

1	n i	
		2
1	Official Court Reporter: Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street, Room B-40)6
2 3	Official Court Reporter: Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street, Room B-40 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7778	
4		
5		
6	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.	-
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	
	3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(November 21, 2008)
3	THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
4	THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies
5	and gentlemen.
6	Call the case, please.
7	THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1657, In Re: Vioxx.
8	THE COURT: Counsel make their appearance for the
9	record.
10	MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. Russ Herman
11	for plaintiffs.
12	MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, Douglas Marvin for Merck.
13	THE COURT: We have a number of people in the
14	courtroom and also a number on the phone. I met with the
15	liaison committee and received from them a proposed report. I
16	have added some material to it. I will take it in the order
17	they are given.
18	First, the Settlement Agreement. Any report on
19	that?
20	MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. On October 31, 2008,
21	the Court entered Pretrial Order 6E to set forth guidelines
22	regarding the effect of submitting common benefit affidavits.
23	We have had 108 affidavits submitted. All of the pretrial
24	orders, in connection with settlement and corollary issues, are
25	stated in the order and will be posted on the Web site.

Mr. Benjamin has written the Court. Mr. Marvin will address
 that.

MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, I understand there has been
correspondence that Mr. Benjamin and Merck have both sent to
the Court, and I understand that that would be taken under
advisement.

THE COURT: I'll be ruling on those hopefully this
coming week. I have reviewed them and done the necessary
research, and I will be writing my opinion over the weekend.

MR. MARVIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

10

11

With regard to the common benefit, what I have done with common benefit is that I have been meeting with the CPA group that has been appointed by the Court in this matter. I have received from them at least four or five booklets so far bringing me up to date on the material that they have received and that they are organizing.

The next step will be for the parties to have an opportunity to express themselves to the fee committee that I have appointed. They will either take testimony or let anybody say what they need to say to explain their position. The fee committee will make some recommendations and then that will all be posted.

Anybody having any objections to the recommendations, if there be any, then I will go into the next

step. I will appoint several either ex-judges or individuals who have experience in this. I will also appoint at least one attorney to get evidence, and then they will make a recommendation to me. Based on that, I will make the necessary ruling. If there's agreement, that's important to me. If there isn't, then I will do it that way.

7 How about the settlement statistics? Anything8 on that?

9 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, on page 6, before we get to
10 that, the Court has requested a protocol on medical records.
11 That's in the works. I wanted to report that to Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Good. With regard to that, I have been 13 receiving a number of medical records. The various doctors and 14 institutions have been forwarding records to me. I have been 15 giving those to liaison counsel to transmit to the parties that 16 requested it.

I'm also receiving calls from, generally, the CEOs of the pharmacy companies or the hospitals. They want to know whether they have to be in court on a particular day. They received my order, but that's the first request. Nobody has asked for the records; instead, they are sent the order that I have prepared in the matter. So that's creating some difficulty.

I don't know whether requests were made downstream of that individual or whether requests were made at 1 all, but that's presenting some problems. So I have to come up 2 with a protocol that sets forth the way in which I would like 3 counsel to proceed in getting the records. They will have to 4 maybe attach an affidavit showing that they have requested, 5 they have been denied, and so forth. We will proceed along 6 that line.

Immediately before Your Honor convening 7 MR. HERMAN: 8 court, Tommy Anzelmo of the bar is here. He advises that he 9 has been contacted by general counsel of Walgreens. He will be 10 working with Mr. Davis. The general counsel of Walgreens would 11 like to coordinate all of the commercial sellers of 12 pharmaceuticals and pharmacies and perhaps that will speed the 13 process.

14 THE COURT: Good. Tommy, I'm glad you're on board 15 with that. If they need to meet with me, I'll meet with them, 16 but we do need the records. That's very important.

MR. ANZELMO: Yes, Your Honor. The plan would be to assemble a list of national counsel for the larger pharmacies, or as many as we can get, and that way national counsel can be alerted as to the requests for the records as opposed to what may be happening now, where a single pharmacist may get it and slow it down.

THE COURT: Okay. Once we do that, if there are any
other pharmacies, I'll get with the CEO and we'll try to break
through some of the deadlock. I'll need the number of the

pharmacies and somebody to tell me the CEO and then I will make
 arrangements for them to come visit with me.

3 **MR. HERMAN:** Mr. Anzelmo and Mr. Davis will report to you on that. Your Honor, I did want to mention for the record 4 5 that approximately 14 individuals who have submitted affidavits 6 for common benefit today have signed up. On December 1, 2, 3, and 5 we will be first in Atlantic City, then in New Orleans, 7 8 then in Houston, then in Los Angeles. At least five members of 9 the committee which you have appointed will be there. There will be a court reporter there. Of the approximately 10 to 15 10 11 individuals that wish to make oral presentations, they have 12 been notified and they have elected where they want to appear. 13 So that's in process.

14 Now, I believe as Your Honor called for,15 BrownGreer is here to advise Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Registration and enrollment of claims in
17 the settlement program.

18 MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Orran 19 Brown, and with me today is Lynn Greer, as usual, from 20 BrownGreer in Richmond. We are the claims administrator for 21 the settlement program. Today we would like to cover with the 22 Court where we are on the sign-ups, the enrollment for the 23 program after our October 30 deadline to enroll in the program 24 has passed, and then Lynn will cover where we stand now on 25 claims processing and payments as well.

When we were here last on October 17, we were looking ahead to the October 30 deadline for eligible claimants to sign up or enroll in the program. Now that we are past that deadline, we can tell the Court kind of where we have fallen and where the dust is settling now on the number of folks who have signed up for this program.

As this slide shows us, we have 48,316 people that have enrolled in the program, *pro se's* and represented claimants, in Row 1. Then we have been working with Merck and its counsel to make sure that we understand the claimants that ought to be in the program, and you have to take out the ones that are not eligible for the program that Merck was aware of.

13 There have been a number of claimants who, as 14 the deadline approached, just simply withdrew their claims or 15 dismissed their cases. They have withdrawn from tolling 16 agreements and are not going to pursue their claims, which gets 17 us down to the number narrowed down in Row 2 of the 48,419 claimants, of which Merck had and we are aware, who are 18 19 seemingly eligible for the program. Then that tells us the difference between those two is only 103 people. 20

So as of October 30, as it has passed, in Row 3 we have 99.79 percent of the population of people that should be enrolled in the program have stepped forward and enrolled in the program. As the smaller text in Row 3 shows us, 91 percent of them had done so by March 31 so that they're interim payment

enrolled or they are eligible for these interim payments that
 are coming out now monthly.

3 That's where we are after October 30. That percentage is a result of a lot of work by this Court with the 4 5 hearings and explaining to claimants the program and the impact 6 or significance of the deadline; a lot of work by Merck and its 7 counsel talking to lawyers and claimants to try to explain the 8 program; folks in our office; folks with the PSC. That push 9 leading up to October 30 has placed us in the position now of 10 making people aware of the deadline and having achieved that 11 99.79 percent enrollment level.

12 There is still some work to be done on the 13 enrollment front. There are some folks that have sent us 14 enrollment materials, but their packages are not complete yet. 15 They're still missing something. This slide shows us, for 16 example, in Row 3 there are 302 people who have sent us some 17 enrollment materials, but they still need to fill out their They got in enough by October 30 for us to know 18 packages. 19 about them, they want to enroll, but they are missing some 20 piece of it. They are missing a release. They are missing a 21 stipulation.

The ones in Row 3, those 302 people are people that are missing more than one of those things. The Row 4 sections and the ones below that show us people who are missing one thing. There's 159 people that are missing one piece, like

nine of them are missing the release, 150 of them are missing
 the stipulation of dismissal.

The column on the right shows us that a number of those folks are ones that the law firms told us in Exhibit A to their certification of final enrollment that they had trouble locating. So we still think that 151 people, on the right, there will be tough for the firms to find, tough to get this filled out and get their packages filled out completely if they are missing one of the components.

10

THE COURT: What's going to happen to those?

11 MR. BROWN: I think, Your Honor, that if they are not 12 enrolled, cannot be found, those are some of the ones where 13 counsel are moving to withdraw. The Court is considering 14 those, entering orders to withdraw. Those folks that end up 15 unrepresented, without counsel, and have a lawsuit pending, I 16 think Merck is probably going to end up doing motions to 17 dismiss those cases. There has got to be a cleanup program for those eventually because they can't be located. 18

The rest of these, where counsel have the ability to get in touch with them, we have been working with the law firms and with the *pro se* folks to get their materials in. Even though October 30 has passed, we have instructions from the parties that we can still work with them to fill out their packages. If they are missing a medical authorization form, we are pointing that out to them. We are trying to get

all that in to get those few hundred people that still have
 some little component or a component of the package missing to
 get them in.

This slide shows us -- although you cannot read it really in the courtroom, it's the report we are using for counsel, where we send that report to every law firm on a regular basis. It lists all of their claimants. It lists in Section A the folks that are successfully IP enrolled, in Section B the ones that are enrolled but not IP and are payment enrolled.

11 Section C are the ones we are talking about, the ones that have some of the parts of the package in but not all 12 13 of them. So we are working with them to tell them what they 14 need to send in and to get that in so that they round out their packages because their claims don't move forward in the claims 15 16 process until we have this in order. So that's the real 17 impetus now, to get that finished. We will continue to do that 18 to try and clean up all of those missing pieces.

The last part of the enrollment front, before we turn to claims, is that we -- Merck and its counsel and the claimants' counsel and the unrepresented claimants -- have been working through, making sure those enrollment documents are complete, that the release is signed by the right people, the pages are all there and all. This has been a long process for all the parties, the lawyers. It is always, in every

settlement, a fairly tedious process to get the paperwork in order. We are nearing the end of the enrollment deficiency phase. Merck and its counsel have been working through these documents. We have been working with the unrepresented and the claimants' counsel to make them complete and help them through that process.

7 This example on the slide is a report, our 4061 8 report, that we send to a counsel, a primary counsel, that 9 lists all of their people that have any sort of outstanding 10 release deficiencies. These numbers all have a meaning. They 11 are all tagged to a particular condition in the release or a 12 stipulation and to help them understand what they still need to 13 do.

Ideally, these things were supposed to all be cleaned up by November 30, and we have been out explaining the November 30 deadline to counsel. We are working with Merck and the PSC to help people finish these packages. If firms need more time beyond November 30 to get this done, we and the parties are going to work with them to make that happen.

This is something we all want to finish. There's been a lot of work by a lot of people to get us to this point, but we are very close to the end of the process on the enrollment deficiency documents and making sure that they are ready and the claims can keep moving. Unless we get all of this cleared up, claims can't go forward in the claims process,

1 for the most part, so we are trying to keep all of that on 2 track. 3 Your Honor, Lynn now will cover where we are on the claims process. 4 5 THE COURT: Fine. Thanks very much for your report. 6 MR. BROWN: Thank you. 7 MS. GREER: Good morning, Your Honor. Lynn Greer 8 from BrownGreer. I would like to cover with the Court where we 9 are in the claims process. This is our slide that we put up 10 every month showing the Court the progress that firms have made 11 in submission of claims materials. 12 You will see in the first row that 41,273 13 claimants have submitted a claims form and the PME records, 14 which are the pharmacy, medical, and event records that we need 15 to be able to commence the gates review process in our system. 16 Rows 2 through 6 show various levels of 17 deficiencies in claims package submission, with the most 18 glaring being Row 2, where we have received nothing from over 19 4,000 claimants. This means even the minimum claims form or a 20 piece of a medical record we have not received from over 4,000 21 claimants. 22 Row 3 shows that 1,900 claimants have submitted 23 a claims form, but we can't commence a review and the claims 24 package cannot be deemed complete with just a claims form. We 25 do need either proof of Vioxx use or an event record to

1 accompany that.

2 Row 4 shows 521 claimants who have not submitted 3 a claims form but submitted some form of claims material. Rows 5 and 6 also show a breakdown of various 4 5 components of claims packages, either with or without claims 6 forms, but not constituting enough for us to begin review. We have, over the past couple of weeks, received 7 8 a lot of claims submissions, which is good. Our team is 9 working through receiving those and coding those in the There are about 400, 380 claims packages that as of 10 database. 11 yesterday were still in our system being coded. We have 12 received materials from 935 different firms and pro se's have 13 submitted 347 material submissions. 14 This next slide shows our efforts and the firm's 15 efforts to comply with deficiency notices that we have sent. 16 Your Honor, this started back in the summer under Exhibit 1.5 17 of the Settlement Agreement. We were to notify claimants with material deficiencies of claims package deficiencies and give 18 19 them three opportunities to submit cure documents. We have now 20 sent three separate official notices from the claims 21 administrator that have been posted on the firm's secure Web 22 site. We have sent notices to 176 unrepresented claimants. 23 These notices have given them the built-in extensions to the 24 July 1 claims package deadline. 25 Since we have sent those out -- and we have sent 1 those to a total of 13,446 persons who did not comply with the 2 July 1 claims package deadline. Of those 13,000, over 5,000 3 have submitted documents that cure those deficiencies. As of 4 yesterday, there were still 8,347 claimants from whom we had 5 not received the requisite materials.

6 For the record, Your Honor, just to make sure 7 that counsel understand, we are not looking for the perfect 8 claims package here. What we need to be able to take them off 9 the deficiency list is a claims form and either proof that they 10 used Vioxx or an event record, a record surrounding the care 11 and treatment of the event. That's all we need. We are not 12 saying that that comprises a complete claims package for full 13 review, but that's what counsel need. We have tried to urge 14 firms in the notices and in our e-mail last reminders that 15 that's all we are looking for and encouraging them not to hold 16 on to what they have, to go ahead and send whatever they have 17 to us.

18 Your Honor, Row 6 shows that of the 13,446 19 notices that we have posted, we were trying to figure out -- we 20 had this phenomenon a few months ago where we were worried that 21 a lot of the notices had not even been opened on the secure 22 portal. We have determined that 345 firms who represent 12,785 23 of the 13,000 claimants have viewed at least one notice. 24 Theoretically, if they view one notice, they know what the 25 others contain.

1 We are going to have an effort over the next few 2 days to identify the firms who represent the remaining thousand 3 and make sure they understand the significance of the deadline. The deadline is fast approaching. It is November 30, which is 4 5 the Sunday after Thanksgiving. Firms have either got to submit 6 us their claims packages, upload them, send them to us 7 postmarked by that date, or they have to request an extension 8 from that deadline. We have to have that request for extension 9 also by November 30.

In that request for extension, they need to be able to show good cause, even just a paragraph showing their efforts, and they have to show that further efforts will likely yield results. If we find those two components in a request, we are granting extensions currently until December 15. We can in no event extend the deadline beyond December 30.

16 THE COURT: Are you receiving any late-filed claims,
17 that is to say, who missed the October 30 deadline?

18 MS. GREER: We have not, Your Honor. We have tried 19 to -- those who had enrolled by October 30, we have caught up 20 with those notices and have sent those to them because they 21 would not have received the first two notices of claims package 22 deficiencies. Every day we redouble our efforts, as we have 23 gotten in materials that were postmarked by October 30 for 24 enrolled claimants, to make sure they are aware of this claims 25 package deadline as well.

1 Your Honor, the consequences of not meeting the 2 November 30 deadline are that, in the week following 3 November 30, we will send a notice of nonsubmitting program claimant to each claimant who remains on this list of 4 5 deficiencies. That will have the effect of ceasing their 6 rights, ending their rights under the settlement program. We 7 will then deliver their release and any stipulation of 8 dismissal to Merck, and Merck may file that stipulation of 9 dismissal.

If we send a notice of nonsubmitting program
claimant, the Settlement Agreement gives claimants 15 business
days to appeal that determination, and those appeals go
directly to the special masters for decision.

14 **THE COURT:** Of course, the last time, we had tried to 15 focus everybody on the October 30 deadline. I said something 16 about it during our meeting here and then I issued an order for 17 everyone from the southern states to come to New Orleans so 18 that I could talk with them. We had about 100 people that 19 showed up here. Also, from the East Coast, I arranged with the 20 New York courts to get a courtroom there. With their help, we 21 were able to communicate with the people there via television 22 hookup. About 100, 150 people showed up in New York. Then, 23 for the Midwest, I contacted a courthouse in Chicago and we did 24 that in Chicago. I was able to discuss it with people who were 25 present in Chicago. Another 100 or so showed up. Do we have

any feeling as to whether or not those conferences helped in
 any way?

MS. GREER: Yes, Your Honor, they did. They did. I believe -- and Orran can speak to this more definitively, but that had the result of bringing in many of the remaining nonenrollees who were eligible to enroll by that time. So they yielded a lot of really positive results.

8 This slide shows where we are generally with 9 claims in the claims process. Row 1 is the same 8,300 claimants that still have material deficiencies. Row 2 shows 10 11 that there are over 14,000 that are in the queue for gates 12 review. As you know, Your Honor, around the July 1 deadline, 13 we had about a third of all of the submitted claims packages 14 come in in a two-day period. We are now pulling from the queue 15 claims packages that were submitted on July 1 itself, the 16 claims package deadline. So any claim that is in Row 2 should 17 be claims packages that were either submitted on that day or after. 18

Now, that doesn't mean that claimants have heard yet what the results of our gate determination is. Row 3 shows that over 17,000 are still somewhere in the gates process. That can be either in our initial review for the gates, it can be in our QC review, some of these claims are with the gate committee, some of these claims are ones where we have notified the firms of their gate determination and they are either

trying to give us more information or they are somewhere in
 that process, which is a long process.

Row 4 shows that over 4,000 claims have moved beyond the gate process and are now being reviewed for points. When we do the points review, we are obviously looking for the points assessments, but this is also where we do a more substantive claims deficiency package review to make sure we have, within the proof of use records, all of the records that we need to be able to identify overall duration of drug use.

10 Row 5 shows that from August, when interim 11 payments began, through October we paid 1,315 claimants. The 12 claims that are scheduled to be paid next week, which is one 13 month's work, is 1,740. What this slide shows, Your Honor, is 14 that we are now at a point -- with both the claims filings, the 15 complete claims, our review process, information that we have 16 been able to get from the lien administrator, and the work of a 17 lot of people, we are on pace to pay between 1,500 and 2,000 18 claims a month. In fact, that is what we are doing next week.

19 THE COURT: How much money has gone out so far? 20 MS. GREER: The last slide will give you the specific 21 dollars, Your Honor. Through next Tuesday, then, we will have 22 paid over 3,000 claims. Our goal, then, would be by the end of 23 the year to have made interim payments to 5,000 claimants.

This is a slide, Your Honor, that we have not shown before. We have obviously been gathering data as we 1 review the claims. What this slide shows is that based on our 2 review of claims -- and I want to make it very clear. These 3 are average points by injury level for MI claims. These are claims that have been found eligible at gates and have been 4 5 through our process. This does not purport to show the average 6 points across all claims. This is a very small group, relatively speaking, of over 3,000 claims that have reached the 7 8 point of being final.

9 What this shows is that Injury Level 1, the 10 average points -- a point, now, Your Honor, is \$1,915. That's 11 how it equates to a point. Injury Level 1, the average points 12 there -- and these are the fatal injury claims -- are 233.89 point average; Level 2, 200.56 point average; Level 3, 153.61; 13 14 Level 4, 106.99; Level 5, 89.61. I'll stop here, Your Honor. The majority of claims are falling on Injury Level 5. 15 Injury 16 Level 6, 60.34 average points.

17 Row 7 is the special marker percentage. Special 18 marker claims are ones that, after our review, have less than 19 ten points. A special marker claimant has different options. 20 They are able to elect a fixed payment of \$5,000 and end their 21 claim at that point. About 5 percent of the total claims we 22 have reviewed for these purposes are coming in at less than ten 23 points and are special marker claims.

24 Of the 3,811 notice of points awards that we 25 have issued, this slide shows where they are falling. There

are about 200 -- 197 that have accepted, and they were just
 accepted in the last few days. They didn't make it on the
 November pay list. These are ones that will definitely be paid
 in December.

5 211 have filed preliminary appeals to us asking 6 us to look again at their claims. In many instances this is a 7 time where the firm submits additional documentation to us for 8 review. Nine have gone ahead and proceeded all the way to the 9 special master. The special masters within the last week have 10 been assigned nine claims. We assign those to the three 11 special masters on a random rolling basis.

12 Ten are in special review, and these are special 13 marker claimants who have not elected a fixed payment. At the 14 very, very end of the process, the special masters will review the claims. These, again, are ones where the initial point 15 16 value is less than 10. 329 have not given us their decision on 17 how they are going to proceed. Then the 3,055 are those who are paid or will be paid. So what this shows, with the 18 19 80 percent there of the payment row, once a claim has a notice 20 of points award, very quickly they are able to be paid if they 21 accept that report.

This is the payment slide, Your Honor, that you were asking about. The total dollars that will be paid through next Tuesday are \$247,819,343. That will have gone to 3,055 claimants, 167 law firms. Again, the current MI point value

1 that we used to compute the payment is \$1,915. The total 2 interim payment paid to nonspecial markers is that \$247,000,300 3 113 are those that have been paid to the special figure. markers, the \$5,000 fixed payment, for about \$500,000, bringing 4 5 the total to the \$247,819,343. Payments are going out every 6 month, usually the third week of the month, and will continue 7 going forward. 8 The point projection for the stroke points will 9 be made in February 2009, so no stroke claim has been paid. We 10 are reviewing stroke claims now to be able to work on the 11 projection for the stroke point value. 12 Do you have any questions? 13 THE COURT: No. Thank you very much. 14 Thank you. MS. GREER: 15 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, Mr. Garretson is here to 16 report --17 **MR. WILLIAMS:** John Eddie Williams. Your Honor, is 18 it appropriate, since I'm an advocate for almost 2,000 people, 19 to ask some questions at this time because we are getting calls 20 from clients legitimately? 21 THE COURT: Sure. From the administrators? 22 MR. WILLIAMS: If we could, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 **MR. WILLIAMS:** That way people could read it on the 25 record.

THE COURT: Sure. What's on your mind? 1 2 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Judge, as I see it -- I did a rough 3 math -- about 5 percent of the money has been paid out. By the 4 end of the year, only about 10 percent of the claimants will 5 have received 40 percent of their payment. So people are 6 asking -- and when this thing was revealed to us, people said we would be getting money to the clients this past September. 7 8 I understand the lawyers have drug their feet in 9 some ways or worked hard in others to get the stuff, but the question I get from clients is: When will this money be paid? 10 11 At this pace, if you could do 1,500 a month and you have 45,000 12 to do, the math seems to be another 30 months, 2.5 years, to 13 get this wrapped up at this pace. So I think clients 14 legitimately want to know: When do we expect this to be 15 wrapped up? 16 The statistics are very revealing and 17 They want to know: When do I get my money? So educational. 18 when do we anticipate, A, I guess, the heart attacks all being 19 paid, the heart cases? When will that be wrapped up? When 20 will the strokes get their first payment and when will that be 21 wrapped up? That's kind of where I'm headed, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Can you answer that? 23 **MS. GREER:** Yes. Your Honor, the estimate now is 24 that the final payment for the MI claims will be summer of 25 2009. The 40,000 figure is right in terms of the claimants

that are coming in the door, but not all of those will be paid.
 We do have a gates process where a percentage of those will
 ultimately be found ineligible. So, as we do our projections,
 we count on obviously fewer than the 40,000 being paid.

5 So much of this process depends on the gate 6 failure rate, the rate of appeals, and what we are trying to do 7 is to take and pay at least 2,000 claimants, with a goal being 8 that as we do that faster for the MI claims we believe that 9 summer 2009 is a realistic projection for when MI claims are 10 going to be paid.

11 **THE COURT:** With regard to some of the claims, we all 12 know that there's some process involved. If the claim sails 13 through the process, then they will get paid, of course. If 14 they fail from the administrative standpoint, there's still 15 hope for them. They can go to the gates committee. If they go 16 to the gates committee and fail, there's still some hope for 17 them. They can go to the special masters for appeal. So that's going to take a little time. 18

I would hope that we would pick the speed up a bit from the 1,700, maybe 2,000 or 3,000 or 4,000. So what you're saying is that you would project that by the summer of 2009 you will finish paying the MI claims?

MS. GREER: Right. Right. That all depends,
Your Honor, too, on holding firm on this claims package
submission deadline. We, obviously, cannot complete our

1 reviews if packages aren't coming in and records aren't coming 2 in. That's why this deadline is so very important. 3 THE COURT: That's why I've been speaking out publicly as much as I can and also from here, as well as the TV 4 5 presentations in the other courts. Some of this is being 6 delayed because documents haven't been forthcoming. They 7 haven't been submitted. Occasionally, the lawyer will send in 8 the first set of documents and then go on to the next case or 9 go on into some trials. It's important that they stick with 10 the process and finish it up. If they finish it up, they will 11 get paid quickly. 12 Do you have any other questions? 13 MR. WILLIAMS: I do, Your Honor. I failed to 14 understand. The summer of 2009, is that for the first 15 40 percent payment? 16 **MS. GREER:** That's the final payment. 17 MR. WILLIAMS: So the MI claimants, by summer of 18 2009, should get a full 100 hundred percent of their payment? 19 **MS. GREER:** That is what we are currently projecting. 20 You also asked about the stroke claims. The first interim 21 payment for stroke claims will be in February of 2009. So, 22 under the Settlement Agreement, we are to fully review 2,500 23 stroke claims and come up with a point projection for the 24 stroke claims by February 1, 2009. We anticipate it will 25 follow the same pattern as the MI claims. We came up with that

point value on August 1 and paid MI claims in August of this
 year. So the stroke claims, we anticipate, will start being
 paid in February.

THE COURT: Probably the pace will pick up because they will have a lot more experience and the lawyers will have a lot more experience. Conceivably, the stroke claims will be paid faster.

8 MR. WILLIAMS: I'm not sure what percentage of the 9 48,000 are MI claims, but if we are doing 1,500 or 2,000 a 10 month and, say, there's another nine months, if you do the 11 math, even at 2,000 a month, that equals 18,000 claims between 12 now and the end of the summer of 2009. I don't know how that 13 math works out. The math doesn't work out to me is what I want 14 to point out. It leaves me to --

15 THE COURT: Lynn, do you have any comments on that? 16 MS. GREER: We are committed to making the MI final payments next summer. There's still a lot we don't know in 17 18 terms of the rate of gate failures that are coming in. Right 19 now, we can't even say what the gate failure rate will be 20 because the gate cases haven't gone all the way through the gate committee and Merck hasn't made decisions yet on which 21 22 claims they are going to push back into the program. Based on 23 what we are seeing now, we believe we will be able to make the 24 MI final payments next summer. The rate of MI claims versus 25 stroke claims, it's about 70/30, so the stroke claims are fewer

1

in number.

2 There are a lot of nuances, too, that may affect 3 an MI claimant's ability to be paid. If, for example, claims 4 assert dual injuries, an MI and an IS claim, and one fails and 5 one passes -- and there are a lot of things that, on an 6 individual claimant level, you may be getting questions about 7 that on the face appears that the claim should be paid, but 8 there may be some procedural reasons why it can't be. So we 9 encourage counsel with specific requests to always call us, and 10 we can try to give you an estimate of a place in the queue or 11 what's going on with an individual claim. 12 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Judge, I don't mean to be tough on 13 this, but this is my clients who are calling me. If I do the 14 math, if 70 percent of the claims -- roughly, 37,000 -- are MI, 15 you have nine months until the end of next summer, and you're 16 doing it max 2,000 a month, that's 18,000. 17 **THE COURT:** That's what she's doing now, though. 18 Don't you understand? That's what she's doing now, but it 19 picks up. She may be doing 5,000 or 10,000 a month. It picks 20 up. That's why she's committed to do it in the summer of 2009. 21 The first month was like 100. Now they are 22 Hopefully, by the next couple weeks, it will be 5,000 a 1,700. 23 month. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: I understand, Your Honor. We were 25 also told, when the settlement was done, that these people

1 should expect their first payment this past September. I'm 2 being tough and it's because I'm being an advocate for these 3 people because they call us. I want to make sure that -- if we are committed to the end of next summer to get 100 percent 4 5 paid, that's more information than I knew, and that's great 6 news. I will do everything we can. I compliment them if they can achieve that goal, but at least now we know so I can tell 7 8 my clients. I appreciate --

9 THE COURT: Well, you can tell them. You can also 10 tell them that your colleagues -- lawyers -- that they have to 11 get the material in because the only thing that can interfere 12 with this is if they don't respond and don't send in the 13 documents.

14 MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely.

15 MS. GREER: That's correct.

16 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Thank you.

17

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

18 Let me say at the outset this is one of the 19 largest MDLs that has come down thus far in this country. 20 Within a year, the bellwether trials started. Within two 21 years, six cases were tried. Before three years, the parties 22 had reached a settlement program. Shortly after three years, 23 settlement payments have been started, and now the MIs will be 24 finished by 2009 because of the hard work of the claims 25 administrator, the lien administrator, and more particularly

1 the lawyers.

2	The efforts of the lawyers in this case have
3	been monumental, and it's because of their efforts and their
4	experience and their ability that this has been able to be
5	accomplished. It's interesting to me that 99.7 percent of all
6	eligible claimants have joined the program. That is important,
7	and I think that we see that the claims are being paid.
8	I do want to take the opportunity to compliment
9	the lawyers in this on a case of this sort. We have heard a
10	lot about the black hole of litigation in MDLs, and this has
11	not been the case. It's not been the case because of the work
12	of the lawyers on both sides. You need to know the Court
13	appreciates all of the work and effort that's been given.
14	MR. BECNEL: Judge, are we having any problems
15	between the two settlements, the Bextra/Celebrex portion and
16	the Vioxx, where they interface, in offsetting? Are we finding
17	any problems at all?
18	MR. HERMAN: None. None, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. I want to say
21	that the co-lead counsel, Mr. Birchfield and Mr. Seeger, have
22	made it their personal mission throughout and they meet and
23	talk daily, as do other members of this gate committee, with
23 24	talk daily, as do other members of this gate committee, with our counterparts. They have made it their mission to see that

1 again, BrownGreer for the excellent job that they have done. 2 Without their administration, I think we would be suffering a 3 great deal. 4 In addition to that, we appreciate Mr. Williams' 5 presence and the questions that he has asked that clarifies to 6 him, but he is about to hear that there are other reasons why 7 Texas payments -- and I suspect a lot of your cases are in Texas -- are going to be delayed. 8 9 Your attorney general's office refuses, as among 10 all the other attorneys general, to deal with issues which 11 affect payment. I think Mr. Garretson will address that. So 12 there is a reason for your clients to have more concern than 13 clients in other states. 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Let's order him over here, Judge. 15 **THE COURT:** I'll speak on that. MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, Mr. Herman beat me to the 16 17 podium to commend the work that BrownGreer has done. It 18 really, truly has been extraordinary, and we thank them. 19 There is a lot that's really going on. We only 20 have the MDL status conferences to talk about the results, but 21 the amount of work that goes on every single day on this 22 program is monumental, as Your Honor indicated. 23 **THE COURT:** In this program we have also brought in 24 the states. This is not only the MDL but it's the states. 25 It's the entire country the cases are being resolved in this

1 case.

MR. MARVIN: Your Honor referred to the conferences 2 3 that took place in three cities. I don't know if that's ever 4 been done before, but that was exceedingly useful. We found 5 many instances where claimants really didn't know about the 6 program. We found other instances where claimants didn't fully 7 understand the program. So at these conferences they did learn 8 more about the program, how it works, and we know what the 9 results were.

10 **THE COURT:** That was my concern and that's why I did 11 it because I got the feeling that there were many people who 12 for various reasons were not kept up to speed on the 13 developments, either the claimants, the litigants, maybe even a 14 lawyer didn't keep in touch with the Web site or keep in touch with his e-mails or keep in touch with the litigation 15 16 committees. It just happens that way, and so I felt duty bound not to push the settlement. 17

I told them at the outset I wasn't speaking in 18 19 favor or disfavor of the settlement, but I wanted them to know 20 I wanted them to know it was important that if they about it. 21 made a decision to join it that they do it now, as opposed to 22 later, because of various deadlines that would not and could 23 not be extended because it was part of the agreement. I went 24 into the pros and cons of the settlement, and I felt that in 25 doing that I had satisfied my responsibility as the judge who

was overseeing this process to at least communicate that to the
 litigants.

3 After I finished speaking to the people in 4 New Orleans and the people in New York and the people in 5 Chicago, I had at the courtroom in the courthouse the claims 6 administrator, the lien administrator, representatives from the 7 plaintiffs' committee, representatives from the defendant's 8 committee, and these individuals could talk with those people 9 to find out how much they would get if they enrolled in the 10 Many of them, it was the first time they had really program. 11 focused on it. And, of course, a large percentage of them 12 signed up before leaving that particular day. It was their 13 choice, but at least I felt that they knew about it and made 14 some informed decision.

15 **MR. MARVIN:** If I might add to the list of those who 16 contributed to the program, in addition to BrownGreer and 17 members of the PSC, special masters also were present. I know 18 that Mr. Juneau was here in New Orleans on fairly short notice. 19 My fault. He was also in New York. Judge Corrrodemus was in 20 So they had that resource available to them as well Chicago. 21 as the work done by the curators.

22

THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, just one more comment on
24 this issue of claims payment. We regularly get 50, sometimes
25 as many as 100, inquiries a week from attorneys on behalf of

1 their clients or pro se's, and we uniformly direct them to 2 BrownGreer. We have never had a situation where BrownGreer was 3 not immediately responsive, and I mean immediately. 4 For all lawyers who are concerned about the 5 individual cases, the surest way to get specific information is 6 to contact BrownGreer. You will get an immediate, direct 7 response to your inquiry. They have been terrific about it. Ι 8 did want to state that on the record because I'm certain there 9 are other attorneys listening in in the courtroom who may have 10 the same questions Mr. Williams had. 11 **THE COURT:** Okay. How about the lien administrators? 12 That's the next item. 13 MR. GARRETSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Matt 14 Garretson of The Garretson Firm. I'll be brief. Not a lot of 15 new news to report. A lot of new statistics. 16 As we reported at our last hearing, with respect 17 to Medicare, the primary objective right now is just to clean 18 up the wave of data we have had to send to them over the last 19 couple of months that contains about 2,400 changes in 20 Social Security numbers or dates of birth. I share that with 21 you because we have some -- even though we have an arrangement 22 with Medicare that covers all claims that are eligible for 23 payment, without that piece of verification completed for that 24 small subset of claims, some counsel may see that there's lien 25 resolution administrator holds on some clients that wouldn't

1 make sense to them absent knowing that bit of information. We 2 expect to have a lot of those issues resolved within 30 days. 3 I also wanted to report that as of today, with the number of claims that have received notice of points 4 5 awards, we have only received 30 requests by claimants for a 6 redetermination, which is effectively our appeals process. That's, by my statistics, less than one percent of the 7 8 claimants have had any pushback against the Medicare process 9 that's been established. I view that as a very positive sign. 10 I also am encouraged because many of those who 11 have requested a redetermination have only required education 12 as to why they have a reimbursement obligation in the first 13 place, and we have been able to satisfy many of those claimants 14 who have called in. So I'm pleased to report that progress. 15 With respect to Medicaid, our whole game with 16 Medicaid now is just getting in data. Claims data is what this 17 is about for us on the balance of this project. Throughout the project, we will have close to 17,500 individual claims files 18 19 from the Medicaid agencies. To date we have secured 5,750 of 20 That's up almost 50 percent from the last hearing. As those. 21 I have reported, we are able to turn those around with an 22 analyst on those files within five days of receiving the data 23 and we continue to mark that rate. 24 We also expect a large chunk of the balance, 25 which is about 12,000 individual claims files, to come in very

1 rapidly as we are still waiting on some of the larger states 2 who have had to pull an immense amount of data and send it to 3 What I wanted to make sure people understood is, us at once. while we have these holdbacks in place that we have discussed 4 5 at great length at prior hearings, we still are not going to be 6 able to present to the attorneys or the claimants who call us a 7 final lien amount because we're not able to do that until 8 somebody goes through the process of being approved for a 9 notice of points award letter, but things continue to work well 10 there.

11 With respect to all the other governmental liens 12 such as VA, TRICARE, and Department of Defense, we seem to have 13 leveled off at about 800 claimants who have reported 14 obligations. We are going to coordinate with BrownGreer to go 15 back to the population of attorneys to see if they have had any 16 more information come in because we think those agencies, as we 17 have worked with them, have reported that they are sending out some late notices that still should be honored in this program. 18 19 So we will be coordinating with counsel to make sure we gather 20 any late notices.

So as I said I would be brief, those are the statistics as of today. We continue to be encouraged. Mr. Herman did raise a point about Texas. We will continue to push forward on that. I would like folks to know that there is no hold currently on Texas claimants that have no obligation,

and we do have claims information coming in from Texas which we
 continue to audit daily. So as those are audited, assuming
 they are below the proposed cap, they are, in fact, being
 processed.

5 **THE COURT:** Let me just make a couple of comments. 6 First, the idea of a lien administrator is a win/win situation. 7 Matt has been able to negotiate with the various departments a 8 satisfactory amount and it's beneficial to the claimant. This 9 is the economy of scale that I talked about on several 10 occasions that an MDL affords. These individuals, by law, have 11 to pay back the liens. With the economy of scale, you're able 12 to negotiate a lesser amount, so it's good for the claimant. It's good for the lienholder because he gets it from one source 13 14 as opposed to thousands and thousands of sources, so his collection costs are down. It's a win/win situation. 15

Now, the unfortunate thing is that in 49 other states the attorneys general have approved the program and they have come aboard. Texas has not as yet. I have spoken to the attorney general's office and explained it to them. I was hopeful that they would see fit to come aboard.

