1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
3	
4	IN RE: TAXOTERE (DOCETAXEL) *
5	PRODUCTS LIABILITY * Docket No.: 16-MD-2740 LITIGATION * Section "H(5)"
6	* January 18, 2019  This Document Relates To All Cases * New Orleans, Louisiana  * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	TRANSCRIPT OF MONTHLY STATUS CONFERENCE HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE JANE TRICHE MILAZZO
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10 11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Plaintiffs: Barrios, Kingsdorf & Casteix, LLP BY: DAWN BARRIOS, ESQ.
14	701 Poydras Street Suite 3650
15	New Orleans, Louisiana 70139
16	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, LLP
17	BY: CHRISTOPHER COFFIN, ESQ. 1515 Poydras Street
18	Suite 1400 New Orleans. Louisiana 70112
19	
20	Gainsburgh, Benjamin, David,
21	Meunier & Warshauer, LLC BY: PALMER LAMBERT, ESQ.
22	2800 Energy Centre 1100 Poydras Street
23	New Orleans, Louisiana 70163-2800
24	
25	

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
2		Lemmon Law Firm
3		BY: ANDREW LEMMON, ESQ. 650 Poydras Street
4		Suite 2335 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
5		
6		Gibbs Law Group, LLP
7		BY: KAREN BARTH MENZIES, ESQ. 400 Continental Boulevard 6th Floor
8		El Segundo, California 90245
9		
10		The Mulligan Law Firm BY: CHARLES G. ORR, ESQ.
11		3710 Rawlins Street Suite 901
12		Dallas, Texas 75219
13	F 6 6 6 A	T . T
14 15	For Sanofi S.A.:	Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC
16		BY: DOUGLAS J. MOORE, ESQ. BY: KELLY BRILLEAUX, ESQ. 400 Poydras Street, Suite 2700
17		New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
18		
19	For Hospira Worldwide, LLC:	Chaffe McCall
20		BY: JOHN F. OLINDE, ESQ. 2300 Energy Centre
21		2300 Energy Centre 1100 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70163
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For Sandoz, A Novartis Division:	Sroonburg Traurig
3	B	Greenburg Traurig BY: R. CLIFTON MERRELL, ESQ. Ferminus 200
4	3	3333 Piedmont Road, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30305
5		Actanica, deorgia 30303
6	For Actavis Pharma, Inc:	Jlmer & Berne, LLP
7	B	BY: MICHAEL J. SUFFERN, ESQ. 500 Vine Street
8		Suite 2800 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
9		,
10	For Accord Healthcare,	
11	ll B	Tucker Ellis BY: JULIE A. CALLSEN, ESQ.
12	S	950 Main Avenue Suite 1100
13		Cleveland, Ohio 44113
14	Also Duosout	
15 16	Also Present Via Telephone: T	The Honorable James F. Hyland
10 17		
18	Official Court Reporter:	Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street
19	R	Room B-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
20		(504) 589-7780
21		
22	Proceedings recorded by mechan	nical stenography. transcript
23	produced by computer.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
24		
25		

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(January 18, 2019)
3	(MORNING SESSION)
4	*****
5	
6	(COURT CALLED TO ORDER)
7	THE COURT: Good morning. We are all here for a
8	status conference in Taxotere (Docetaxel) Products Liability
9	Litigation, MDL No. 2740, status conference today.
10	I know we have some members on the phone, and we
11	appreciate your presence. I just want to find out, are there
12	any judges that are on the phone?
13	Do I have to say, "Open up the lines" for that
14	part?
15	THE DEPUTY CLERK: No.
16	THE COURT: Do we have any state court judges
17	otherwise on the phone?
18	(Inaudible.)
19	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry, I'm really struggling
20	to hear. Is that could you please repeat that? You broke
21	up really badly, Judge.
22	(Inaudible.)
23	THE COURT: All right. I'm not sure well, this is
24	completely garbled, and I'm curious as to whether or not we
25	have a judge on the phone or if, indeed, there is just some

sort of static or whatever.

JUDGE HYLAND: Can you hear? Judge Hyland.

THE COURT: Hello, Judge Hyland. Now, we can hear

you.

JUDGE HYLAND: Okay. I can hear you, too.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you. Thank you.

Okay. Before we begin with the joint report of liaison counsel, as you all know, the parties, lead counsel, as well as liaison counsel, met with the Court prior to this joint status conference to review several issues, first of which was selection of a date for a trial team meeting for the first bellwether trial.

