UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA NEW ORLEANS

IN RE: VIOXX PROD	UCTS :	MDL DOCKET NO. 1657
LIABILITY LITIGATI	ON :	SECTION "L"
	:	
	:	New Orleans, Louisiana
	:	February 26, 2013
	:	2:00 p.m.
: : : : : : : : :	: : : : : : : :	

MONTHLY STATUS CONFERENCE TAKEN BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:	Herman, Herman & Katz, L.L.C. BY: RUSS M. HERMAN LEONARD A. DAVIS 820 O'Keefe Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 (504) 581-4892
	Oldfather Law Firm BY: ANN B. OLDFATHER 1330 South Third Street Louisville, Kentucky 40208 (502) 637-7200
	BY: MARGARET WOODWARD 3701 Canal Street, Suite C New Orleans, Louisiana 70119
	Hovde, Dassow & Deets, LLC BY: ROBERT T. DASSOW 201 W. 103rd Street, Suite 500 Indianapolis, Indiana 46290
For Merck:	Skadden Arps BY: JOHN H. BEISNER 1440 N.Y. Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005
	Williams, Connolly, LLP BY: DOUGLAS MARVIN M. ELAINE HORN 725 12th Street NW Washington, DC 20005

APPEARANCES (Continued):

Reported By:

Arlene Movahed, CCR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 500 Poydras Street, Room 406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7777

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by dictation.

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 AFTERNOON SESSION 3 (February 26, 2013) 4 (The following is a transcript of the Monthly Status 5 Conference taken on February 26, 2013.) 6 (Open court.) 7 Be seated, please. Good afternoon, THE COURT: ladies and gentlemen. 8 9 We're here for our bi-monthly status conference on the 10 Vioxx matter. Do you want to call the case. 11 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. MDL Number 1657, In Re: Vioxx 12 Products Liability Litigation. 13 THE COURT: Counsel, make your appearance for the record, please. 14 15 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, good afternoon, 16 Judge Fallon. Russ Herman for plaintiffs. 17 MR. MARVIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Douglas 18 Marvin for Merck. 19 As I say, we're here for our monthly THE COURT: status conference. I received an agenda, proposed agenda from 20 21 the parties. I met with the liaison counsel a moment ago, discussed the agenda with them. I'll take it in the order 22 23 given. Class Actions, anything on that? 24 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, Judge Fallon, I 25 am pleased to announce to the Court or recommend a settlement

of the Consumer Class Actions which has been reached after a
 year of negotiations.

Our negotiations began at a conference in Philadelphia approximately a year ago and have continued at least monthly but often three or four times a week. We reached an agreement in principle eight to ten weeks ago and then there have been a number of renegotiations since then.

8 In those negotiations, Doug Marvin and John Beisner 9 represented Merck. I was in those negotiations and I thank 10 Elizabeth Cabraser and Dawn Barrios who made a number of very 11 valuable comments that improved the settlement and which are 12 now incorporated in the Agreement.

13 With Your Honor's permission, I will recite the14 essential terms of the Agreement, of course.

15 THE COURT: These are the individuals who have 16 purchased Vioxx and have information to show that they have 17 purchased Vioxx and I understand that those individuals are the 18 individuals who have not had claims before, therefore, had not had personal injuries before and that they are individuals who 19 have, as I said, purchased Vioxx and can show that they 20 21 purchased Vioxx and those are the people that we're talking 22 about.

23 MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. And excluded also from 24 the settlement, consumers in the State of Missouri and 25 insurers, insurers who have settled under the Third Party Payor

Settlement and the State of Missouri has a separate settlement
 under separate law.

3 Initially I want to state that the parties feel it's a 4 reasonable settlement. From plaintiffs' standpoint, the law is 5 very difficult. We noted the California decision adverse to 6 plaintiffs, the New Jersey Supreme Court decision adverse to 7 plaintiffs, other commentaries regarding consumer settlements.

As I indicated that it was substantial arm's length 9 negotiations, collegial but arm's length and hard pressed. By 10 last count there were 23 iterations of the settlement document 11 which provides in principle part as follows: And should I 12 misstate any of the provisions or leave out an essential 13 provision, I am certain that John and Doug will speak to that. 14 Merck's total exposure in the Consumer Settlement is

15 \$20 million. It is a cap.

