		1
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA	
2 3 4	LASTERN DIS	INICI OF LOUISIANA
5 6 7 8	IN RE: VIOXX PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION This case relates to All Case	* Docket MDL 1657-L * April 1, 2011 * 9:00 a.m.
9 10 11	HONORABLE	ERENCE BEFORE THE ELDON E. FALLON ES DISTRICT JUDGE
12 13	<u>Appearances:</u>	
14 15 16	For the Plaintiffs:	Herman Herman Katz & Cotlar, LLP BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. 820 O'Keefe Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113
17 18 19	For the Defendant:	Williams & Connolly, LLP BY: DOUGLAS R. MARVIN, ESQ. 725 Twelfth Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005
20 21 22 23 24 25	Also Participating:	Thomas Juneau, Esq. Elizabeth Cabraser, Esq. John Beisner, Esq. Dawn Barrios, Esq. Robert Johnston, Esq. Ann Oldfather, Esq. Jack Urquhart, Esq. Dorothy Wimberly, Esq. Leonard Davis, Esq.

1	n i	
		2
1	Official Court Reporter: Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street, Room B-40)6
2 3	Official Court Reporter: Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street, Room B-40 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7778	
4		
5		
6	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.	-
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (April 1, 2011) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise. 4 Be seated, please. 5 **THE COURT:** Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 6 Call the case. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1657, In Re: Vioxx. 8 THE COURT: Counsel make their appearance for the 9 record, please. 10 **MR. MARVIN:** Good morning, Your Honor. May it please 11 the Court. Douglas Marvin for Merck. 12 MR. HERMAN: Good morning, Judge Fallon. Russ Herman 13 for plaintiffs. 14 **THE COURT:** We are here today for our monthly status 15 conference. I received a proposed agenda from the parties. Т 16 met in advance with the lead and liaison counsel and discussed 17 it with them. I will take it in the order in which they have 18 presented it. 19 Anything on the Settlement Program? 20 MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, all the claims have been 21 processed. There are some payments that still need to be made, 22 but those are pending appointments of representatives and 23 resolution of lien issues. Everything is proceeding apace. 24 **THE COURT:** There are cases outside of the Settlement 25 Program, though, aren't there?

MR. MARVIN: There are, Your Honor.

1

2 THE COURT: How many are there, about? I know
3 there's the attorney general claims. What other claims are
4 still on?

5 MR. MARVIN: There are personal injury claims that 6 still remain. There are about 175 of those cases that fall 7 into three categories. The first category are the PTO 28 8 cases, the cases that were pending at the time of the 9 settlement and were either ineligible to enroll or chose not to 10 enroll. The second category are the PTO 43 cases. Those are 11 the cases that entered the program but did not pass through the 12 Then there are the PTO 29 cases that were filed after gates. 13 the settlement. So when you total all three categories, it's 14 approximately 175 cases.

15 At the last conference that we had with the 16 Court, Ms. Oldfather, Mr. Birchfield, and myself, an agreement 17 was reached to lift the stay on the PTO 43 cases and the PTO 29 The stay had already been lifted as to the PTO 28 18 cases. 19 So all those cases are now subject to discovery, and we cases. 20 will be talking to Ms. Oldfather and to the PSC about a plan 21 forward on those cases.

THE COURT: That's my concern because I do want to move forward in the cases. We just had a conference with the respective parties. I need to put some structure into the remaining cases, so I have asked the parties to get together

1 and give me some sort of a breakdown on how many fall into each 2 category. We will see where we are, and then I'm going to deal 3 with putting some structure into it so that we can proceed to trial in those particular cases. There's resource problems, 4 5 there's "person power" problems, and a lot of other things that 6 have to be resolved, but I will be on that quickly. Anything from the lien administrator? 7 8 Nothing new, Your Honor. MR. HERMAN: 9 **THE COURT:** What about the special master? 10 MR. HERMAN: Tom Juneau is here. 11 **MR. JUNEAU:** Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas 12 Juneau. I'm here for the special master, Patrick Juneau. Ι 13 will just report very briefly on the four tasks, as I 14 appreciate, that he is working on, Judge. One is the basic 15 Vioxx litigation, two is the lien dispute matter, three is the 16 attorney general matters, and four is the attorney fee 17 I will address each of those individually very disputes. briefly, Judge. 18 19 First, with regard to the basic Vioxx 20 litigation, those tasks have essentially been completed. A11 21 the work has been completed in that matter. 22 Second, with regard to the lien disputes, the 23 work is completed in that matter as well and the report has 24 been submitted. 25 Third, with regard to the attorney general

1 matters, Your Honor, the special master, Mr. Juneau, spoke on 2 Wednesday with a representative of the U.S. Department of 3 Justice. By next week, we expect the Department of Justice to have a final determination with regard to what they will 4 5 require, what language in the settlement release. Once that 6 determination is made, Judge, the special master should be in a position to reinstitute the discussions between Merck and the 7 8 attorney general.

9 The fourth and final matter, Judge, is with 10 regard to the attorney fee disputes. Yesterday, the special 11 master filed his formal report with the Court. All parties 12 have been so notified. The report contains the special 13 master's recommendations and rulings on discovery issues and 14 the allocation process. The report also sets forth a scheduling order with deadlines with regard to the fee 15 16 allocation disputes. The report also did set a hearing date, 17 Judge, and that hearing is set for May 9, 2011.

18 THE COURT: Fine. With regard to that, as I
19 announced on a number of occasions, what I'm doing is
20 collecting facts, collecting information, collecting data,
21 collecting opinions from various sources.

I received fee applications from all of the individuals. The first thing I did was to create a fee allocation committee and empowered them to discuss with the parties who submitted fee applications their position, put it

on the record, take whatever testimony or record their
 discussions, then come up with a proposed allocation, discuss
 it further with the individuals to get their input, and then
 give me a final proposed allocation according to their vantage
 point.

6 I felt that having people who had done the work 7 both on the committee and not on the committee was valuable 8 input. I wanted to tap that input, so that's the way I tapped 9 that input. When I got their proposal, which is only a 10 proposal, I then posted it on the Web site for everybody to 11 see.

12 There were some 17 out of 100, or thereabouts, applications that objected. I gave them an opportunity to 13 14 object, express their objection, and then I appointed a special master, somebody who had not worked on the case, a fresh pair 15 16 of eyes, as it were, to look at the matter, take whatever 17 testimony he felt was appropriate, still necessary, and allow some discovery to the extent he felt was necessary, and then 18 19 for him to give me a proposal.

That way I have suggestions from people who have done the work, have been familiar with the case for a number of years; another person who hasn't done the work, looked at it fresh; and then I'm going to take all of that information and apply what knowledge I have of this particular case and the work done, and then I will come out with a final fee

1 allocation. That's what I'm doing in this process. Thank you 2 very much for your help. 3 **MR. JUNEAU:** Thank you, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Class actions, anything? 5 MR. HERMAN: Nothing new, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: State/federal coordination? MR. HERMAN: Ms. Barrios is here. 7 THE COURT: Wait. You said nothing new. 8 9 MR. HERMAN: I'm sorry. Excuse me, Elizabeth. 10 MS. CABRASER: Your Honor, Elizabeth Cabraser from 11 the PSC, just to report in on the status of the consumer cases. 12 Your Honor has under submission Merck's motion to strike and 13 the plaintiffs' opposition to that. We submitted recently 14 supplemental authority from the Eleventh Circuit upholding class certification under the Florida consumer statute, which 15 16 is one of the statutes at issue in this case. 17 I'm also informed that last week the Kentucky 18 Supreme Court issued an order upholding the Ratliff v. Merck 19 state court Vioxx class action under Kentucky Rule 23 and 20 affirming the denial of summary judgment in that case. We'll submit that decision to Your Honor in due course. 21 22 Other than that, we understand that we are in 23 line after the AG cases in terms of further action by this 24 Court. We recommended to the Court, if we ever get to that 25 point, consideration of the Florida statewide claim as a

1 potential bellwether claim for a common fact trial when we 2 submitted the Eleventh Circuit authority. 3 **THE COURT:** How do you see that unfolding, Elizabeth, from that standpoint? It would be tried here, in Florida, or 4 5 what? 6 MS. CABRASER: It could be tried here, Your Honor, or 7 it could be tried in Florida. Frankly, we would need a 8 substantial meet-and-confer with Merck to fully develop their 9 opinion on it. We would submit some sort of plan to this 10 Court, assuming the claims survive the motion to strike class 11 allegations, and we would take it from there. 12 **THE COURT:** Thank you very much. 13 MS. CABRASER: Thank you. 14 **THE COURT:** John, do you have anything? 15 **MR. BEISNER:** Your Honor, if I may, first of all, let 16 me address the Kentucky order because the order from the 17 Kentucky Supreme Court is different than my esteemed counsel indicated. 18 19 We had filed in Kentucky a petition for writ of 20 mandamus, which at the time we filed it was the only appellate 21 mechanism available in Kentucky for review of a class 22 certification order. Since that time, the state has adopted a 23 rule comparable to Rule 23(f) that permits interlocutory 24 appellate review. The trial court there, after it issued its 25 initial order, issued a second class certification order from

which we have taken an appeal under that new Kentucky
 procedure.

3 All that the Supreme Court did was to say, "We are denying the writ of mandamus," but they were explicit that 4 5 they were not addressing the merits of the class certification 6 order issued by the trial court and explicitly acknowledged 7 that that would be considered by the Kentucky Court of Appeals 8 through the new process that's been invoked. So, in short, 9 there's been no determination made by the Kentucky 10 Supreme Court as to the appropriateness of class certification 11 in that case. 12 Your Honor, with respect to the road ahead in 13 this proceeding -- and I'm not sure counsel is disagreeing with 14 this -- I think the first step is resolving the threshold motions that we have made here. I'm not sure that much purpose 15 16 is served, in terms of talking about trials and other things, 17 until we know if there's to be a class of any sort. 18 Of course, this was a motion to strike, so there 19 would still need to be an affirmative motion for class 20 certification the Court would need to grant before we can talk 21 about trial, so just note that. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

23 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, Ms. Barrios is here on
24 Item V.

25

22

MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Mr. Herman.

Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the 1 2 federal/state committee. We continue to whittle down the cases 3 before you that have remand motions. I have some statistics that would be a subset of what Mr. Marvin had reported. There 4 5 are 114 cases open with pending remands, which is a reduction 6 from last month. Of those 114 cases, we have 171 plaintiffs in 7 those. Again, that would be a subset of Mr. Marvin's. 8 With regard to attempting to help clean up the 9 docket, I have a chart that I provided to both Ms. Wimberly and 10 Mr. Birchfield yesterday that needs attention. There are six 11 cases, four of which deal with estate issues and two of which 12 deal with derivative claimants. The case is closed, but one 13 party is still hanging there. With your permission, I will 14 give that to your law clerk for administrative dismissal. 15 Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 What about pro se claimants? Anything on pro se 18 claimants? 19 I saw Mr. Johnston earlier. MR. HERMAN: 20 MR. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, Bob Johnston, curator for 21 the *pro se* claimants. As I have reported to the Court in the 22 most recent status conferences, we continue to see the expected 23 decline in the number of calls. We continue to get calls, but 24 I really think the only thing I have to communicate to the 25 Court is, as has happened over the last several months, things

1 are going very smoothly. 2 THE COURT: Good. 3 **MR. JOHNSTON:** We assist the individuals, trying to explain the circumstances of their particular situation, and I 4 5 think we generally get through to them. Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 7 For those on the phone who may be pro se 8 claimants, please check the Web site. You'll get 9 Mr. Johnston's name and telephone number. If you need to call 10 him, he is available to answer questions that you might have. 11 Governmental actions, anything? 12 MR. HERMAN: Thank you, Bob. 13 May it please the Court. Judge Fallon, with 14 regard to the Louisiana attorney general action, the PSC papers 15 are of record. I do want to clarify one issue, and that is 16 that the PSC does not request in any way that the Justice 17 Department and its representatives are subject to common benefit in this forum. I want to make that clear. 18 Our 19 position as to attorneys general, however, remains the same. 20 I do want to thank Ms. Barrios, who continues to 21 monitor this matter for the PSC; Mr. Dugan, who tried the 22 Louisiana AG case and who has been involved in this issue for 23 some time; Mr. Seeger for his advice; and Ms. Cabraser for her 24 interests and advices. 25 **THE COURT:** Pending personal injury cases, we talked

1 about that already. Ann, anything from you? MS. OLDFATHER: No, Your Honor. Mr. Marvin 2 3 summarized where we were. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 The fee allocation committee, we talked about 6 that. Do you need to discuss it? 7 **MR. HERMAN:** Your Honor, Ms. Snapka's attorney has 8 requested that he be allowed to address the Court. 9 MR. URQUHART: Let me introduce myself, Your Honor. 10 My name is Jack Urguhart and I represent Kathryn Snapka. We 11 have filed as recently as yesterday a motion that addresses the 12 transfer of funds from BrownGreer to Michael Stratton and have 13 raised an issue specific to Ms. Snapka. It's not my intention 14 to take the Court's time to deal with that except to draw the Court's attention to the fact that we have asked for an 15 16 expedited submission date because of the circumstances that we 17 have described in our motion. 18 **THE COURT:** Any comments on that? 19 MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. We should be able to 20 respond to those papers by next Friday, which I believe would 21 be the 8th. 22 **THE COURT:** Is that okay with you? I can do it on 23 the phone. I don't want you to fly in or be imposed upon. My 24 thinking on it is that the way to do it may well be to deposit 25 the funds in the registry of the Court. I will rule that you

1 don't have possession of them, the Court has possession of 2 them, and we'll deal with that later on. 3 Let's just cut through that right now. I will 4 put out that order that allows you to deposit the money into 5 the registry of the Court. That will indicate that you don't 6 have possession of it and that will take off the slack from the It will be in the registry of the Court, and I 7 income tax. 8 will just deal with that when it's appropriate. 9 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, in light of those comments, 10 if counsel agrees, it won't be necessary for us to file papers. 11 THE COURT: I understand the issues and I think you 12 have a valid point. I don't want you to have to worry about 13 what to do with the money or what to do with the income tax or 14 anything of that sort, so I'll put out an order to that effect. 15 MR. URQUHART: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 16 **MR. HERMAN:** Your Honor, as a matter of professional 17 courtesy, I don't think Mr. Becnel is here today, Daniel 18 Becnel. He may have the same problem. He is represented by 19 other counsel. 20 **THE COURT:** Communicate what my feeling is to 21 Mr. Becnel. If he wishes to do the same thing, I will do the 22 same thing for him. Thank you very much for bringing it to my 23 attention. 24 Merck's motions, anything on that? 25 MR. HERMAN: Ms. Wimberly is here, Your Honor.

1 **THE COURT:** We will do that afterwards. Anything on 2 appeals? 3 MR. HERMAN: No, Your Honor. 4 **THE COURT:** I have a meeting with the attorneys 5 general. That's next, Jim. 6 The next status conference is June 1. I'll meet 7 with the lead and liaison counsel at 8:30, and I'll have an 8 open court and telephone availability at 9:00. 9 Anything that I haven't covered? 10 **MS. WIMBERLY:** Your Honor, Mr. Davis just requested, 11 because of their meeting that they have immediately after this, 12 if we could just go ahead and take up the motions now. It will 13 just take a couple minutes. 14 THE COURT: Sure. That's fine. 15 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, while that's going on, I 16 would like to speak with Mr. Juneau one moment regarding the AG 17 matter. Okay. 18 THE COURT: 19 MS. WIMBERLY: Your Honor, we have three motions on 20 the docket today, all of which were continued from the status 21 conference and hearing date of February 24. Let me take them 22 up in the order which will be the easiest to resolve. 23 The first is the motion to dismiss the claims of 24 pro se plaintiff Mark Henrichs under Rule 25(a)(1) for failure 25 to substitute a proper party. That is Record Document 61281.