Trial counsel has requested an opportunity to visit with the Court regarding certain policies and procedures and what one might expect trying a case in Section H of the Eastern District. We have decided that we will have that meeting on the evening preceding our next status conference.

The second issue that was raised by the parties was Sanofi's pending motion for summary judgment. There are three pending motions for summary judgment related to issues that are not part of the bellwether cases. And at that time, after extensive conversation, the Court indicated that I would take up those motions, but the parties should be informed that, very frankly, they were going to be at the bottom of the list of those things that I would consider, and that I would grant a

motion for continuance simply because there are multiple issues and other motions before the Court, and so those would be taken up last.

Additionally, the Court cautioned defense counsel that before raising, bringing any of those motions, they should be -- they're well advised to have completed discovery as to those issues that will be brought before the Court.

The third issue that was raised at our conference was expert updates for the first bellwether trial. As I appreciate it, there are two or three experts that because of a variety of factors may need to have -- the parties may require a brief continuance. I instructed counsel to meet and then present me with a proposal on a brief continuance, which I would likely grant.

Finally, we discussed appointment of plaintiffs' steering committee and plaintiffs' liaison counsel, and, very frankly, I think that was just an oversight on the Court's part. So I believe Ms. Barrios has indicated that she would present to me an order, which will be signed upon presentation.

And, finally, there was a request as to selection of second trial bellwether's plaintiff and first alternate. I have received those submissions last week. I will try my level best to have those selections sometime next week, but I did caution the parties that I am beginning a

significant jury trial Tuesday, but, hopefully, this is 1 something that I can, nonetheless, have by sometime next week. 2 I believe that's all we discussed in our 3 4 conference. Is there anything else? 5 MR. COFFIN: Your Honor, just there was one addition in the expert issue column, and that was that we indicated --6 7 the plaintiffs indicated to Your Honor that two of the experts that we have on our side may supplement reports. Your Honor 8 9 indicated that if they're going to change their opinions, you 10 would appreciate us filing a motion for leave to do so. If they're just going to change their reliance lists, I believe 11 12 the Court indicated there's no need to file a motion for leave. 13 But that may require another deposition. THE COURT: MR. COFFIN: Correct. Correct. Understood. 14 15 THE COURT: If reliance materials are supplemented, that I think defense counsel has indicated they may issue to 16 17 redepose, which would be appropriate. MR. COFFIN: And we'll work that out with them. 18 19 Thank you, Your Honor. 20 **THE COURT:** Okay. Is there anything else? 21 MR. LAMBERT: I think that's it, Judge. 22 **THE COURT:** Okay. Mr. Lambert. 23 MR. LAMBERT: Good morning, again, Judge. Palmer 24 Lambert, plaintiffs' co-liaison counsel, here to present Joint 25 Report No. 14 of liaison counsel, which actually has not been

filed into the record yet, but it has been distributed to counsel so that they can follow along.

Good morning and welcome to Judge Hyland. Thank you for joining us on the phone today.

Section No. 1 of the report is the claims and case inventory. There's no real change to this section other than an increase in about 2,000 cases since the last general status conference.

Section 2, federal/state coordination. There are related state court proceedings pending in California, Illinois, New Jersey, and Delaware. There's a slight change to this section in that the Attorney General for the state of Mississippi filed a related action which has been removed and joined with the MDL.

We also understand that Judge Hyland's multicounty litigation will be having a status conference next Wednesday, January 23rd.

Section 3, pretrial orders. All counsel need to be reminded of all pretrial orders in the case. Those will be attached to this joint report, as they always are, as Appendix A to the joint report. Since the last status conference, the Court entered Pretrial Order 87, that's Record Document 5363, which establishes streamline procedures for dismissing a case with prejudice that's not in the trial pool.

Pretrial Orders No. 88 through 90 are

reappointment orders of appointed counsel in this case, and we anticipate an additional reappointment order as Your Honor mentioned at the beginning of the status conference.

Section No. 4 of the report is unchanged. There are not any new case management orders since the November status conference.

Section No. 5 is unchanged. Although with the additional cases filed, I would ask that all counsel who are new to the MDL, please submit their Pretrial Order No. 7 contact form as that is the manner in which you become added to the list to receive pleadings in this case and communications from liaison counsel.