16 The claimants will submit the claims form, certain 17 proofs of claim for their out-of-pocket expenses and their co-18 pay expenses. They will go through a claims process 19 administered by Brown Greer. In the event they have a 20 legitimate claim but due to the passage of time cannot produce 21 all of the indicia necessary for full compensation they will 22 receive a minimum of \$50 covering partially the prescription 23 costs which they claim.

THE COURT: And if they have the documentation, they will receive all of the money that they expended.

A hundred percent. Now the funds which 1 MR. HERMAN: Merck deposits in approximately six million dollar increments 2 are held in escrow subject to the claims process. 3 The 20 million dollar cap applies to attorneys' fees and costs, common 4 5 benefit, expenses only related to consumer claims. And, of 6 course, there is no fee to be determined at this point. Your Honor will determine whatever fee Your Honor believes is 7 reasonable. There is a maximum 32 percent. 8

9 In addition, that 20 million dollar cap fund will pay 10 all expenses of notice and all administration costs as well as 11 claims.

12 In the event that it appears that the 20 million 13 dollar cap will be reached and not all claims have been paid of 14 consumers, then there will be a payment pro rata. The escrow 15 fund, QSF documents will be filed at such time as the Court may 16 approve a preliminary order and will be deposited in the 17 Esquire Bank.

And I state to the Court on the record, as I have before, that I am a Director, unpaid Director of that bank and I have less than one-half of one percent stock in the bank. And they have provided these services, for instance, in part in Chinese Drywall.

The Claims' Administrator, as Your Honor knows, has been a claims administrator that has successfully administered claims particularly in Vioxx and is also looked to at this 1 juncture in Chinese Drywall.

2 There is a due process appellate function from decisions of the Claims' Administrator and the Claims' 3 Administrator incidentally may not speak to either party unless 4 5 both parties are present, that is a representative of Merck and 6 representative of plaintiffs. And if for good cause shown to Your Honor Your Honor feels an appeal should be granted, then 7 Your Honor will determine that and determine also the merits of 8 9 such an appeal.

10 All interest that may accrue in that escrow account 11 will be used to pay the various costs that are due whether it 12 be notice or administration costs or whatever.

The various folks that can apply, or as Your Honor has detailed, those consumers who can show that they purchased Vioxx, and this is for their prescriptions, anyone that took vis-a-vis a personal injury settlement in the global and Missouri consumers are excluded specifically from the settlement.

Merck does not admit a liability and this will be an exclusive remedy with dismissals with prejudice.

The notice agents are class representatives and Merck's representatives in this case, Ms. Cabraser and myself will act as class counsel. This Court will have continuing jurisdiction over the case.

25 And, Your Honor, we have signed the Agreement and will

shortly submit it so that Your Honor in due course, as Your
 Honor directs in these cases, be made public.

If notice is given to Merck, it should go to Mr.
Kuhlik, K-U-H-L-I-K, who is the Senior Vice President General
Counsel of Merck, Sharp and Boehm Corp. And to John H. Beisner
of Skadden Arps.

7 There is one consumer action contemplated to be 8 subsumed in this class action in which there has not been yet 9 an agreement but I will be speaking with a representative of 10 the Kentucky class, consumer class action certainly in the next 11 two weeks.

12 Your Honor, I believe that those are the highlights of 13 the settlement that's been reached with Merck in the Vioxx MDL 14 as to Consumer Class Actions and Consumer Claims.

15 THE COURT: What I would like to do in these matters, 16 as you know in the Fifth Circuit we really look at two steps. 17 One is preliminary approval and the second is the final approval of the settlement, the fairness hearing and then 18 19 Motion for Final Approval. I would like to do both. My notice, file something that I can notice it for preliminary 20 21 approval. Preliminary approval, the purpose of that is just to make sure that on its face it's a reasonable settlement. 22 I 23 make no decision at that level as to the final substantive aspect of the settlement but simply it's a way to avoid having 24 25 the parties spend a lot of money on notice if it doesn't even

pass the preliminary approval area of scrutiny. So file that,
 I'll notice it on my website and I will invite anybody who has
 any observations, objections, whatever to voice them at the
 preliminary approval.

5 This drug is a difficult drug for consumers in the 6 sense of the claims because I have heard a lot of testimony in 7 the cases that I have tried and it is a drug that is directed 8 at muscular skeletal complaints, pain, and it does that, it's 9 quote a good drug for that purpose.

10 The concept of the case, or the theory of the case from the plaintiffs' standpoint is that although it was a good 11 12 drug for that purpose it also had some adverse purposes in the 13 vascular area at least and the increased risk of heart attack, strokes and so forth. But it did do something. It did remove 14 15 pain. And so for the consumer who purchased it and used it for a year or two or however long and did not have any personal 16 17 injury, did not have any complaints, did not have any 18 difficulty other than to purchase the drug, then I assume it 19 was a good thing for them, it removed their pain. And so at 20 this point they have used the drug to remove pain for a year or 21 two or three or however many years, didn't have a problem and they make a claim to get reimbursed for the money that they 22 23 spent. That's looked at from the defendants' standpoint, looked at from the plaintiffs' standpoint. Plaintiff says, 24 25 well, maybe that's true but I purchased that drug believing

1 that it didn't have any adverse effects and now I find that 2 they have adverse effects. Had I known that I would not have 3 purchased that drug, I would have sought out something without 4 any adverse effects and, therefore, I am entitled to my money 5 back. That's from the plaintiffs' standpoint and that's what 6 the settlement seeks to achieve. But if you file a motion I 7 will set it. We've already agreed on a date?

8 THE CLERK: March 14th.

9 THE COURT: March 14th. I will post it on my website 10 to give the world notice.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, if I may, I have one footnote. Counsel for Merck and class counsel were well aware of a recent Third Circuit decision that we find does not have any applicability here. This is not a cy pres situation and the other elements that the Third Circuit disapproved of are not in this settlement. I thought I should point that out. If either John Beisner or Doug Marvin have any

18 comments.

19 THE COURT: In the Baby Products Antitrust20 Litigation, Judge O'Malley shared that opinion.

21 MR. BEISNER: Your Honor, we have nothing to add. I 22 was just going to note that our intent is, as you suggested, to 23 file a motion for preliminary approval. The one thing we're 24 waiting for there is to have a final notice plan which 25 obviously is important and what Your Honor will need to review 1 on this.

2 THE COURT: Next item then is Governmental Actions.3 Anything on that?

4 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I was asked by Ms. Barrios 5 to indicate to the Court that she is unable to be here today. 6 She is engaged in some Drywall depositions.

7 THE COURT: I had several motions that I've handled, 8 discovery motions that I have handled on the phone and I issued 9 my rulings on them so we are moving along with it.

10 Third Party Payor. We have the attorneys' fees in the 11 Third Party Payors matter.

12 As you know from my past procedure, what I do is I try 13 to focus on the process. I think that's an important thing to And what I did in the case, I invited anybody to make 14 do. 15 applications for fees who worked on this aspect of the case. Ι 16 received the applications for fees. I appointed an Allocation 17 Committee consisting of people who had worked on this particular aspect of the case. I received a report from them. 18 19 They also, before giving me their report, alerted the people as 20 to what they felt was appropriate and as I understand some 21 initial objections were withdrawn and we still have two objectors who feel that the amount of the Allocation Committee 22 23 is not appropriate, not satisfactory to them. So the next step that I am going to take is that I appoint an outside, somebody 24 25 who hadn't worked on it. I am going to appoint Pat Juneau for

the purpose of dealing with those cases and meeting with the 1 parties and seeing whether or not any discovery is necessary, 2 any briefing schedules, anything that both sides feel is 3 important and then hopefully you all can agree on some method 4 5 of either further discovery, some discovery, paper discovery, 6 deposition discovery, whatever is appropriate and give me some briefing, argument, and we will take it from there at that 7 point. That's at least my thinking. 8

9 MR. DASSOW: Your Honor, Rob Dassow. May I be heard 10 for a moment?

11

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DASSOW: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Rob Dassow. I am here for Hovde, Dassow & Deets, David Ewing, Gary Franke. I just want to make sure that the Court received our letters that we sent with regard to the Third Party Payor.