1 As the Court may recall, at the February 24 2 hearing, we were instructed to attempt to contact the heirs of 3 Mr. Henrichs and to advertise to do that. We subsequently obtained, with the assistance of the pro se curator's office, 4 5 the addresses of the four heirs and received the Court's 6 permission to contact them at those addresses. On March 9, we sent via FedEx correspondence to 7 8 each of the four heirs: Scott Henrichs, who is the brother of 9 the decedent, Mr. Henrichs; John Henrichs, another brother; 10 Margaret Henrichs, the mother of the deceased; and Martha 11 Henrichs Head, the sister of the deceased. 12 We received signed confirmations of delivery to 13 all four of these heirs and we have received nothing further; 14 no contact whatsoever, no indication that anyone desires to 15 substitute and carry on the litigation. We would ask that the 16 Court grant our motion and dismiss the claims of Mr. Henrichs 17 with prejudice. 18 **THE COURT:** Anything? 19 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, Leonard Davis on behalf of 20 the plaintiffs' steering committee. We reurge our same 21 objection that this not be dismissed with prejudice if there's 22 to be a dismissal. 23 **THE COURT:** Anything from you, Ann? 24 No, Your Honor. MS. OLDFATHER: 25 **THE COURT:** As I mentioned, I don't do these matters

1 willy-nilly. I do everything I can to notify everybody 2 concerned. I think we have done that in this case. It's over 3 There are people who move on with their lives. five years now. There are people who have changed their mind. They don't wish 4 5 to pursue the case. If they don't wish to pursue the case, 6 they have that right. They have by their silence indicated 7 they do not wish to pursue the case. I will grant the motion. 8 I overrule the opposition of the plaintiffs' committee and 9 grant the motion with prejudice.

MS. WIMBERLY: The second motion that was also
continued from February 24 is the motion to dismiss the claims
of Pedro Gonzalez with prejudice pursuant to Rule 25(a)(1).
That is Record Document 61280.

We have received nothing from anyone related to Mr. Gonzalez' estate. The only contact has come from his counsel, one of whom is Ms. Oldfather, who indicated that they have not been able to get any sort of response from Mr. Gonzalez' heirs and they intend to move to withdraw.

Your Honor, while we certainly can understand their desire to withdraw, we don't want to be left hanging, having to try to search and find this plaintiff. I don't know whether Ms. Oldfather knows whether, in fact, her address is a good address or have they had any problems with deliveries, but we don't want to be on the hook to have to search this plaintiff down whose family is not even communicating with

1 their own counsel.

MS. OLDFATHER: I apologize, Your Honor, for having to discuss this now. I think it's a victim of Dorothy's traveling yesterday because usually we would have sorted this out.

6 Mr. Gonzalez is in a completely different 7 situation than Mr. Henrichs, who is *pro se*, which is why the 8 Court required Merck to make an effort to find his heirs. 9 Mr. Gonzalez was represented by Mr. Feathers and I am 10 co-counsel in that case. We are in direct contact with his 11 wife. It's just that she is not responding to our advice that 12 she go ahead and open up the estate. For that reason, we are 13 going to withdraw as counsel.

I had thought we had agreed that this motion would be passed to give us time to file that withdrawal within the next week or two and give the Court time to rule, but maybe I misunderstood our conversation.

MS. WIMBERLY: No, we don't have any objection to that, Your Honor, but we would like the matter to be reset for the June 1 conference.

21 THE COURT: Let's do that. I will pass it until the 22 June conference.

23

MS. OLDFATHER: I have no objection to that.

MS. WIMBERLY: But also to require that any motion towithdraw be filed within the next 10 days.