You need to talk to them, Matt, and tell them I'm going to put a hold on all Texas claims until they focus on this issue. I'm going to have no other choice. I will write the attorney general telling him that -- and I will send a copy to the governor and senators -- claimants in Texas are not

1 going to get paid because we haven't heard from the attorney 2 general's office at that point. 3 Now, I would like you to tell them before I write the letter, and hopefully the letter will not be 4 necessary. 5 6 MR. GARRETSON: Yes, Your Honor. I will do so today. 7 THE COURT: The special master. Anything? 8 Mr. Juneau is here, Your Honor. MR. HERMAN: 9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Patrick Juneau, special master, 10 Your Honor. Very briefly, my report is in the context I would 11 like to address one point. You inquired earlier about the 12 meeting. I was, in fact, present at these meetings that were 13 held, from my perspective, at two different locations. 14 I can report to the Court that the meetings were 15 extremely instructive to people from an informational 16 standpoint. Really, your verbal presentation through the tape 17 recording and mine to the people conveyed the same message. It was not to tell anybody what they had to do or should do, but 18 19 to make sure that they understood what was here and what was 20 available to them. 21 Through personal engagement with people 22 directly, through their attorneys, I can tell you that was extremely instructive. Once it was discovered what this 23 24 program was, people were very enthusiastic about enrolling in 25 this program. So my report to the Court is it did serve a very

1

2

3

useful purpose.

THE COURT: I appreciate you being there on that, Pat. I'm sorry I had to give you such short notice.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We had a few bumps in the road
at a few of these meetings, but that's the experience of
meeting people.

7 Ms. Greer reported, Your Honor, that there are 8 nine actual appeals. Those appeals have actually been 9 allocated pursuant to the Court's instruction. I have talked 10 to and communicated -- because we are in communication. We 11 have regular kind of conferences to make sure we are all in 12 sync about consistency in applying the program. That's, I 13 think, extremely important with the other two deputy special 14 masters.

Those have been assigned and are being worked on as we speak. I would anticipate in very, very short order, Your Honor -- we just got those this week -- that those rulings are going to be independently made on those cases. So there is no delay with regard to those claims.

The final matter I would like to report, there's a second phase of this case, and I think it's important that this be conveyed to the Court and to the people who are involved in this litigation. There is a very, very, very technical, well-developed, precise program that BrownGreer has developed with regard to the gathering of this data and how 1 that filters through the following process, if you follow the 2 case all the way through, which includes various stages of the 3 gates committee, when it gets to the special master in terms of 4 review, then there is an elaborate software program that's 5 developed that we utilize to make the actual determination.

6 We are able to retrieve and actually look at 7 data through this whole system through the communications they 8 have developed. For me, who is electronically challenged, it 9 was quite an experience, but I can tell you, Your Honor, it has 10 and will lead to efficiency and prompt rulings in this case, 11 and that's the final point.

12 We have one more session -- and that's with Lynn 13 on December 3 -- with Justice Trotter, Judge Corrrodemus, and 14 myself, to be educated on the final software part of this thing because it's a very involved process, but it's an extremely 15 16 useful process. It literally saves months of time in getting 17 all this done. It's not the flow of 10,000 pages of paper, but we're actually looking at the data and can make guick decisions 18 19 in locales where we don't have to travel to make those 20 decisions, which I think is financially beneficial to the 21 class.

So finally, Your Honor, we are now at the point where appeals have been made, appeal decisions will be made, and we are moving into the second phase, and as soon as that's done -- it strikes me, from my observation looking at the whole

process, that the process has been working extremely well. As
 one who has been involved in a lot of national cases as a
 special master, I can assure you we are on a fast track in this
 case.

5 I'm not going to mention the case, but I can 6 think of one that outlast the dates of birth of my children. 7 So we are far ahead of the schedule and I'm optimistic that we 8 I was encouraged by Mr. Williams' question and will meet it. 9 the response. That's a very optimistic projection, but they 10 have performed. They have done what they said they were going 11 to do. That's my report.

12 THE COURT: As everyone knows, I appointed Mr. Juneau 13 as the special master and Justice Trotter and Judge Corrrodemus 14 as deputy special masters. Their job is to deal with appeals. 15 Before they reach these nine, they have had some exposure and 16 some experience and they have had some dry runs to get them 17 ready. So they are up to speed, and I look forward to your 18 finishing.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
20 THE COURT: Thank you very much.
21 Any state court trial settings?
22 MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, there are no state court
23 trial settings.
24 THE COURT: What about the class action issue?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we have met with Mr. Marvin

1 and we will be filing, before the next status conference, 2 motions to withdraw the master complaints for medical 3 monitoring and purchase claims -- state actions. 4 **THE COURT:** Discovery directed to third parties. 5 MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Not purchase claims. 6 I'm sorry. The state actions with regard to medical 7 monitoring. 8 As well as the personal injury state MR. LEVIN: 9 actions, Your Honor, which you did not dismiss. 10 **MR. HERMAN:** Thank you very much. 11 With respect to discovery to third parties, 12 Express Scripts has continued to produce records and they have 13 been posted by BrownGreer as received. There appear to be no 14 problems with that. That's going smoothly. 15 With regard to the state/federal coordination, 16 state liaison committee, I believe that Dawn has a report. 17 Your Honor, there are actually two sections. There's one at 18 page 9 of the report at roman numeral VIII and the other is 19 roman numeral XIV at page 12, basically regarding potential 20 common issues for discovery and it involves the attorneys 21 general. 22 Dawn, do you want to report on that? 23 **MS. BARRIOS:** Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios 24 for the state liaison committee. 25 I have to echo Mr. Herman and Mr. Marvin's

1 compliments on BrownGreer, and I would like to give the Court 2 an example of what happened to me just this week. I had gotten 3 I disputed it because I had some other a points award. 4 contradictory information in the medical records, so I did an 5 internal appeal. Your Honor, within 13 days of making that 6 appeal, I got a resolution from BrownGreer. I think that's spectacular in light of the 45,000 claims that they have to 7 8 process.

9 I would also like to give thanks to
10 Mr. Garretson because his work, particularly on Medicaid, has
11 allowed me to present to my clients -- particularly one, with a
12 situation where he had many, many thousands in Medicare
13 reimbursements, and it was only 1,000-something-dollars that we
14 actually had to pay through Mr. Garretson. So he has done a
15 wonderful job in helping the claimants.

16 With regard to my formal report and after all my 17 kudos to everyone, I want to report that the remands we have given to your law clerk, we continue to go through them in 18 19 terms of the claimants because we will have a deadline of 20 We will have a better cleanup for you along the November 30. 21 way. We have submitted to BrownGreer all the remands that we 22 had, and they have responded to almost 80 percent. We are 23 continuing to work with them and with the curator as well. 24 I would like to bring to your attention, 25 Your Honor, a case that I had handed to your law clerk. I have

been contacted by counsel for the physician in this case, and
 the case name is *Olivia Robinson v. Merck*. It is a case out of
 Texas.

Lemle Kelleher locally represents the physician in this case. It was filed in Texas state court. It was removed by Merck. The judge in the transferor court issued an opinion and order on October 20, 2006, in which he denied the remand, but he ruled that the physician was improperly joined.

9 So we have a situation now the case is before 10 Your Honor. The physician has been sitting in the case without 11 formal dismissal. We have, we believe, a law of the case 12 situation where he has already ruled. The physician has had to 13 continue to report this pending case on all of his 14 credentialing applications and his insurance. Lemle Kelleher 15 has asked me to bring this to your attention to see if you 16 would enter an order of dismissal based upon that.

I do need to report to Your Honor that Olivia Robinson, her original counsel, you had granted their motion to withdraw. They are not in the *pro se* database yet. I spoke with Ms. Wimberly yesterday about it, and her case is going to come up in a month or so for total dismissal. Lemle Kelleher is seeking some immediate relief on behalf of the physician.