16 THE COURT: I got the two letters, I think. 17 MR. DASSOW: That was from the Lanier Law Firm and my 18 law firm. I just wanted to clarify. We've obviously withdrawn 19 our objections with regard to the submissions of other 20 objectors but continue to object and ask the Court for an

21 evaluation of just how you described it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. Just from your standpoint at this level I try to refocus on the process and I want to get some input and so I try to get input from the people who are socalled insiders, the people who work on the particular matter

and know what was done, who did it, and that sort of thing, 1 give me their input. Then I appoint somebody who, as I say, 2 hadn't worked on the case, a lawyer obviously who is familiar 3 with this case, helped out in other aspects of the case, so he 4 5 knows the type of issues that are involved. And then he then 6 meets with you all, you tell him what you need, what information, what documents, what evidence, what discovery you 7 may need and then he supervises that and listens to argument 8 and then he will give me some report and then I will have both 9 of those reports and I will look at the reports plus whatever I 10 can bring to the case and I will come up with something. 11 12 MR. DASSOW: And, obviously, all of our work, Your 13 Honor, was done in front of Judge Higbee. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. DASSOW: So if it was inappropriate --16 THE COURT: Right. No, no, I will. And by the way, 17 I always talk to Judge Higbee on these matters because she's 18 very familiar with it. She and I work together and so I get her input and whatever I gather I will be turning over to her 19

20 for her observation too.

21 MR. DASSOW: I really appreciate it. Thank you, Your 22 Honor.

23 THE COURT: Sure.

24 MS. WOODWARD: Your Honor, Margaret Woodward with 25 Robert Arceneaux for Erick Weinberg. Thank you very much for

1 establishing this further procedure. I just want a point of 2 clarification on the record of how many objectors there are. There was a reference to two. 3 4 THE COURT: I think there's two. Am I wrong about 5 that? I say two, there are two groups? 6 MR. DASSOW: Well, I would say from our group only 7 one. 8 THE COURT: One objector? 9 Well, it's four different law firms, MR. DASSOW: But I am here on behalf of all four. 10 Your Honor. 11 MS. WOODWARD: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Right. I looked upon him as being one 13 objector. Maybe there's four subobjectors. 14 MR. DASSOW: I think that is appropriate. 15 THE COURT: But all the same. 16 MS. WOODWARD: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. Ann, did you want to talk? 18 MS. OLDFATHER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ann Oldfather, Liaison Counsel for certain personal injury claims. 19 20 We don't have a lot to report today other than to update the case censes and to confirm on the record that the 21 22 Daubert motions filed on general causation between the VTE 23 events and the use of Vioxx have been filed both by our firm 24 and by Merck and those are set for hearing for argument before 25 the Court next week on March 6th at 2:30 central time. We have

at this point on the case censes, which I distributed before 1 Court, we have got three cases that are left that have been 2 3 formally assigned to the heart attack group. We have five cases that are left that have been formally assigned or at 4 5 least are considered, I should say, in the stroke group and 6 there have been two more settlements in that group, one more settlement in the heart attack group and there, of course, 7 remain 29 cases in the VTE group because those are under the 8 general causation umbrella. And then there is one other injury 9 claim remaining and that's Mr. Harrison's bone healing claim. 10

Right. And I told Mr. Harrison I will 12 tee up a special hearing for his case and I will set it and he 13 can come in by phone as well as anybody else who would like to come in by phone. 14

15 MS. OLDFATHER: And for the record, Your Honor, I 16 think we've worked out with Kathy that that's set for this week 17 on April 28th at 4:00 central time. I believe that's it.