MS. OLDFATHER: Agreed. **THE COURT:** That's fine. MS. WIMBERLY: That brings us to the last motion, Your Honor, which is the motion relating to Mr. Harrison. We did discuss this briefly in chambers. This is the motion to dismiss his case for failure to comply with the discovery requirements of Pretrial Order 28. This motion is somewhat unusual in that Mr. Harrison did, after several hearings and the Court's order, finally provide his case-specific expert report a year and a half or so ago, but what he has flat-out refused to do until this time is to provide his signed authorizations and medical records and list of providers. We had reached an agreement with Mr. Harrison to continue our motion to this hearing date and he had agreed that he would either comply or provide an opposition by March 14. That date came and he indicated he was going to comply. We did not receive anything. I was contacted by Ms. Oldfather Wednesday She indicated that Mr. Harrison had contacted her and evening. indicated that he was hospitalized due to complications from an infection with a knee replacement, and she asked that we agree to pass the motion for 60 days.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 While ordinarily we would agree, what we are 25 asking for, as we indicated in chambers, is signed

1 authorizations. We have reached an agreement whereby I am 2 going to FedEx those authorizations to Mr. Harrison at his 3 hospital location, where he has agreed to execute them within Included in my FedEx to him, which I am going to 4 48 hours. 5 have for Saturday delivery, tomorrow, will be a return FedEx 6 envelope and label so that he can send those back to us. So 7 what we had previously agreed is that we would like to have 8 these executed within -- was it 10 days?

9

MS. OLDFATHER: 48 hours.

10 MS. WIMBERLY: He has agreed to do so within 4811 hours.

12 Your Honor, what was brought to my attention 13 this morning is that while that's going to be great, it's also 14 going to be somewhat worthless because he has never told us who 15 his providers are. I realize that he may not have access to 16 all of his records at this point in time since he is 17 hospitalized. We would ask that within 30 days, sooner if possible, that he also be required to provide us with the names 18 19 of his providers, and that within 30 days after his release 20 from the hospital that he complete the plaintiff profile form that would have that information in more detail so that we can 21 22 act on those records.

THE COURT: Let's take it a step at a time, Ann.
Let's get the signed documentation first and coordinate getting
this information --

MS. OLDFATHER: Yes, Your Honor.

1

2

THE COURT: -- and we'll see where we are.

MS. OLDFATHER: Your Honor, just for Mr. Harrison's 3 benefit, I will repeat what I told the Court in chambers, which 4 5 is Mr. Harrison's refusal to sign the authorizations was not 6 arbitrary. As an attorney, I can say it was misinformed. But 7 at least from his perspective, it was a principled but 8 misinformed stand on what he thought was his need to insist on 9 reciprocal discovery from Merck. He has assured me that he 10 will comply promptly with all the requirements of the pretrial 11 orders that apply to him.

12 So at this point, Your Honor, if I understand 13 the Court's ruling, we are going to get the authorizations. Ι 14 will facilitate the back-and-forth between Ms. Wimberly and 15 Mr. Harrison, to the extent that it's necessary, to get as 16 prompt compliance as we can with the providers and the 17 plaintiff profile form, but I'm not in a position to agree to the time limits because I don't know -- we didn't think about 18 19 that to discuss it is the problem.

THE COURT: I understand. At this point, let's focus
on getting the material.

22 Mr. Harrison, I need you to cooperate. I'm 23 trying to focus on your case, but I need the information 24 distributed so that I can properly focus on your case. I am 25 urging and instructing you to comply with that and to provide

1 that information. If you don't comply, I'm going to entertain 2 a motion to dismiss your case, so you need to know that. MS. WIMBERLY: So, Your Honor, could we reset this 3 4 for the June 1 hearing? 5 THE COURT: Okay. I'll reset it. 6 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. Your Honor, 7 Ms. Barrios will be the PSC's designated representative at the 8 attorneys general conference. 9 THE COURT: Anything else on this other than the 10 attorneys general? Thank you very much. We will meet in a 11 moment on the attorneys general. The Court will stand in 12 recess. 13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise. 14 (WHEREUPON the Court was in recess.) * * * 15 16 CERTIFICATE 17 I, Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District 18 19 of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true 20 and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and 21 understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. 22 23 24 s/ Toni Doyle Tusa CCR, FCRR Toni Doyle Tusa, 25 Official Court Reporter