On Section No. 6 of the joint report, master complaint/short form complaint, no new updates here except that the short form complaint has been updated as of January 4th, 2019, to correct the mistake in the caption. I do want to mention, especially for the benefit of the new counsel in this case, that plaintiffs cannot just voluntarily dismiss without prejudice by a notice. They must follow the procedures in Pretrial Orders No. 15, or Case Management Order No. 12A, or the newly entered Pretrial Order No. 87.

Regarding Section 7, plaintiff and defendant fact sheets, there are a number of important orders related to plaintiff fact sheets. Amended Pretrial Order No. 22 sets forth the process for service of the plaintiff fact sheet and

the defendant fact sheet and the deadlines associated therewith. Pretrial Orders No. 18, 23, 24, 55, and 22A provide additional rules and orders regarding the plaintiff fact sheets.

As of January 14th, plaintiffs have served 9,068 plaintiff fact sheets. Another 2,201 are in progress. Those fact sheets break down as follows: 4,749, Sanofi; 974, Hospira, 364, Sandoz; 226, Accord; 2, Sun; and approximately 2,700, unknown, other, or miscellaneous.

Counsel should also familiarize themselves with Pretrial Order No. 68 related to the plaintiff fact sheets to provide representative and dated photographs.

Consistent with Pretrial Order No. 22A, the defendants submitted notice of noncompliance cases that are subject to a call docket, which will follow this status conference.

Section No. 8 is unchanged. But, again, for the benefit of those counsel who are new to the MDL, please familiarize yourselves with the streamline service orders that are listed in Section 8 of the joint report.

Section 9, product identification order is CMO No. 12A. This section is unchanged since the last time with the exception of the last sentence. The plaintiffs' steering committee has been advised by certain counsel in the MDL that there are compliance issues with regard to subpoenas issued in

connection with CMO 12 to the various infusion facilities around the country. We are discussing with the defendants potential ways to make the enforcement of those subpoenas more efficient.

Section No. 10, preservation order. Counsel are reminded to familiarize themselves with the terms of Pretrial Order No. 1 and also the requirements in CMO No. 15 for preservation of evidence and related to biopsies performed on plaintiffs for their hair loss.

Section 11 of the joint report, protective order, has not changed, and neither has Section 12 regarding electronically stored information.

Section 13, the plaintiffs have served merits discovery on Sanofi, Accord, Hospira, and Sandoz. The parties continue to bring any discovery disputes before Magistrate Judge North, and our next status conference with Judge North is actually in 15 minutes.

The trial case discovery in the three cases that are subject to the first trial date, expert reports have been exchanged by plaintiffs and defendants, and the expert depositions are ongoing in those matters.

As was discussed at the beginning of this conference, the plaintiffs and Sanofi nominated plaintiffs to be the primary and ultimate plaintiff for the second trial, and those nominations are under submission with the Court.

Section No. 14 of the joint report lists pending 1 2 motions, and I won't go through each one of them, but I will 3 mention that plaintiff Antoinette Durden's motion to enforce 4 CMO 12A will be heard after the show cause hearing today. 5 Section No. 15, special master of plaintiffs' 6 time and expenses. Special Master DeJean is not here today, 7 but there's nothing new to report in his absence. 8 And Section No. 16, the next status conference 9 will likely be in February. We have not chosen a date yet, and 10 we'll get back to Your Honor on a date that the parties select 11 from the options you gave. 12 **THE COURT:** Okay. Just for those people that are 13 listening on the phone, that next date will be in the joint 14 report, so you can find it there, and I believe it will also be 15 on the Web site. 16 MR. LAMBERT: And that concludes the report, Your 17 Honor, unless you have any questions for us. 18 THE COURT: No. All right. 19 Are there any questions from anyone? Any other 20 Mr. Olinde. comments? 21 MR. OLINDE: No. No, comments, Your Honor. I just 22 want to say thanks to Palmer for doing that, but we have 23 nothing further.

Thank you.

Nothing further from Sanofi, Judge.

Okay.

THE COURT:

MR. MOORE:

24

25

**THE COURT:** All right. I think we're ready to 1 2 proceed with the call docket. I understand that some of you 3 need to leave for a conference with Magistrate Judge North, so 4 I'll excuse you at that time. I need to go pick up my call 5 docket. Oh, you have it. Okay. Great. (WHEREUPON, the Court took a recess.) 6 7 (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were concluded.) \*\*\*\* 8 9 **CERTIFICATE** 10 I, Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter 11 for the United States District Court, Eastern District of 12 Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and 13 correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the 14 15 above-entitled and numbered matter. 16 17 s/Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR
Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR 18 19 Official Court Reporter 20 21 22 23 24

25