18 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

THE COURT:

11

19 MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, excuse me. I'd just like to introduce my partner, Paul Boehm who has pulled the laboring 20 oar for us on the motions on the VTE cases and Paul will be 21 arguing the other motion next week. 22

23 THE COURT: Welcome to the Court.

24 Good afternoon, Your Honor. MR. BOEHM:

25 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, we have one motion.
 THE COURT: We have one pending motion and as I
 understand it that's the motion --

4 MR. MARVIN: Mannino motion.

5 THE COURT: Mannino?

6 MR. MARVIN: Yes.

7 THE COURT: The pro se stroke matter deposition was 8 noticed and she didn't appear for the deposition?

9 MS. HORN: That's correct. The plaintiff and her 10 spouse. We made several attempts to try to contact them. We 11 called them from the site of the deposition and no response. 12 This was more than a month ago, no response, no response for 13 motion, nothing. So at this point in time we would like to ask 14 for the case to be dismissed.

15 THE COURT: Well, let's file a motion to show cause 16 why the case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution 17 and I will set it.

18 MS. HORN: This is the motion.

19 THE COURT: This is the motion to dismiss. Okay.20 Does she know about it? I mean, did you notice her?

21 MS. HORN: Yes, we served it. We served it on her 22 home address and it was served on February 5th and we did not 23 get a response.

THE COURT: When was the deposition set for?MS. HORN: January 28th.

1 THE COURT: Have you gotten any response at all? 2 MS. HORN: None. Nothing. She was called on January 25th to remind her and her husband about the deposition. 3 The deposition was set for a date that she had identified being 4 available, it was noticed. We called to remind her. 5 The 6 deposition was on Monday, January 28th. Her deposition was first, she didn't show up. The attorney who was there called 7 from the deposition as it was going on, left a number where he 8 could be reached, didn't hear back from her. The husband's 9 deposition was set for later that day, same thing happened, no 10 11 one showed up. We called, no response. We left messages and 12 we haven't heard from them since then either in response to 13 those messages and then we turned around and filed the present motion which is a motion for order to show cause and there has 14 15 been no response. 16 THE COURT: How did you serve it on her?

17 MS. HORN: I believe we served it by Federal Express. 18 THE COURT: Let's put that in the record.

19 MS. HORN: Yes, we served her and her husband by Federal Express at their address in Staten Island. 20

21 THE COURT: That was the same address that you 22 advised them of the deposition?

23 MS. HORN: Correct and it was noticed for a hotel 24 about eight miles from their home.

25 THE COURT: I will do this, I will dismiss the case

1 but I will give her ten days to respond to the dismissal to seek a hearing or tell me what's up. I don't want to just 2 dismiss it willy nilly, I want to give her an opportunity. 3 The difficulty here is that we're ten years out now and I know it's 4 5 a pro se and I respect that but they have got some 6 responsibility to participate in their case and depositions are 7 very significant and if they don't respond to either deposition and then don't respond to the motion I take that as somebody 8 who wants to move on and they have a right to do that. I mean, 9 10 they have a right not to pursue their case. That's fair.

MS. OLDFATHER: Your Honor, thank you for calling on 13 me, I was hesitating.

Ann, you know anything about this at all?

11

14 I should have contacted Ms. Mannino before the hearing 15 and it just kind of snuck up on me and I didn't attempt to. 16 But in terms of background, she wasn't always pro se. She was 17 represented by Ron Benjamin and she grew unhappy with his 18 representation and she took the affirmative steps to leave him 19 and to try to get her files back and I think there was some problem getting evidence, maybe the Vioxx file. Don't hold me 20 21 to that. Our firm has spoken with her in the past so this isn't somebody who just has never been heard from again. 22 I 23 cannot dispute anything that Ms. Horn reported. I mean, so we will make an effort to get ahold of her and certainly advise 24 25 her of the ten day window the Court has given her.

THE COURT: Let's make it two weeks. Tell her that I am dismissing her case but I will give her two weeks to respond to reconsider and if she doesn't in two weeks I will then assume that the case is finished. MS. OLDFATHER: We will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you very much. Anything on the appeals or anything further? Anybody in the courtroom have anything? MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: What's the next status conference? April 23rd at nine o'clock. Thank you very much. Court stands in recess. (End of proceedings.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Arlene Movahed, Official Court Reporter, for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause on the date herein before set forth and I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.

> <u>s/ Arlene Movahed</u> ARLENE MOVAHED, CCR Official Court Reporter United States District Court Eastern District of Louisiana