THE COURT: Do I have the number of the case?

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, Your Honor. I gave Nathan a copyof the remand order.

THE COURT: I do have it. Okay.

1

2 MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor. As for the 3 governmental action in attorneys general cases that you have asked me to help coordinate, I'm very pleased to report that --4 5 with great help from Merck and Mr. Seeger and Mr. Davis and 6 your law clerk -- I filed a joint motion to lift the stay of 7 discovery with regard to the governmental actions. It 8 specifically applies to the 11 governmental actions technically 9 before you. There are three additional ones on the way. We 10 seek Your Honor's signature on the order.

11 THE COURT: I would like to have a status conference 12 also, Dawn, if you can arrange it, with you and Merck and any 13 representatives of the attorneys general, and we will take a 14 look at this and see whether I can work out some kind of 15 scheduling order that's agreeable to the parties and satisfies 16 the Court. Either next time or before next time, I'll be 17 contacting you to get a date.

MS. BARRIOS: Okay, Your Honor. I will be happy to do it. I know that many of the attorneys for the attorneys general have asked to come and perhaps the day before the next status conference --

THE COURT: All right. Let's do it at that time.
MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything from the *pro se* claimants?
MS. SANTOYO: Good morning, Your Honor.

Claudia Santoyo here on behalf of the curator for *pro se* claimants.

As a preliminary note, I would like to let everyone know that we are moving offices to just across the street. As of December 1, we will be at 400 Poydras Street. The telephone numbers, however, will be the same. We will be open through the holiday weekend, despite the move, and available to help any *pro se's* or other callers who need assistance during that time.

10 Your Honor, since the last conference, we have 11 undertaken an additional mailing to the claimants that 12 Ms. Greer talked to you about who have submitted some sort of a 13 claim package but it is either deficient or incomplete. We 14 have sent them a letter reminding them of the November 30 15 deadline, advising them that we are here to help them, giving 16 them also a copy of the request for extension form -- I 17 believe, it's Form V2033 -- and advising them that this November 30 deadline is a very hard deadline. 18

In addition to that 176 mailing to those claimants, we have also sent out a similar letter to 62 additional claimants who are *pro se* claimants that are enrolled but have not submitted any form of claim package material; or maybe they submitted a claim form but no medical record. In other words, there's not enough even to consider it as a claim package submission. Similarly, we have attached a copy of the

request for extension in anticipation that these folks will
 have the need for that form.

3 We continue to receive contacts from claimants who are seeking information about the status of their claim. 4 5 Some of those claimants have outstanding deficiencies that 6 require cure. The claims administrator has been very prompt and attentive to our request for remailings of release 7 8 documents, enrollment documents, as well as claim forms and 9 Sometimes they are able to send them out within instructions. 30 minutes of our request. 10

11 Additionally, we have gotten a few calls from 12 claimants who, for a reason unknown to me, have not registered 13 or enrolled in the settlement program at all but appeared to 14 have had what would have been an eligible claim. We have coordinated with counsel for both parties, as well as the 15 16 claims administrator, in having a unified strategic response to 17 these calls that advises them that they have missed the enrollment deadline and that no additional forms will be sent 18 19 out to them.

To the extent the people have already received enrollment claims and simply failed to send them in, we do encourage them to send them in; that they will be sent to the parties, but that there is no guarantee the parties will accept them into the program. It simply could be or it could be not; it's simply not up to us.

Additionally, we will continue to forward any more enrollment materials that do come into our office; although untimely, we send them to the claims administrator for their forwarding on to the parties.

5 In addition to those types of calls, we are 6 receiving calls for remailings and for assistance in clearing 7 In particular, the main deficiency that we tend deficiencies. 8 to see is that people have sent in forms with only the page 9 that they signed and notarized and not the entire document, or 10 they are not quite understanding the need for compiling all of 11 the medical records together in the different types of PME 12 records that are required.

We go through the instructions for the claims form with the individual caller and in some circumstances have actually had local claimants come into the office with their forms and gone through the process with them so that we could hopefully assist as much as possible.

18 There are some stumbling blocks, I think, for 19 some claimants who have difficulty in obtaining records because 20 the prescription records from some major pharmacies have been 21 We are doing everything possible to assist those purged. 22 callers and claimants with other sources to where the 23 information may be located, such as their regular doctor, the 24 prescribing physician, etc., even so far as bank records, which 25 sometimes indicate things you purchased. That is probably

1 going to be a problem down the line for individuals, and they 2 may need to have something to do in the claims process if they 3 don't meet the gate sometimes. I'm not sure what more we could 4 do to assist those; however, we are taking those calls.

5 Another large part of the calls that we receive 6 are callers asking for their position in the queue for review. 7 In particular, we have a couple of callers or e-mail that 8 contact us on a weekly basis to just check in. We are able to 9 pull up their information on the claimant information page on 10 the portal.

11 We can see the status of their claims. We can 12 see if any deficiencies exist or if they have been cured. We 13 are able to give them the information they require every time 14 they call even if it hasn't really changed. We will continue to offer that service, as well, going forward. As the claims 15 16 are pushed through the different steps of the process, we will 17 be able to see that on the portal and have that information available to pro se callers. 18

Lastly, I would like to add some calls that we have received from *pro se* litigants who are not part of the settlement program, who either opted out intentionally or who missed the deadline and who now do not desire to take part in it, they have called and written seeking assistance with understanding the requirements of Pretrial Order 28 as well as some of the deficiency notices that have been sent by counsel

for Merck. To the extent we have the information -- because,
 thankfully, Mr. Marvin's office has been kind enough to copy us
 on those deficiency notices -- we do advise them as best as
 possible of what those requirements are.

We also advise them to get an attorney, if at all possible, and offer referrals from the referral list that was given to us by the PLC. As of this date, however, I don't believe that any of the attorneys for whom we have referral information have actually taken on any new claimants.

Going forward, we do anticipate that there will be an additional mailing in December for those *pro se* claimants who are either deficient or who have requested an extension of time, reminding them of the final December 30 deadline should they receive that extension of time, and in the meantime we will continue to offer assistance as we have done so before.

16 THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much. Also, in 17 this case, as you can imagine, with 60,000 claimants, there are 18 many people who either for one reason or another do not have 19 attorneys to represent them. So we tried something new, also, 20 in this area, and that is to appoint a curator to represent 21 those individuals. You're doing a good job and I appreciate 22 all the work you're doing.

Merck's motions is next.

23

24 MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, under roman numeral X,
25 Merck's motions, there's nothing to be changed there.

1 **THE COURT:** All right. Roman numeral XI. 2 MR. HERMAN: No new issue, Your Honor, for discussion 3 under roman numeral XI. THE COURT: The suit statistics on roman numeral XII, 4 5 anything? 6 **MR. MARVIN:** Very briefly, Your Honor. The numbers 7 are in the joint status report. There are 11,575 lawsuits 8 currently with 29,200 plaintiffs. 25,100 plaintiffs have been 9 dismissed as of September 30. A large number of those were 10 dismissed in New Jersey for administrative reasons because they 11 enrolled in the program. 12 **THE COURT:** The trial package. 13 **MR. HERMAN:** No further discussion, Your Honor, with 14 respect to the trial package. Both the MI and stroke trial packages have been completed and reviewed previously by the 15 16 Court in camera. 17 **THE COURT:** Anything on third-party payor? **MR. HERMAN:** This has been discussed previously by 18 19 Dawn with regard to the attorneys general and there are ongoing 20 discussions on those issues. 21 With regard to roman numeral XV --I'll be 22 **THE COURT:** I have that under advisement. 23 ruling on that very shortly. 24 **MR. HERMAN:** With regard to third-party payors' 25 motions under roman numeral XVI, I'm going to call on attorney

Monique Garsaud to just report to the Court their efforts in
 the admin issues.

3 MS. GARSAUD: Monique Garsaud on behalf of BrownGreer and U.S. Banc. This week the Fifth Circuit has handed down its 4 5 opinion in the AvMed case and affirming your ruling that 6 AvMed's preliminary injunction has been denied. My client is 7 ready to go forward from here, and hopefully the matter has 8 been resolved. We are working, though, with AvMed's attorneys 9 on a deadline as to when and if they will file separate 10 pleadings in each specific case.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. With regard to AvMed, Mr. Seeger, on behalf of your Court-appointed committees, has been in negotiations with Mr. Sobol, on behalf of AvMed. They would like to meet briefly with you following the status conference.

16

22

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. HERMAN: With regard to 1199SEIU Greater New York
Benefit Fund, page 13, that's roman numeral XVII, they have
requested a hearing on their motions, Your Honor.

20 MS. GARSAUD: Your Honor, Monique Garsaud again on
21 behalf of BrownGreer in this case.

MS. DIETZ: Rebecca Dietz on behalf of the plaintiff.

MS. GARSAUD: Your Honor, as you know, we have a
Motion to Dismiss pending on behalf of my client, as well as
the NPC, and New York has opposed it. They filed a surreply

1 and we have had several filings recently. What we would like 2 to do is ask the Court to set that for a hearing. 3 Do you want oral argument on it? THE COURT: 4 MS. DIETZ: Yes. 5 **THE COURT:** Okay. I'll do that. 6 **MR. LEVIN:** Your Honor, may I suggest that we have 7 the hearing the next status conference? 8 THE COURT: Are you all okay that? 9 **MS. DIETZ:** The only request we had had -- and we 10 discussed it with the parties earlier -- is that if the status 11 conference is on a Friday that we have the oral argument prior 12 to the status conference, like the day before. I didn't know 13 when the next status conference would be. 14 It's the 18th. I don't know what day of MR. LEVIN: the week that is. 15 16 **THE COURT:** That's a Thursday. Is that all right? 17 MS. DIETZ: That's fine. MS. GARSAUD: That's fine. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 May it please the Court. Merck has MR. HERMAN: 21 several motions at page 15 and 16, roman numerals XVIII, XIX --22 THE COURT: We'll take those after we finish. 23 Anything other than those motions? 24 MR. HERMAN: Just one statement on behalf of the PSC, 25 Your Honor. We, as usual, make our continuing objection to any

dismissals with prejudice. We object to any dismissals with
 prejudice.

THE COURT: Anything further? Anything from anyone?
MR. CLEMENTS: Good morning, Your Honor. For the
record, Miles Clements on behalf of Decision Quest, one of the
last items on Your Honor's agenda. I'll try and keep this
brief.

8 The primary purpose of addressing this with you 9 this morning, Your Honor, is to seek some guidance; not to 10 argue the case, not to argue facts that are in dispute, but 11 simply to frame my client's issue. To Decision Quest it is a 12 significant issue because it involves about \$2.4 million, about \$550,000 of which represent out-of-pocket costs which have been 13 14 out of pocket for over two years. The fees have been unpaid 15 for about two and a half years, so it's significant in that 16 regard.

17 Decision Quest, a jury consulting firm, was 18 retained to do work in this case. Just who retained 19 Decision Quest and to do what, I don't want to get into that. 20 I don't want to invite other people to debate all of that. 21 They were paid a fee by the PSC, and they were paid some fees 22 by some of the members of the PSC and nonmembers of the PSC 23 with respect to work done in individual cases. This is the 24 amount that's left. Okay. That's the problem.

25

The solution that Russ Herman and I and other

1 members of the PSC and the fee allocation committee have been 2 trying to work out is -- without having to unravel questions of 3 who said what when, who had authority, who had apparent 4 authority, who ratified the relationship, and who benefited 5 from it, where I think we can go towards a solution is simply 6 this. I think there may be a consensus -- that remains to be determined -- what Decision Quest did was a common benefit. 7 8 Hopefully, we can come to a stipulation in that regard. If we 9 can't, then there will have to be some sort of a fact-finding 10 exercise.

11 If Decision Quest and the PSC and all interested 12 parties can come to an agreement, to a stipulation, that the 13 good work that Decision Quest did -- it's not disputed they did 14 the work, they incurred the expenses, it was valuable, it was 15 used by many, and it contributed to a good result. If it is 16 deemed to be a common benefit expense, then it can be submitted 17 in one form or another for payment to be drawn from a common benefit expense fund. 18

Well, that's where Your Honor, I think, would come in. We would need to have a fund to draw from. I think that's probably the best solution to deal with this problem. I would ask for some guidance from Your Honor.

23

THE COURT: Let me hear from the PSC.

24 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. We had a
25 conference since the last status conference. Mr. Clements'

1 clients came in. They made a presentation. Mr. Ranier was present. Mr. Levin participated. Mr. Robinson participated. 3 I asked a number of questions, requested some documents. They came in. 4

2

5 I made that report to your Court-appointed 6 allocation committee. The allocation committee has met twice 7 now on this issue, once in California early in the week and 8 then again yesterday. We are going to have an informational 9 meeting only among PSC members who are here and allocation 10 committee members who are here in the jury room immediately 11 following.

12 After we have advised the PSC of what our 13 position is so far, I have invited Mr. Clements to come in so 14 that he can make his points. We do not have a resolution. Ι don't feel comfortable at this time disclosing what the 15 16 allocation committee's recommendations are because it has to go 17 to the PSC first. It's a complicated question. I don't want 18 to get into the facts, but we have been working at this now 19 tenaciously over the last month.

20 My response is, Your Honor, that I would hope 21 before the next status conference, in the interim, I can give a 22 report to Your Honor in which the PSC and the allocation 23 committee have a joint recommendation and will have met with 24 Mr. Clements at that time to see what their position is and we 25 can both report to you.

1	Because the negotiations are complex indeed,
2	I had a call from Mr. Robinson, who is on the PSC committee,
3	who is in trial in California and asked that there be no formal
4	discussion without his presence. In addition, Mr. Ranier has
5	been very vocal on the issue and is a PSC member. He and
6	Mr. Williams will be meeting with you, at their request,
7	following this. So the bottom line is we're working towards
8	resolving it and it's not resolved at the current time.
9	THE COURT: Get with me within two weeks, you and
10	Miles. If it's not resolved, then I will resolve it.
11	MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
12	MR. CLEMENTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, may we just approach the
14	bench very briefly?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	(WHEREUPON there was a conference at the bench
17	outside the presence of the court reporter.)
18	THE COURT: We were just talking about logistics.
19	There's some problem with the date of the status conference.
20	It looks like a better date is the 19th, and then we will have
21	the hearing on the 18th.
22	MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, may we do the hearing in the
23	afternoon of the 18th?
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	MS. BARRIOS: Excuse me, Your Honor. When would you

1 like to see the attorneys general if you move that date, the 2 day before or in the morning of the status conference? 3 THE COURT: Why don't we try to do it the day before. MS. BARRIOS: Yes, sir. I will work with Nathan on 4 5 it. 6 **MR. HERMAN:** We'll be available both days, 7 Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: I first have to deal with these motions. 9 Let me meet with the people first and then we will come back 10 and do the motions. 11 MS. WIMBERLY: Your Honor, I don't think they will 12 take more than five minutes. 13 THE COURT: Let's do the motions first. Let me take 14 a break so those who want to leave can leave. I'll take five 15 minutes and then come back. 16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise. 17 (WHEREUPON the status conference was concluded.) * * * 18 19 CERTIFICATE I, Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR, Official Court 20 Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and 21 understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. 22 23 24 <u>s/ Toni Doyle Tusa</u> 25 Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR Official Court Reporter