitted to use such physician-expert in any case, except as provided in Paragraph 3.e.
- c. Defendants' counsel shall disclose to Plaintiffs' counsel on the date set forth in the applicable Bellwether Trial CMO for disclosure of testifying experts, the name of any testifying expert who per MDL Centrality has patients who are plaintiffs in the MDL proceeding, and the experts themselves shall have no further affirmative disclosure obligations. No disclosures pursuant to a Bellwether Trial CMO are necessary for consulting experts until such time


as an expert is identified as a testifying expert. Consulting experts contacted or retained by Defendants' counsel shall be subject to all of the requirements of this Order to the same extent as testifying experts, except that the disclosure requirements set forth in this subparagraph 3(c) shall not apply to consulting experts until they are identified as testifying experts.

- d. Defendants' counsel may communicate with a prospective physician-expert about his or her general clinical experiences with docetaxel, provided the Defendants' counsel shall not communicate with a physician-expert who has acted as a prescribing or treating physician about any of his or her specific patients who has taken docetaxel.
- e. The Defendants shall not use a physician as a consulting or testifying expert in a case where that physician's present or former patient is a plaintiff in that case.
- f. Defendants may rely on the disclosures in Plaintiffs' Fact Sheets as recorded in MDL Centrality at the time the physician-expert is retained, in determining whether a physician is an MDL Plaintiff's prescribing or treating physician. Subsequent disclosures in newly-filed or amended Plaintiffs' Fact Sheets as recorded in MDL Centrality shall not impact the count toward the cap in Paragraph 3.
- g. Given the numerical limitations on the number of physicians Defendants may contact as described in this Order, each Defendant shall maintain a current record of the number of physicians contacted and the number

retained and provide it to the Court or its designee *in camera* at the Court's request.

- h. This Order denies in part and grants in part "Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC and Sanofi US Services, Inc.'s Motion for Entry of a Protocol Regarding *Ex Parte* Contact With Physicians" (Rec. Doc. 917). The Court overrules Defendants' and Plaintiffs' objections to the extent this order is inconsistent with the positions of either party as articulated in their written submissions or oral argument.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 26th day of January 2018.



KURT D. ENGELHARDT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE